

ScalaJS 0.5.0 vs 0.5.3 on a bunch of libraries which happen to already have performance tests.

All benchmarks are run from 10 seconds from a cold start, and measure how many operations can be done in that time. Higher is better. Each one is only run once, and there's about a +-20% variation between runs, but the differences are marked enough to be significant anyway.

uTest

All tests pass with both 2.10.4 and 2.11.2, with fastOptJS and fullOptJS. I don't have benchmarks for this guy, but at least things are correct, which is probably what matters most for a test suite...

Scalatags/PhantomJS

| version\optimization | fastOptStage | fullOptStage |
|----------------------|---|---|
| 0.5.0 | <i>JsDom</i> : 14651 <i>Text</i> : 6570 | <i>JsDom</i> : 24869 <i>Text</i> : 7328 |
| 0.5.3 | <i>JsDom</i> : 23842 <i>Text</i> : 15119 | <i>JsDom</i> : 30784 <i>Text</i> : 15085 |

Scalatags/NodeJS

| version\optimization | fastOptStage | fullOptStage |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 0.5.0 | <i>Text</i> : 26129 | <i>Text</i> : 28848 |
| 0.5.3 | <i>Text</i> : 41849 | <i>Text</i> : 81799 |

uPickle/NodeJS

| version\optimization | fastOptStage | fullOptStage |
|----------------------|--|--|
| 0.5.0 | <i>ReadJSON</i> : 11489 <i>WriteJSON</i> : 6150 <i>ReadData</i> : 5929 <i>WriteData</i> : 6534 | <i>ReadJSON</i> : 12291 <i>WriteJSON</i> : 9840 <i>ReadData</i> : 9716 <i>WriteData</i> : 11001 |
| 0.5.3 | <i>ReadJSON</i> : 13921 <i>WriteJSON</i> : 8419 <i>ReadData</i> : 9966 <i>WriteData</i> : 10225 | <i>ReadJSON</i> : 22499 <i>WriteJSON</i> : 15781 <i>ReadData</i> : 13786 <i>WriteData</i> : 16479 |

Scala.Rx/NodeJS

| version\optimization | fastOptStage | fullOptStage |
|----------------------|--|--|
| 0.5.0 | Initialization: 73875 Propagation: 15973 | Initialization: 138951 Propagation: 27046 |
| 0.5.3 | Initialization: 186150 Propagation: 36862 | Initialization: 289025 Propagation: 52727 |