



University of California Student Association
1020 12th St., Suite #232
Sacramento, CA 95814
510-834-8272 | www.uca.org

Resolution in Opposition to Elements of UC Community Safety Plan

Author(s): Shruti Adusumilli, UC Student Association University Affairs Chair and Arianne Huffman, UC Student Association University Affairs Vice Chair

WHEREAS, The University of California released a UC Community Safety Plan in 2021 intended to engage our communities in re-envisioning campus safety and policing;

WHEREAS, This plan calls for a tiered response model that will include mental health, wellness, basic needs, bias/hate response, law enforcement, emergency response and other services through interdepartmental partnerships and cross-trainings;

WHEREAS, This plan also calls for multidisciplinary crisis teams to be available 24/7 and triage behavioral health crises, conduct wellness checks, and safely connect individuals to coordinated care, including health and social support resources;

WHEREAS, This plan also calls for non-sworn security personnel to more visibly render services such as residence hall foot patrols, providing safe shuttles/walks for students, staffing events requiring additional security, and diffusing unsafe behavior;

WHEREAS, This plan calls for appropriate accountability services and a body that reports and investigates the non-sworn individuals possibly involved in police accountability measures, yet does not have such measures in place nor accountability body or staff UC-wide while currently piloting the plan³;

WHEREAS, The same people who need resources for safety, survival, and access are disproportionately targeted for criminalization and have disproportionately negative and violent experiences with police and other systems of criminalization¹;

WHEREAS, This means that converging of campus police departments with offices that serve those student populations - including, survivors of sexual violence, students who need financial support for living costs, students who seek mental health support, and students with disabilities - will make those services less accessible because of actual and/or perceived merging of criminalization with support services²;

¹ [In opposition to merging UCPD into a Health, Well-being, and Safety Organization \(google.com\)](#)

² [In opposition to merging UCPD into a Health, Well-being, and Safety Organization \(google.com\)](#)



University of California Student Association
1020 12th St., Suite #232
Sacramento, CA 95814
510-834-8272 | www.ucla.org

WHEREAS, In July 2022, Jody Stiger was appointed as the University of California's first Systemwide Director of Community Safety, tasked with working with campuses to continue the full implementation of the UC Community Safety Plan;

WHEREAS, Jody Stiger was formerly employed as a Use of Force expert by the LAPD, which is an institution whose values are inconsistent with the University's expressed principles and values;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, UCSA condemns the insufficient student input in the selection and hiring process of the Community Safety Director position;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, UCSA denounces appointing any law enforcement as Community Safety Director given that they oversee disability and mental health;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, UCSA expects the selection of a Systemwide Director of Community Safety with background and expertise on student mental health, basic needs resources, and restorative justice practice

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, UCSA advocates for implementation of the tiered response model to be done in consultation with student groups;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, UCSA acknowledges that the Community Safety Plan falls short in its ability to resolve the core issues of campus policing and violence;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, UCSA encourages the staffing and budget plan on how each campus will reconfigure and/or reallocate existing resources to fund and sustain the tiered response model to include re-distribution of campus operating budgets into existing campus mental health centers and services rather than solely into the tiered response plan;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Each campus will not proceed with implementation of Aim 2 (Holistic, Inclusive and Tiered Response Services) until student groups are consulted on their proposed plan;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Each campus will not proceed with the implementation of 4.1a, 4.1b, 4.2a, and 4.2b (Actions Involving Police Accountability Frameworks) until student groups are consulted on their proposed plan.³

³ <https://www.ucop.edu/community-safety-plan/reports/campus-progress-reports-july2022.html>