

Approved by the joint decision of the IASHE Presidium  
of 12.19.2011, Protocol No 17-11/7  
Authenticated on behalf of the IASHE Presidium  
by the Head of the Department of International Relations of the IASHE

12.19.2011 London, UK \_\_\_\_\_ Thomas Morgan

**International Academy of Science and Higher Education  
(London, UK)**

**GENERAL REGULATIONS  
for conducting championships (competitions) in research analytics**

1. Goals and objectives of the project
2. Organizational basis of the project
3. Copyrights related to the project
4. The system of competitions
5. Mechanism of conduct of the competitions
6. Requirements for scientific works (reports, articles) admitted to the championships
7. Organization and status of internal communities of the project
8. Participants of the competitions and forms of representation
9. Participation in several competitions at the same time
10. Collections of scientific works and information and presentation publications
11. Types of intermediate and final results
12. International experts, expert evaluation and assessment of scientific works by participants of the championships
13. Rankings of the IASHE
14. Determining intermediate and final results
15. Financial compound of the project
16. Awarding of winners
17. Publication of results of championships
18. Arbitration
19. Types of awards for the winners
20. The social and legal consequences of the proper implementation of the project and successful participation of interested persons in the championships
21. Encyclopaedia of international scientific analytical community: "Elite of Scientific Thought"
22. "Herald of the IASHE"
23. Final provisions
24. Special provisions

**1. Goals and objectives of the project of carrying out research analytics championships**

1. The strategic objective of the project of the International Academy of Science and Higher Education for carrying out competitions (championships) in research analytics (hereinafter the project or the competitions) is to create an optimal mechanism for the global consolidation of scientific and educational community around the world for the purpose of:

- a) Large-scale popularization of science and its representatives;
- b) Development of international scientific cooperation;
- c) Organisation of an effective process of public familiarization with foreign scientific researches and achievements in various countries;
- d) Providing international recognition of scientific researches and achievements;
- e) Enhancement of the social role of science and education, as well as their impact on the global and local social and political processes;
- f) Finding and promoting progressive scientific ideas;
- g) Identification of talented researches and educators, extraordinary and exclusive scientific concepts and educational programmes, aimed at creating new scientific schools and educational projects;
- h) Promotion of the protection and effective realization of copyright of researchers;
- i) Creation of mechanisms increasing the level of material prosperity of scientists through the use of their creativity, knowledge and experience in commercial researches and educational projects.

2. Associated objectives the IASHE pursues by carrying out competitions are the following outcomes of the project:

- a) Creation of a system of effective incentives for the development of research activities of scientists;
- b) Creation of a system of effective means for wide promulgation of research results;
- c) Creation of prerequisites for the maintenance and development of international scientific discussions and exchange of views between scientists, and for the international spread of progressive scientific experience;

- d) Creation of additional information and status instruments intended to attract the attention of the global society to the problems of science and scientific processes.

3. In implementing the project, the IASHE proceeds from understanding that it is the consolidation of scientists, their dialogue and mutual enrichment of each other's innovative ideas that makes true scientific progress and real saturation of society with constructive innovation technologies in various spheres of social life possible..

4. Through the project, the IASHE implements its position that lies in the fact that the participation in a kind of dialogue of various scientific schools and trends shall be especially beneficial for the development of science and education systems of various countries and particularly useful for developing ones, where for objective reasons, there are no sufficient opportunities for the organisation and financing of large-scale scientific researches.

5. As an inevitable concomitant effect associated with the project, the IASHE sees such development and informational interaction of national sciences in different countries, which would directly contribute to the quality of higher education in these countries.

## **2. Organizational basis of the project**

1. The form of implementation of the project of the International Academy of Science and Higher Education is an interconnected set of systematised national and international research analytics competitions in various fields of science, having the form of remote (virtual) research conferences involving active communication (discussion) of authors, their mutual evaluation of each other's scientific works presented at the conferences (competitions) and competent expert analysis (assessment) of the quality and scientific importance of the works.

2. Using this evaluation system the champions and diploma winners of all calendar stages of intellectual competitions are determined, whose results are summarized and, following each respective year, expressed in the determination of champions and awardees of international, continental and national (regional) research analytics championships in various nominations. On the basis of rating and summary data obtained from the results of the competitions, special individual and "academic" rankings of research activity and effectiveness are formed and dynamically adjusted.

3. The organizational basis of the project are is represented by its interrelated components, through which the competitions are conducted. The basic components of the project are:

- a) A complex of interactive tools - a set of websites, within whose operation the championships are held: the site of the IASHE, sites of regional and national research analytics championships;
- b) A complex of organizational elements - a system of national and regional official representatives of the IASHE that on behalf of the IASHE implement the functions delegated to them to organize and conduct national and regional research analytics championships, including the respective functions of the official support of operation of the sites of national or regional research analytics championships.

4. Structurally, the project requires the International Academy of Science and Higher Education involving regional partners who are willing and able to organise and support systematic competitions and associated events in their countries (regions).

5. Partners of the IASHE in conducting competitions may be both organizations willing to carry out corresponding competitions independently, but under the control of the IASHE, and individuals (preferably scientists) authoritative in their countries. In each of these cases applies a somewhat different in content form of cooperation:

- a) If a partner is an organization that has a computer and office equipment at its disposal and employees able to perform the necessary functions, the IASHE gives such a partner a standard national of the project, as well as the necessary authority in respect of recruiting experts, evaluating, summarizing results, execution of documents and receiving payment from the participants of competitions and conferences, with a subsequent distribution of such funds in a specified proportion;

- b) If a partner is an individual who does not have appropriate office, technical and manpower resources, all the organizational work for the promotion and development of a national project shall be performed by the IASHE or an organization specially authorized for such activities, while the partner supports the project with his/her authority, information support in the national scientific and educational environment, finding qualified local experts, as well as assisting in the translation of necessary information posted on the website into a national language. Of the income derived from the project in the country represented by the partner, the latter receives a stipulated fee.

6. Partnership between the IASHE and its national (regional) representative is executed with a special Agreement and a Certificate of an official representative of the IASHE.
7. If actions of demonstrate that he/she is unable to perform the functions entrusted to him within the project, or a partner discredits the project or cause other damage to it, the IASHE may at any time unilaterally deprive the corresponding legal or natural person of the partner's status and authority.
8. The IASHE may, in the case of the corresponding necessity, entrust the partnership functions of implementing the project in one country or a particular region to two or more persons and distribute authority among them at its discretion.
9. For the improper performance of entrusted functions, a partner bears responsibility provided for by international law and the law of the partner's country before the IASHE and participants of the project who were in any way affected by the misconduct of the partner.

### **3. Copyrights related to the project**

1. Exclusive copyrights belonging to the IASHE protected by the relevant rules of international law and national laws of countries in whose territories such protection will be claimed and the evidence of the author's priority presented, encompass the following intangible assets - the objects of copyright arising, developing or otherwise appearing in the project:

- a) The name: "World Championship in Research Analytics";
- b) The name: "European (Continental) Research Analytics Championship";
- c) The name: "Asian (Continental) Research Analytics Championship";
- d) The name: "African (Continental) Research Analytics Championship";
- e) The name: "North American (Continental) Research Analytics Championship";
- f) The name: "South American (Continental) Research Analytics Championship";
- g) The name: "Australian and Oceania Research Analytics Championship";
- h) The name: "National research analytics championship";
- i) The name: "Interstate regional championship in research analytics";
- j) The name: "World Research Analytics Federation";
- k) The name: "European Research Analytics Federation";
- l) The name: "Asian Research Analytics Federation";
- m) The name: "African Research Analytics Federation";
- n) The name: "North American Research Analytics Federation";
- o) The name: "South American Research Analytics Federation";
- p) The name: "Australian and Oceania Research Analytics Federation";
- q) The name: "National Research Analytics Federation";
- r) The names and appearance of all diplomas, certificates, medals, prizes and other material instruments and attributes that created and officially used in the project;
- s) All exclusive and original design creations, as well as visual symbols, emblems, logotypes and other sign elements posted on websites and printed materials of the project and characterizing or symbolizing it in full or its corresponding constituent parts;
- t) The system of assessment of scientific analytical works (articles, reports);
- u) The names and calculation systems, formed within the ranking project;
- v) The basic system of organization and mechanism of conducting competitions in research analytics of all levels and determining their winners;
- w) The mechanism of summarizing the results and determining the winners of research analytics championships of all levels;
- x) Other objects of copyright having occurred during the project for the first time (within the author's priority).

2. Exclusive copyrights belonging to the participants of research analytics championships (taking into account the rules of author's priority and the permissibility of using in the author's works well-known and open information) encompass:

- a) Reports (articles), integral and complete author's analytical researches (scientific works), characterized by their individual content, structural narrative features and style;
- b) Statement of a scientific problem formulated in a report (article) in the form of a reasoned disclosure of previously not properly described or not investigated circumstances, as well as other controversial issues of interest for science that objectively require but have no known fixation of the current scientific attitude to them;
- c) Method of solution of a scientific problem formulated in a report (article) in the form of a fundamentally new set of theoretical and practical instruments proposed by the author for solving the relevant scientific problem.

3. The IASHE, in the process of implementing the project, is taking a set of measures for the identification and protection of copyright of scientists, through, inter alia:

- a) fixation of the authorship of scientific works;
- b) broad promulgation of works with an indication of the holders of copyright and neighbouring rights associated with such works;
- c) provision, if necessary, of a confirmation of the date of presentation and publication of the author's work (confirmation of the author's publication priority);
- d) publication of an international almanac of the author's ideas, innovations, theoretical and practical developments.

### **4. The system of competitions**

1. The terms "competition" and "championship" in these Regulations are identical and imply a mechanism of ordered interaction between the participants of the project, which results in the mechanism of promulgation, qualitative comparison and objective detection, based on expert evaluation, priority scientific works, as well as material and moral incentives for their authors.

2. In the framework of the project, the following types of research analytics competitions are systematically held:

- a) World Championship in Research Analytics;
- b) European (Continental) Research Analytics Championship;
- c) Asian (Continental) Research Analytics Championship;
- d) African (Continental) Research Analytics Championship;
- e) North American (Continental) Research Analytics Championship;
- f) South American (Continental) Research Analytics Championship;
- g) Australian and Oceania Research Analytics Championship;
- h) National research analytics championships;
- i) Interstate regional championships in research analytics;
- j) Intrastate regional championships in research analytics;

3. Levels of championships conducted within the framework of the project:

- a) Global international level (World Championship);
- b) Continental international level (continental championships);
- c) Regional international level (interstate regional championships);
- d) National level (national championships)
- e) Local national level (intrastate regional championships);

4. Types of championships conducted within the framework of the project:

- a) At the global international level, World Championships in various fields of science and announced sections;
- b) At the continental international level, championships of different continents in various fields of science and announced sections;
- c) At the regional international level, announced interstate regional championships in selected fields of science and in sections approved by organizers;
- d) At the national level, announced national championships of different countries in various fields of science and individual sections;
- e) At the local national level, announced intrastate regional championships in selected fields of science and in sections approved by organizers.

5. Championships in research analytics of any level and type are held annually and formally start on January 1 of each year and end on December 31 of that year. Championships of any level and type are considered officially announced for a corresponding year only upon the IASHE announces them.

6. The start of each next (subsequent) championship of any level and type in relevant fields, sub-fields or problematic issues of traditional sciences is declared by the IASHE before December 20 of a current year in the presence of the necessary organizational conditions for it.

7. Individual regional championship, at the initiative of the IASHE and its corresponding national partners can be conducted with the use of specific rules and special schedule.

#### **5. Mechanism of conduct of the competitions**

1. Research analytics championships are announced and carried out in thematic sections, which are allocated according to the relevant fields of traditional sciences, and in some cases, upon decision of the IASHE, to some sub-fields or problematic issues of traditional sciences.

2. When conducting research analytics championships at any level, relevant reports (articles) of the authors are placed and accounted in accordance with the rules established by these Regulations, in the following sections:

- a) thematic sections, whose announced content (specific subjects) directly reflect specific problems of the field of science, in which the championship is held;
- b) open specialized sections, that have no announced themes (specific subjects) within the relevant field of science in which the championship is held, but allow an interested person to present a report (article) directly reflecting a specific problem of this field of science which is not a specific subject of any thematic section;
- c) open interdisciplinary sections, that have no announced themes (specific subjects) and field specificity, allowing interested persons to present reports (articles) related to the problems of any fields of science that are not specific subjects of any thematic section of the championship.

3. As a rule, championships of any level and type, in the case of their official announcement, are opened in all fields of traditional sciences.

4. Fields of science that are basic for the championships, whose sub-fields and problematic issues are the bases for the allocation of thematic sections of competitions at various levels and types:

- Construction sciences and Architecture
- Biological sciences
- Veterinary sciences
- Military sciences
- Earth and Space sciences
- Art Studies
- Historical sciences

- Culturology, Physical Culture and Sports
- Medical sciences
- Pedagogical sciences
- Political sciences
- Psychological sciences
- Agricultural sciences
- Sociological sciences
- Technical sciences
- Pharmaceutical sciences
- Physico-mathematical sciences
- Philological sciences
- Philosophical sciences
- Chemical sciences
- Economic sciences and management
- Juridical Sciences

5. Annually, when officially announcing in the current year the championships of relevant levels and types to be held in the next year, the IASHE publishes a calendar schedule of stages of the championships, and a full list of sections, in which will all competitions are to be held.

6. Every year, in order to objectively display the tendencies of development of modern science and provide scientists with additional opportunities for appropriate presentation and specification of the subjects of their researches, the IASHE may adjust the list of sections in which the championships of relevant levels and types are to be held in the next year.

7. An obligatory requirement for participation of any interested person at each stage of a championship of any level and type is his/her assessing of all the reports presented by other participants of the corresponding championships in a given section (the mechanism of mutual evaluation of each other's works).

8. The report of any participant of the championship, who has not evaluated works of other participants in the corresponding section during the current stage of the championship shall be dismissed from the competition at the current stage fixed as a report that is presented to the conference hors concours.

9. If a participant of a certain stage of the championship comments on reports presented by other participants of the championships in this section and other related sections from the perspective of the subject of scientific analysis, this will be considered a manifestation of a high professionalism, scientific interest, eagerness for discussion, as well as the goodwill of the person, who will be welcome and encouraged by the IASHE.

10. Any national research analytics championship shall be conducted in the presence of the following prerequisites:

- a) The presence of an official partner of residing in the country and able to perform the functions delegated to it by the IASHE for conducting a national championship;
- b) The presence of a prepared standard website for conducting a national championship;
- c) The ability to implement an effective prior and further systematic advertising and informational support to the competition, providing the involvement of a sufficient number of qualified experts and participants in it.

11. Any continental research analytics championship shall be conducted in the presence of the following prerequisites:

- a) Formation of at least three national research analytics federations in the countries of the relevant continent;
- b) The ability to implement an effective prior and further systematic advertising and informational support to the competition, providing the involvement of a sufficient number of qualified experts and participants in it.

12. World Championship in research analytics shall be conducted in the presence of the following prerequisites:

- a) Formation of at least three continental research analytics federations;
- b) The ability to implement an effective prior and further systematic advertising and informational support to the competition, providing the involvement of a sufficient number of qualified experts and participants in it.

13. The results of championships of all levels and types in the form of the definition of their winners, awardees and holders of special prizes and titles are summarized in the following nominations:

- a) Mega-championship, an interdisciplinary championship of a corresponding level (World, continental, regional, national). Its final results for the past year are summarized by comparing absolute ratings of participants of competitions regardless of their field specificity;
- b) Absolute Championship, a specialized championship of a corresponding level (World, continental, regional, national). Its final results for the past year are summarized by comparing absolute ratings of participants of a competition in a corresponding field of science regardless of its thematic division;
- c) Alpha-championship, a championship of a corresponding level (World, continental, regional, national) on a nominated specific scientific problem. Its final results for the past year are summarized by comparing absolute ratings of participants of a competition in a corresponding thematic section of a certain field of science.

14. An announced championship of any level and type is carried out according to an initially planned schedule of the IASHE throughout a year, but official final results of the championship in individual fields of science and thematic sections are summarized only if all stages of the championships in sections actually took place (both in respect of presentation of works, and their proper evaluation) and were held on an alternative basis, with the participation of at least 10 competing thematic works of different authors at every stage.

15. Within each section of a championship of any level and type during a calendar year, three to ten stages may be carried out in accordance with a schedule previously approved and promulgated by the IASHE.

16. The number of stages within each thematic section of a championship of any level and type is previously defined by the IASHE and fixed in an officially published schedule, depending on the estimated number of potential participants of the championship, the complexity of the corresponding field of science, diversity and scale of its epistemological problems.

17. Following the results of each stage conducted in each section of a championship of any level and type, except for "open interdisciplinary sections", the winners, awardees and holders of special prizes, titles and rewards are defined.

18. Winners and awardees of individual stages of championships of any level and type are determined through ranking assessment of the participants' reports (articles) during the stages of the championships, which is composed in accordance with the rules established in these Regulations of expert ratings and ratings given by participants of the corresponding stages of the championships (the authors of reports (articles) are not allowed to vote for themselves).

19. Final winners and awardees of championships of any level and type are determined in accordance with the rules established in these Regulations by summing up the results of the participants of all stages of the championships held within a year.

20. In case any championship held within a year didn't meet the requirements of p. 13 of this chapter of the Regulations, final winners and awardees of such championships are not determined for this year in the corresponding sections.

21. Annual winners and awardees of championships within "open specialized sections" and "open interdisciplinary sections" are not determined.

22. In order claim the annual first place in a particular thematic section, a participant of a corresponding championship shall be "nominated", that is to officially announce his/her participation in the championship of the year in a specific section and take part in more than half of the stages of the championship in this section during the year.

23. Ratings of the reports (articles) presented at the stages of championships in "open specialized sections" are counted in forming general competition rankings of their authors, in the cases they were nominated in a specific thematic section of a corresponding championship. Presenting reports in "open specialized sections" also enhance the author's general competition rankings through heightening their "activity coefficient".

24. The reports submitted by the authors for the participation in the research analytics championships in the "open-specialized sections," as well as tournament results of this participation will be considered for the formation of UPRSRA ranking (paragraph 35 of section 12 of this Regulation) of these authors by the nominated thematic sections as follows:

a) in the main part of the calculation formula of author's UPRSRA ranking by the nominated thematic sections are taken into account the results of his participation "in the open-specialized section" which meets the following criteria: this section should be of one level with the nominated thematic section (national, continental or global) and one specialized sector;

b) in the bonus part of the calculation formula of author's UPRSRA ranking of nominated thematic sections are taken into account the results of his participation "in the open-specialized section" which meets the following criteria: this section should be of different level with the nominated thematic section and / or of different specialized sector.

25. The reports submitted by the authors for the participation in the research analytics championships of certain level in the "open-specialized section", as well as tournament results of such participation, are taken into account when calculating the main part of the UPRSRA ranking formula of these authors by all nominated thematic sections of the same section and similar levels (provided simultaneous participation of these individuals in a certain level of championships in several nominated thematic sections).

26. Ratings of the reports (articles) presented at the stages of championships in "open interdisciplinary sections" are not counted in forming general competition rankings of their authors within the section they were nominated in. However, presenting reports in "open interdisciplinary sections" also enhance the author's general competition rankings through heightening their "activity coefficient".

27. Each participant of a championship of any level and type is not limited in the right to present his/her reports (articles) and be nominated in several thematic sections, and also may at each stage of the championship present up to three papers (articles) in one section (including "open specialized sections" and "open interdisciplinary sections").

28. In the event that any participant of a championship of any level and type is nominated in several thematic sections, and in addition, presents report (s) in "open specialized sections" or "open interdisciplinary sections", he/she may once in a year (without the right to review such a decision until the end of the year) choose a personal ranking in one of the nominated sections to which the ratings of the reports (articles) in "open specialized sections" or "open interdisciplinary sections" will be added. Such decision shall be made within 5 days upon the first presentation of the report (article) in any "open specialized section" or "open interdisciplinary section".

29. An interested person may independently choose the type of his/her participation in the project ("competition participant" or "conference participant"), the time of joining the project and leaving it, as well as the frequency (participation in all or some stages of the chosen levels and types of championships) and the scale of participation in the project (participation in one or more competitions of various levels and types; presentation of one or more reports (articles) in one or more sections, etc.), except for participation in international competitions of various levels and types regulated by the rules of Chapter 8 of these Regulations

30. The rules of simultaneous participation of interested persons in several competitions (or their stages) of various levels and types, as well as mandatory conditions, which are necessary to comply with in order to participate in international competitions of various levels and types are described in Chapter 8 of these Regulations.

31. The application form required to participate in the project, the rules for the presentation of reports (articles), as well as criteria for calculating the participant's registration fee and compensation for the cost of a collection of scientific works and postage are published on the corresponding websites of the project.

32. Any interested person may obtain free consultation on any matter relating to the project from the managers listed on the websites of the Academy or relevant national or regional championships.

33. Contact information of project managers and means of communication with them, as well as information on possible means, forms and details for paying for participation in the project are available on the corresponding websites.

34. Interstate regional championships are held at the initiative of either the IASHE or the relevant interested continental and/or national research analytics federations in accordance with the rules established by these Regulations in relation to continental championships. Interstate regional championships may be systemic, that is conducted gradually throughout a year, or consist of one or several stages by the decision of the initiators of interstate regional championships approved by the IASHE. Following the results of Interstate regional championships, winners and awardees are defined, but the results of such championships are not counted in forming official rankings of the IASHE and defining winners and awardees of World, continental and national championships. Interstate regional championships are conducted through the websites of the IASHE and the corresponding continental and/or national competitions.

35. Intrastate regional championships are held at the initiative of either the IASHE or the relevant interested national research analytics federations in accordance with the rules established by these Regulations in relation to national championships. Intrastate regional championships may be systemic, that is conducted gradually throughout a year, or consist of one or several stages by the decision of the initiators of intrastate regional championships approved by the IASHE. Following the results of Intrastate regional championships, winners and awardees are defined, but the results of such championships are not counted in forming official rankings of the IASHE and defining winners and awardees of World, continental and national championships. Intrastate regional championships are conducted through the websites of the corresponding national competitions.

36. Stages of championships of all levels (except for regional championships) are synchronized and held simultaneously in the same periods. Synchronous stages of national and continental championships and the World Championship in research analytics have the same field structure and sectional differentiation.

37. Interstate regional championships and intrastate regional championships, in accordance with the rules of these Regulations, are held in terms specially approved by the IASHE and have no direct synchronization with the stages of national and continental championships and the World Championship in research analytics.

38. In the initial period of the project, taking into account actual organizational and material circumstances that contribute to it, the IASHE may announce and conduct joint and open interstate regional championships instead of national, continental championships and the World Championship in research analytics regulated by these Regulations, in the status of competitions replaced by such regional championship or without such status.

39. Taking into account the rule set forth in p. 30 of this chapter of the Regulations, a championship of any level and type, if the necessary conditions for it appear, may be singled out of an ongoing joint and open interstate regional championship and held independently by a decision of the IASHE, if before the end of the year it is planned and actually possible to conduct at least three of its stages. In this case, the results of participants of such singled out championship are counted at the stages of the joint and open interstate regional championship are when summing up the results of the singled out championship and not counted with respect to the open interstate regional championship.

40. In the case that, taking into account the rule set forth in pp. 30 and 31 of this chapter of the Regulations, a separate competition is singled out of a joint and open interstate regional championship by a decision of the IASHE, the joint and open interstate regional championship is converted by the IASHE into an appropriate format of competition (for example, in case of an actual change in the level, type and geography of the championship), and shall be conducted further of provided that a sufficient level of alternativeness of the competition is guaranteed.

#### **6. Requirements for scientific works (reports, articles) admitted to the championships**

1. Scientific works (reports, articles) presented to the championships of all levels and types should be written in the following languages:

- a) Works presented to the World Championship - in English;
- b) Works presented to a continental championship - in English or other language of international communication commonly used in countries of the continent;
- c) Works presented to an interstate regional championship - in English or other language of international communication commonly used in countries of the region;
- d) Works presented to a national championship - in the national language (one of the national languages), in English or other language of international communication commonly used in the county;
- d) Works presented to an intrastate regional championship - in the national language (one of the national languages), in English or other language of international communication commonly used in the county;

2. A report is understood as a scientific work, which represents a report and analytical information consistently set out and interconnected in its constituent parts that fixes knowledge on a scientific research conducted by the author of the report, or with his/her participation, as well as on an evaluative interpretation of a scientific research, including one conducted without the participation of the author of the report, accompanied by the author's independent opinions on the subject of this research.

3. An article is understood as a scientific work, fixing an independent opinion of the author on a current scientific problem and methods of its solution supported by appropriate conclusions, as well as a statement (description) of a new scientific problem or actualization of a previously known scientific problem described by the author in a new context, with the suggestion of theoretical directions and/or methods of solution of such problems.

4. On the websites of the project, the function of prompt translation of materials into various languages is provided, that provides understanding of texts;

5. Each participant of a championship of any level and type may present an annotation to his/her scientific work in a language other than the primary language of this work, which allows to expand the potential range of persons able to learn the general content of the work, without resorting to mechanical translation. An annotation, which may be up to one page, is not included in the total volume of the report (article), placed free of charge with the work on the official website of the respective championship, but not published in the collections printed following the results of each stage of a championship of any level and type.

6. Regardless of the language in which a scientific work (report, article) is presented, as well as the language of annotation, such work must include:

- a) The author(s) full name, in the language of the scientific work;
- b) An indication of a higher education institution to which the author belongs and the author's status in it, in the language of the scientific work;
- c) Personal academic degrees and academic titles (if any), in the language of the scientific work;
- d) The name of the work set off in bold, in the language of the main text.
- e) A short abstract of the report or article (2-3 sentences), as well as the name of the work and a list of its key terms, in English.

7. If the author of a scientific work (report, article) presented for participation in competitions of any level and type finds it difficult to translate the annotation and presentation of the report into English, translation will be done by employees of the IASHE or an official representative in the region for an additional fee, the amount of which is disclosed on the website of the corresponding championship.

8. If a participant of a championship of any level and type has rights and opportunities to present his/her scientific work (report, article) in one of two or more languages, it is recommended to choose the language that allows understanding of the work without the use of mechanical translation by a broader audience. This choice of a language potentially can provide a greater understanding of the work by other participants and experts of the corresponding stage of the competition and, thus, positively influence its final evaluation.

9. A person participating in two or more competitions of any level and type at once, may present identical works in corresponding languages to the competitions of various levels. However, it is prohibited to present identical works (articles, reports) to different stages of the same specialized competition in the same or related thematic sections.

10. The size of a scientific work (article, report) presented for a championship of any level and type, including illustrations and tables, should be no less than 2 and no more than 7 typewritten pages (computer printed text).

11. In the event that for the full disclosure of the research topic, the person needs more than 10 pages formatted according to the rules of these Regulations, he/she should synchronously, within the same stage and same section of a corresponding championship, present the work in two or more interrelated reports (articles) containing references to each other. In this case, each report presented in this way is regarded as an independent scientific work and evaluated on a general basis, therefore the authors of related works should make them relatively autonomous in the content, consistent and complete.

12. Requirements for presentation of scientific works (reports, articles) to the championships of all levels and types:

- a) Paper size: A4 - 210x297 mm;
- b) Left, right, top and bottom margins - 20 mm each;
- c) Font – Times New Roman;
- d) Font size – 12 pt.;
- e) Line spacing - one and a half;
- f) Text alignment - justified (hyphenation is not allowed, single space between the words, no tab);
- g) First line indent (i.e. paragraph) - 10 mm;
- h) Abbreviations (except for generally accepted: abbreviations of mathematical units, measures, terms, etc.) are not allowed.

13. Each illustration to a work (article, report) presented to a championship of any level and type shall be sent as a separate file to the email address indicated on the website of the corresponding championship. We recommend sending black and white illustrations, because in a collection of scientific works they will be published in black and white. Please note that the quality of published illustrations depends on electronic originals sent by participants.

14. Schemes, graphs, charts, formulas and tables used directly in the texts of reports (articles) or attached to the texts shall be made directly in a text editor and placed strictly within the page sizes indicated above.

15. Intratextual footnotes are published in collections of scientific works, but not on the websites of the corresponding championships.

16. The author(s) of each work (report, article) sent to a championship of any level and type shall specify the following personal information, which shall not be published:

- a) Full name of the author in the language of the scientific work, as well as in the national and English languages (without abbreviations);
- b) The educational institution to which the author (group of authors) belongs, indicating the actual status (e.g. a teacher, a student, a postgraduate, doctoral student, a researcher, a graduate; in the case of two universities the author selects the one to be indicated in all his/her works presented in the project during a current year at his/her discretion), in the language of the scientific work;
- c) Personal academic degree and academic title (if any), in the language of the scientific work;
- d) Full name (without abbreviations), postal address and phone of the organization where the author studies and/or works, in the language of the scientific work;
- e) The person's contact information for communication and sending a collection of scientific works and other correspondence: home address (with the obligatory indication of a postcode), telephone (with the obligatory indication of the telephone area code), mobile phone and e-mail of the author.

17. Scientific works (reports, articles) submitted by interested persons to the championships of any type and level are not censored, corrected or edited, except for the formatting required to prepare a work for publication in a collection.

18. The author of a scientific work (report, article) submitted to the championships of any type and level bears personal responsibility for the observance of copyrights of any interested persons which may be affected by the publication of the work, as well as the observance of the rights and lawful interests of persons mentioned in any form in the corresponding report or article.

19. The author of a scientific work, without appealing to the IASHE, its official partners and other authorized persons on the corresponding occasion, personally suffers possible consequences of presenting the work to a championship of any level and type in an inappropriate scientific and narrative quality, as well as with spelling, punctuation and style errors or misprints.



20. The presentation of a work (article, report) to a championship of any level and type in an inappropriate form entails consequences specified in these Regulations.

21. Scientific works (reports, articles), in order to participate in the championships of relevant levels and types, within an officially announced term of a corresponding stage of a championship, are published on the website of the corresponding championship in a way specified on the site.

22. Scientific works (reports, articles) may be presented to the championships of relevant levels and types by sole authors or collectives of authors. The concept of evaluation of scientific works (reports, articles) in the project implies a somewhat greater comparative value of scientific works (reports, articles) presented by individual authors than those of collectives of authors. This circumstance, other things related to the assessment of quality of a corresponding research and its scientific importance being equal, implies a presumption of the fact that the sole creation of a scientific work (report, article) objectively requires of the author, to a certain extent, a somewhat greater intellectual and physical effort, as well as material and time expenditure than the effort and expenditure required from each member of a group of authors. However, the criterion of quantitative composition of the authors of a scientific work (report, article) is not a determining factor in the evaluation system used in the project, and has a certain significance only in the comparison of scientific works (reports, articles) of an approximately equal quality.

#### **7. Organization and status of internal communities of the project**

1. Research Analytics Federations of any level are formal social associations (communities) of scientific analysts created under the IASHE and under its auspices, by a simple, not bound by additional terms and conditions, except for the objective territorial and national affiliation of entities, entering into their composition of persons wishing to participate in research analytics competitions subject to conditions specified in these Regulations.

2. Types of Research Analytics Federations created and operating within the framework of the project:

- a) World Research Analytics Federation;
- b) European Research Analytics Federation;
- c) Asian Research Analytics Federation;
- d) African Research Analytics Federation;
- e) North American Research Analytics Federation;
- f) South American Research Analytics Federation;
- g) Australian and Oceania Research Analytics Federation;
- h) national research analytics federations of individual countries;

3. A Research Analytics Federation of any level and type is considered formed upon the entry of the tenth member.

4. Research Analytics Federations of any level are structured into sections and subsections according to fields and sub-fields of sciences presented in the federations by their respective members - scientists.

5. At the end of 12 months from the date of formation of each research analytics federation of any every level, its members, subject to strict compliance with the Statute, these Regulations, statutory documents and other internal documents of the IASHE are entitled to organize themselves: to adopt internal operational rules, to elect administrative bodies and to represent own consolidated position with regard to conducting research analytics competitions in relationships with the IASHE and other federations.

6. The main functions of the federations of any levels are as follows:

- a) consolidation and organizational representation of members of a federation, as well as consolidated protection of their interests within the project;
- b) organizational structuring of groups of project participants, in order to give the internal stability to the system of active interactions in the project, as well as the appropriate statement of the national and continental affiliation of participants, their individual and collective achievements of the project.

7. Lists of members of the federations of all types are published and simultaneously corrected on the corresponding websites of the project:

- a) lists of members of the World Research Analytics Federation and continental research analytics federations - in the relevant sections of the official website of the IASHE;
- b) lists of members of national research analytics federations - in the relevant sections of the websites of national championships.

8. Persons not wishing to join the relevant research analytics federations and, consequently, to participate in research analytics championships as contestants, may present their reports (articles) at various thematic stages of competitions in the status of out-of-competition participants of international scientific conferences.

9. Any interested person becomes a member of a relevant research analytics federation from the moment of application for participation in the championship of a corresponding level and type, and retains that status during the entire period of participation in the project until the end of six months from the date of the last participation of such a person in any stage of a championship of a corresponding level and type.

#### **8. Participants of the competitions and forms of representation**

1. To participate in the World Championship in research analytics (hereinafter the World Championship), a scientist must meet the requirements made by these Regulations to the participants of the World Championship in research analytics, be a citizen of any state of the world or a stateless person residing anywhere on the Earth, but be a member of a continental research analytics federation.

2. To participate in any continental championship in research analytics (hereinafter continental championship), a scientist must meet the requirements made by these Regulations to the participants of a continental championship in research analytics, be a citizen of any state of the corresponding continent or a stateless person residing anywhere on the Earth, but be a member of a national research analytics federation, which is a member of a corresponding continental research analytics federation.

3. To participate in any national championship in research analytics (hereinafter national championship), a scientist must meet the requirements made by these Regulations to the participants of a national championship in research analytics, be a citizen of a corresponding state or a stateless person residing anywhere on the Earth, but be a member of the national research analytics federation.

4. To participate in any officially announced regional championship, a person must meet the requirements set forth in p. 4 of this chapter of the Regulations.

5. To obtain the status of a participant of a research analytics championship of all level and type, subject to other mandatory conditions set forth in these Regulations, a person must be at least 16 years old, have an official higher education legally obtained in an educational institution of any country in the world and systematically engage in scientific research.

6. Any interested person obtains the status of a participant of a research analytics championship of any level and type on the basis of information he/she presents in an official application for participation in the competition.

7. The IASHE does not require interested persons to provide documentary evidence of the information they include in their applications for participation in championships, relying on their honesty and understanding of the fact that in the sphere of research analytics misleading the organizers, experts and other participants of the championships as to one's academic status is unreasonably, because the quality of reports (articles) presented by such persons objectively characterize such a status. For the same reason, and taking into account the initial democratism of scientific creativity, the IASHE does not require participants of research analytics championships of various levels and types to be official holders of academic degrees and titles, as well as to have a considerable pedagogical practice, for formal scientific status of individuals often are not objective evidence of quality or novelty of their researches, and truly brilliant scientific ideas can be produced by experienced practitioners and talented researchers who are not directly related to formal science.

8. Each participant of championships of any level and type shall be personally liable under the rules of international law and relevant national legislations for the validity of personal information given to the organizers of the project, factual information given in reports (articles) as well as for complying with copyright and neighbouring rights.

10. Each participant of a corresponding research analytics championship represents him/herself in it, and is the bearer of the following formats of representation, which are taken into account in summarizing current and final results of the championships:

a) A participant of the World Championship in Research Analytics represents in this competition;

- him/herself personally; the representation is counted in summarizing the results of an individual championship;

- his/her higher education institution, that is, the institution to which the person has the educational, scientific or functional relationship at a given time or the last educational institution with which the person had such relationships (in which the person is employed or engaged in scientific research, or the one the person has graduated from); the representation is counted in summarizing the results of an inter-university championship (if the person belongs to two or more educational institutions simultaneously, official membership within the project is determined once a year by the participant);

- his/her country (whose citizen or a member of whose national research analytics federation (if the person has two or more citizenships or is stateless) the person is); the representation is counted in summarizing the results of an interstate championship;

- his/her continent (due to the membership in a corresponding continental research analytics federation); the representation is counted in summarizing the results of an intercontinental championship.

B) A participant of a corresponding continental championship in research analytics represents in this competition: him/herself personally, his/her educational institution and his/her country;

C) A participant of a corresponding national championship in research analytics represents in this competition: him/herself personally and his/her educational institution;

d) A participant of an announced interstate regional championship in research analytics represents in this competition: him/herself personally, his/her educational institution and his/her country;

e) A participant of an announced intrastate regional championship in research analytics represents in this competition: him/herself personally, his/her educational institution and his/her region (an administrative and territorial unit within a country) in which the person permanently or primarily resides;

11. Persons who have used in their scientific works presented in the project and comments to their reports and the reports of other participants of the project unethical or incorrect expressions, statements and images, and other unethical manifestations, may be dismissed by the decision of the IASHE from further participation in competitions of the corresponding level and type or be subjected to disciplinary sanctions approved by the IASHE;

12. Persons presenting scientific works in the competitions, which due to their quality level (understood as a set of signs of logic, independence of research, phraseology, spelling, and the argumentativeness and legitimacy of statements) do not meet the minimum acceptable requirements for such works generally accepted in scientific community, by the recommendation of members of the Expert Panel and on the basis of the decision of the IASHE may be dismissed from further participation in competitions of the corresponding level and type or be subjected to disciplinary sanctions approved by the IASHE;

13. Persons who have placed in their scientific works presented in the project and comments to their reports and the reports of other participants of the project an obviously advertising information, which is not required in the text by the logic of scientific research and related argumentation, may be dismissed by the decision of the IASHE from further participation in competitions of the corresponding level and type or be subjected to disciplinary sanctions approved by the IASHE;

14. The accession of interested persons to the project in any status established by these Regulations implies the consent of these persons to participate in the project in full compliance with the rules of these Regulations.

## **9. Participation in several competitions at the same time**

1. Any participant of the project may participate in one or more competitions of one or more levels and types.

2. Parallel participation in several competitions, in accordance with the rules provided in these Regulations, allows an interested person to compete with other project participants in several nominations at once, depending on the sections and levels of competitions he/she has chosen, thus greatly increasing the person's chances for successful individual results, the expansion of bibliography and growth of personal scientific prestige.

3. However, the participation in international competitions requires mandatory parallel participation in the corresponding stages of championships of other levels under the following rules:

a) The participation of an interested person in each stage of a corresponding continental championship in any section requires, in order to confirm his/her current active membership in the respective national research analytics federation of a country of the continent, as well as to support scientific activity (promulgation of scientific ideas and views) and renown of the person in the national scientific community, his/her mandatory parallel participation in the corresponding stage of the national championship in the same section;

b) The participation of an interested person in each stage of the World Championship in any section requires, in order to confirm his/her current active membership in the respective continental research analytics federation, as well as to support scientific activity (promulgation of scientific ideas and views) and renown of the person in the continental scientific community, his/her mandatory parallel participation in the corresponding stage of the continental championship in the same section.

4. In some cases, in accordance with the previously published rules approved by the IASHE, the participation of an interested person in an interstate regional championship in a corresponding section may be caused by the necessity of the parallel participation in the corresponding national championship in the same section.

#### **10. Collections of scientific works and information and presentation publications**

1. Within the framework of the project subject to these Regulations, following the results of the championships of corresponding levels and types, under the auspices of the IASHE the following types of competition collections of scientific works are issued:

a) Collections of scientific works issued following the results of various stages of the World Championship in research analytics: published in individual sections or jointly in two or more sections; registered in the UK or any other country of the European Union; are printed, with high demands for the quality of printing, in printing offices, which are partners of the IASHE;

b) Collections of scientific works issued following the results of various stages of corresponding continental championships in research analytics: published in individual sections or jointly in two or more sections; registered in one of the leading countries of the continent; are printed, with high demands for the quality of printing, in printing offices, which are partners of the IASHE;

c) Collections of scientific works issued following the results of various stages of corresponding national championships in research analytics: published in individual sections or jointly in two or more sections; registered in the country of the championship or one of the leading countries of the continent; are printed, with high demands for the quality of printing, in printing offices, which are partners of the IASHE;

d) Collections of scientific works issued following the results of individual stages of multi-stage interstate regional championships in research analytics or following the determination of final results of such one-stage championships: published in individual sections or jointly in two or more sections; registered in one of the leading countries of the region; are printed, with high demands for the quality of printing, in printing offices, which are partners of the IASHE;

e) Collections of scientific works issued following the results of individual stages of multi-stage intrastate regional championships in research analytics or following the determination of final results of such one-stage championships: published in individual sections or jointly in two or more sections; registered the country of the championship; are printed, with high demands for the quality of printing, in printing offices, which are partners of the IASHE;

2. Every year the IASHE publishes information and presentation collections "Bronze Globe of Thought" containing the best scientific works in each section of the championships held during the year, divided into parts corresponding with the levels of the championships and determination of their winners, awardees, holders of incentive prizes, as well as experts.

3. Every year the IASHE publishes the summary (universal) information and presentation collection "Bronze Globe of Thought" containing scientific works of only winners and awardees of national and continental championships, as well as the World Championship in research analytics of the corresponding year, with brief bibliographic information and photographs of the winners and awardees, as well as information on higher education institutions that became winners and awardees of the IASHE rankings.

4. Every year the IASHE publishes the summary (universal) information and presentation collection "Golden Globe of Thought" containing scientific works of only winners of national and continental championships, as well as the World Championship in research analytics of the corresponding year, with the list of the winners and awardees, as well as the experts of the stages and final championships of all levels and types held during the year, bibliographic information and photographs of the final winners and awardees of championships of all levels and types, as well as the full information on the rankings formed by the IASHE, presentation of the higher education institutions that became winners and awardees of the rankings in various nominations, as well as the whole analytical information related to the project.

5. Every year the IASHE publishes the gift information and presentation edition "Diamond Facets of Analytical Science", which contain the following information in alphabetical order divided by fields of science: photographs and presentation details of the winners and awardees of the championships held during the year, the significant scientists who took part in competitions of various levels and types, as well as the leading experts who participated in the project and the heads of the universities that became the winners and awardees of the IASHE rankings in various nominations.

6. The IASHE annually publishes the collections and information and presentation directories indicated in pp. 2-5 of this chapter of the Regulations on the basis of the official announcement of their preparation for publication in the presence of the necessary organizational, information and material conditions.

7. The collections indicated in p. 1 of this chapter of the Regulations shall be published within 1.5 – 2 months upon summarizing results of corresponding stages of championships of various levels and types.

8. The collections and information and presentation directories indicated in pp. 2-5 of this chapter of the Regulations shall be published within 2.5 – 3 months upon the dates indicated in the official announcements of their preparation for publication.

9. The periods of postal or courier delivery of collections and information and presentation directories to interested persons are not included in the terms specified in pp. 7, 8 of this chapter of the Regulations and depend only on postal and courier services of corresponding countries.

10. In addition to those specified in the rules of this chapter of the Regulations, the IASHE may issue other analytical, information and presentation and reference publications, using information related to the championships of different levels and types or directly deriving from them.

#### **11. Types of intermediate and final results**

1. All results determined in the process of conducting research analytics championships of various levels and types are divided according to the level of their significance and finality into intermediate and final results.
2. Intermediate results are rating and ranking points formed and / or corrected in the way set out in these Regulations following each stage of a championship of any level and type, on whose basis the awardees and winners of these stages are determined, as well as the statement of a list of winners is made.
3. Final results are summary rating and ranking points formed and / or corrected in the way set out in these Regulations following all stages of a championship of any level and type, on whose basis the awardees and winners of these championships are determined, as well as the statement of a list of winners is made.
4. Intermediate and final results may have an individual, collective, and ranking nature.
5. An individual result implies defining the total value of the rating and the associated number of a participant of a championship of any level and type, both at an individual stage of the championship and following its annual results.
6. A collective result implies the determination of a certain total result of participation in a championship of any level and type of a certain set of persons (community) formally interrelated this way or another. A collective result is defined for each community, both at an individual stage of a championship of any level and type and following its annual results.
7. Within the project, the following types of communities are defined and have a corresponding organizational and legal meaning:
  - a) A continent, a community expressed in an aggregate of members of a certain continental research analytics federation participating in the World Championship in research analytics and thus forming the cumulative result of the corresponding continent (the corresponding continental federation) in the championship;
  - b) A country, a community expressed in an aggregate of members of a certain national research analytics federation participating in the World Championship and a championship of a corresponding continent in research analytics and thus forming the cumulative result of the country (a certain national federation) in the championships;
  - c) A higher education institution, a community expressed in an aggregate of persons having officially declared in the project their allegiance to a certain higher education institution, participating in the World Championship, a championship of a corresponding continent and a national championship in research analytics and thus forming the cumulative result of the higher education institution in the championships.
8. A ranking result is a total, calculated using special mathematical formulas, collective result of the participation of representatives of individual higher education institutions or higher education institutions of individual countries or continents in championships of any level and type under a corresponding ranking type established the IASHE.
9. All types of intermediate and final results of championships of any level and type, except for the ranking ones, are determined in the following scales (formats):
 

Mega-championship, an interdisciplinary championship of a corresponding level (World, continental, regional, national). Its results for the past year are summarized by comparing absolute ratings of participants of competitions regardless of their field specificity;

Absolute Championship, a specialized championship of a corresponding level (World, continental, regional, national). Its results for the past year are summarized by comparing absolute ratings of participants of a competition in a corresponding field of science regardless of its thematic division;

Alpha-championship, a championship of a corresponding level (World, continental, regional, national) on a nominated specific scientific problem. Its results for the past year are summarized by comparing absolute ratings of participants of a competition in a corresponding thematic section of a certain field of science.
10. Intermediate and final ranking results under the ranking types established by the IASHE are determined for all types of ratings on the following levels:
  - a) comparing individual ratings of universities of a country at the national level;
  - b) comparing individual ratings of universities of different country at the continental level;
  - c) comparing individual ratings of universities of different countries at the global level;
  - d) comparing the total ratings of universities of individual countries at the continental level;
  - e) comparing the total ratings of universities of individual countries at the global level;
  - f) comparing the total ratings of universities of a continent at the global level;

11. The general scheme (table) of all possible variants of intermediate and final results established in the project has the following form:

***	Individual result	Collective result			Ranking result	Country
		Cont	Country	HIE	Cont	
World Championship (world level)	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	Intercontinental Rankings	Interstate rankings
Continent Championship (continental level)	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	X	Interstate rankings
National Championship (national level)	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	1.Mega 2.Abs 3.Alpha	X	X

## **12. International experts, expert evaluation and assessment of scientific works by participants of the championships**

1. To be an expert within the project, a person should have a high level of knowledge and skills in the relevant field of science and be capable of objective comparative study and evaluation of field-specific scientific works (reports, articles). Expert are specially invited by the IASHE, its official representatives or other authorized persons to participate in determining the results of research analytics championships of various levels and types.
2. The sphere of expert control is the scope of scientific works (reports, articles) which a certain expert is authorized by the IASHE to study and professionally evaluate.
3. Each person involved in the project as an expert receives an appropriate Certificate of an international expert of the IASHE.
4. In order to perform expert evaluation of each stage of a championship of any level and type, as a rule, the IASHE invites no less than 3 and no more than 7 experts that make up expert groups for a specific sphere of expert control.
5. The experts assess scientific works (reports, articles) on a 0-10 rating scale.
6. A person invited to participate in the project as an expert may along with it participate in the corresponding stage of the championship of a certain level and type, in which he/she performs expert evaluation, as a participant in the championship presenting an author's scientific work (report, article). In this case, the expert loses the right to evaluate his/her own scientific work, and the expert rating for such work substituting the author's one is calculated as the arithmetic mean value of the ratings for the work given by all other experts of the current stage of the championship, as well as the average rating given to the work by all other participants of the championship.
7. An expert is obliged to evaluate all scientific works presented in the sections within the scope of his/her expert control.
8. An expert should, if necessary, if such actions may contribute to a more accurate evaluation and justification of the expert's opinion associated with the assessment, comment on individual assessed work, ask their authors questions to clarify the exact position of the authors on various issues and enter into scientific debate with them.
9. Evaluating scientific works (reports, articles), an expert, in the descending order of priority, shall be guided by the following evaluative factors:
  - a) scientific novelty of a scientific problem solution method;
  - b) scientific novelty of a statement of a scientific problem;
  - c) the degree of argumentativeness (justification) of arguments and conclusions;
  - d) correspondence of the objectives of a scientific work to the research results formulated in it;
  - e) scientific and practical usefulness and applicability of the research results;
  - f) the characteristic of the overall substantial logic of a research and the degree of correspondence of questions (hypotheses) formulated in a work with the answers to them (conclusions);
  - g) the scale and adequacy of the use of special logical and conceptual and terminological apparatus;
  - h) the characteristic of structure of research;
  - i) scientific intensiveness of research and the scale of scientific sources studied by the author;
  - j) comparative qualitative comparison of research with other scientific works presented by participants of a championship of a corresponding level and type;
  - k) the characteristic of language of a work (the style, spelling and punctuation);
  - l) systematic comparison of the overall quality and scientific importance of assessed scientific works (reports, articles) taking into account the fact that in the case of objective equivalence of scientific works (reports, articles) it is advisable to evaluate relatively highly the works of individual authors rather than groups of authors;
  - m) other objective criteria of evaluation;
  - n) sincere conviction of an expert on the subject of the individual perception of scientific factors;
10. When studying and evaluating scientific work, an expert must:
  - a) exercise the maximal objectivity, impartiality and honesty;
  - b) be extremely independent and autonomous in making decisions;
  - c) avoid any contacts related to the participation in the project with other its participants;
  - d) keep up scientific debate with the authors of scientific works only through the dialogue windows on the corresponding websites of the project directly under reports and articles;

- e) refrain from offensive, inappropriate, arrogant or overly magisterial comments his comments to scientific works;
- f) correct erroneous assessments, if the error reveals to the expert within the period of the corresponding stage of a championship of any level and type;
- g) avoid dominance of personal sympathy of any kind, as well as discrimination of any kind in making decisions.

11. The IASHE uses all objectively available and legitimate means to ensure independence, impartiality and objectivity of its experts, as well as to exclude the possibility of their contacts related to their expert functions with the participants and other experts of corresponding stages of championships of any level and types evaluated by the experts, both prior to the championships and during them, and within a reasonable time after their end.

12. The experts involved in the project by the IASHE are given one of the following statuses (categories):

- a) International expert of a national category;
- b) International expert of a global category.

13. Experts of both statuses (categories) are invited to perform the corresponding functions by the IASHE and specially authorized persons and exempted from these functions both by a decision of the IASHE, in case of improper performance of their duties, and on their own initiative, by applying a request in the prescribed form.

14. Requirements to personal qualities of an International expert of a national category:

- a) A special higher education in the relevant field of science and sphere of activity;
- b) A corresponding academic degree and title;
- c) The presence of a significant number of publications on the issues of the relevant field of science;
- d) Pedagogic working experience in higher education (optional, but recommended);
- e) A perfect proficiency in written and spoken official language of the country, whose specialized championships will be included in the scope of the expert control of the person;
- f) A positive professional and worldly common life of the person.

15. Requirements to personal qualities of an International expert of a global category:

- a) A special higher education in the relevant field of science and sphere of activity;
- b) A corresponding academic degree and title;
- c) The presence of own scientific concepts or developments;
- d) The presence of a significant number of publications on the issues of the relevant field of science, including publications in foreign and international periodicals;
- e) Experience of participation in international research and practice conferences, seminars, as well as in other international scientific forums;
- f) Renown in the international scientific community, as well as participation in international scientific programmes;
- g) Experience in pedagogic activities, training and internship in different countries;
- h) Pedagogic working experience in higher education;
- i) A perfect proficiency in, at least, native and English languages;
- j) A positive professional and worldly common life of the person;
- k) The evidence of the expert's creative attitude towards science, based on constant self-improvement, scientific inquiry and impartial interest in the work of colleagues.

16. The status of an International expert of a national category lies in the following competences:

- a) the right to be invited for expert evaluation of reports and articles on scientific issues related to the sphere of scientific interests of an expert presented for participation in corresponding stages of certain intrastate regional and national championships;
- b) the right to evaluate scientific papers (reports, articles) within the scope of expert control of an expert, strictly complying with the rules established by the provisions of these Regulations;
- c) the right to enhance the expert category by achieving the status of an International expert of a global category, in the case of acquisition of personal qualities required by these Regulations from International experts of a global category, as well as objective, fair and full performance of functions of an International expert of a national category;
- d) the right to be a referee of national arbitration for considering controversial issues related to interstate regional and national championships in research analytics, as well as to be elected, in accordance with the rules of these Regulations, to an Arbitration Panel authorized to consider a particular dispute or conflict;

- e) the right to remuneration for the exercise of his/her functions determined and corrected by a decision of the IASHE and authorized persons;
- f) the right to primary involvement of experts who conscientiously perform their functions in research and educational projects of the IASHE.

17. The status of an International expert of a global category lies in the following competences:

- a) the right to be invited for expert evaluation of reports and articles on scientific issues related to the sphere of scientific interests of an expert presented for participation in corresponding stages of certain interstate regional and continental championships and the World Championship in research analytics;
  - b) the right to evaluate scientific papers (reports, articles) within the scope of expert control of an expert, strictly complying with the rules established by the provisions of these Regulations;
  - c) the right to be a referee of international arbitration for considering controversial issues related to interstate regional, and continental championships and the World Championship in research analytics, as well as to be elected, in accordance with the rules of these Regulations, to an Arbitration Panel authorized to consider a particular dispute or conflict.
- D) the right to remuneration for the exercise of his/her functions determined and corrected by a decision of the IASHE and authorized persons;
- e) the right to primary involvement of experts who conscientiously perform their functions in research and educational projects of the IASHE.

18. Expert groups evaluating scientific works (reports, articles) in individual sections at various stages of championships of all levels and types, in the presence of a sufficient number of experts in the relevant field of science in the project, are subject to mandatory rotation. Such rotation is carried out by a random determination of personal composition of expert groups using a special computer programme.

19. The experts whose scientific specialization is characterized by a certain interdisciplinary breadth, in strict accordance with the provisions of these Regulations and according to their qualifications, may participate within the relevant expert groups, in the evaluation of scientific works (reports, articles) belonging to both individual sections of championships of any levels and types within the same field of science, and to the relevant sections of different fields of science.

20. Experts participating in the project receive from the IASHE or its official representatives remuneration in the form of material rewards, as well as various kinds of benefits and privileges, within the parameters set by the IASHE, officially declared on the websites of the corresponding competitions and concretized in the agreements between the IASHE or its official partners, and the corresponding experts.

21. The following additional bonuses will be provided to experts, who honestly (competently) fulfill their obligations, except standard benefits, approved by the present Regulations and other Acts of the IASHE:

- a) For one-time honest (competent) fulfillment of obligations the expert will be provided with the open option – one free participation in research analytics championship (not taking the relevant publication and shipping into account). This option may be used any time by the expert or can be transferred to any person, who did not take part in research analytics championships before but has a right to do so, on a paid basis (limited by the cost of participation in scientific-analytical events, stated by the IASHE) or freely.
- b) If together with honest (competent) realization of the expert status certain expert becomes the winner or an awardee of the relevant stage of the national Alpha-championship, the number of options stated in par. A will be increased: for the 3rd place – by 0.5 options, for the 2nd place – by 1 option, for the 1st place – by 2 options.

Options may be transferred and used only as one full (integral) free participation in research analytics championship.

22. The expert invited by the IASHE who honestly fulfilled his functions 4 times in a row, is provided with the privileged status of the «International Grand-Expert of the III Grade», confirmed by the special certificate of the IASHE.

23. Status of the International Grand-Expert is kept by the relevant person during all the period time as he honestly (competently) fulfills expert functions in the PLATONICK project upon the invitation of the IASHE.

24. After becoming a winner or the awardee of the national Absolute championship of a certain year, the International Grand-Expert gets a higher level of class category: for the 3rd place - «International Grand-Expert of the II Grade»; for the 2nd place - «International Grand-Expert of the I Grade»; for the 1st place - «International Grand-Expert of Premier Class».

25. Class category of the International Grand-Expert, acquired during previous periods, may be increased by current tournament achievements of the relevant person in every reporting year, but can't be lowered this way.

26. International Grand-Expert of the II Grade also gets the status of the International Scientific Expert of the International Commerce and Industry Union (IUCI, London, UK). He may be invited to take part in the realization of the IUCI program «International certification standard of quality – 775» («ICSQ-775») on a paid basis.

27. International Grand-Expert of the I Grade gets the status of the International Scientific Expert of the International Commerce and Industry Union (IUCI, London, UK) and also becomes the Scientific Consultant of the American International Commercial Arbitration Court (AICAC, Wilmington, USA). He may be invited to take part in the expert activity within the arbitration process on a paid basis.

28. International Grand-Expert of Premier Class gets the status of the International Scientific Expert of the International Commerce and Industry Union (IUCI, London, UK) and also becomes the Arbitrator-consultant of the American International Commercial Arbitration Court (AICAC, Wilmington, USA). He may be invited to take part in arbitration procedures on a paid basis.

29. Persons with a status of the International Grand-Expert of Premier Class for 2 years and more, get a right to establish their Chairs or courses in the IASHE in order to implement their author's programs of additional or higher education in accordance with the internal documents of the IASHE

30. Each member of the corresponding stages of championships of various levels and types is required to evaluate all but his/her own, scientific works (reports, articles) presented in the same section as his/her scientific work, in accordance with the rules provided in pp. 5,9 and 10 of this chapter of the Regulations.

31. A participant of a championship of a certain level and type who hasn't evaluated at least one scientific work (report, article) presented in the same section of the championship as his/her work during the time of the corresponding stage of the championship is considered as having refused to evaluate. The refusal entails dismissal of the person from participation in the competitive programme of the corresponding stage of the championship and giving him/her the status of a conference participant at this stage.

32. A deliberately irresponsible, biased or incorrect evaluation entails for the participant who performs it the consequences provided for in p. 30 of this chapter of the Regulations and is not counted in summarizing the results of the corresponding championship.

33. Active commenting by participants of championships of any the levels and types of scientific works presented in the same sections as theirs (in nominated sections) increases their individual rankings and, thus, the chances for success in the respective championship.

34. The formula for calculating the final rating of a report (article) at a stage of a competition of any level and type in research analytics in a relevant section is as follows:

$FR = (ARE \times 2) + ARP + (NPA \times 0.1)$ , where:

FR – "final rating";

ARE – "average rating by experts", calculated as a sum of points given by all experts who have the work (APE) divided by the number of the experts (NE);

ARP – "average rating by participants of the conference (stage of a championship) who have assessed the work", calculated as a sum of points given by all participants who have the work (APP) divided by the number of the participants (NE);

NPA - "number of participants having assessed the work" (assessing means giving a rating).

On the basis of the " FR " assessment, the winners and prize-winners are determined at separate stages of championships of various levels and types.

35. The formula for calculating the current and final personal ranking of a participant of a competition of any level and type (Personal research effectiveness ranking, PRER) in a relevant nominated section is as follows (the formula is calculated after each stage of the championship in each section):

$(NS + (NDi \times 1.4 \times DCD) + (NDc \times 0.8 \times DCD)) * / ((Npi \times 1) + (Npc \times 1.2)) \times (Np \times 0.4) + (AR \times 0.1) + (AC \times 0.1) + BPOC$ , where:

NS - number of stages (number of stages within the nominated category, that the relevant person has taken part in during the year)

NDi – "number of diplomas received as an independent author" (i.e., the number of diplomas received for independent reports (articles) presented in a relevant nominated section, as well as in "open specialized sections");

DCD - "degree coefficient of the diploma": gold diploma - 1.8; silver diploma -1.6; bronze diploma - 1.4; incentive diploma - 1.2.

NDc – "number of diplomas received as a co-author" (i.e., the number of diplomas received for reports (articles) presented in co-authorship in a relevant nominated section, as well as in "open specialized sections");

Npi – "number of participations as an independent author" (i.e., the number of participations with reports (articles) presented independently in a relevant nominated section, as well as in "open specialized sections");

Npc – "number of participations as a co-author" (i.e., the number of participations with reports (articles) presented in co-authorship in a relevant nominated section, as well as in "open specialized sections");

Np – "number of participations" (i.e., the total number of reports, presented in the nominated section during the whole year);

AR – "average rating" (i.e. the average rating given for all reports of any type in all sections except for "open interdisciplinary sections") calculated using the formula: the total given rating (TR) / overall number of evaluated works of an author (TNW);

AC – "activity coefficient" (i.e. the number of comments left by a person in dialogue windows of reports of other participants in a nominated section);

BPOC – "bonuses for participation in other competitions" (i.e. additional points added to the rating for participation and success in other nominated sections of the same level or in competitions of other levels in nominated sections and "open specialized sections"). BPOC is calculated as follows: the total bonuses for participation in other competitions (TBOC) x coefficient of the level of a competition in whose personal ranking the bonuses are counted (CLC).



\* - Within each stage of the championship in one section, the participant has the right to submit up to 3 author's works. Therefore, in the numerator of the formula, the coefficient grades of all diplomas that can be obtained by the participant at the stage of the championship in a particular section are summed up.

<b>Table for calculating additional bonuses to individual PRER rankings</b>														
<b>Ranking</b>	<b>Participation</b>			<b>Gold diploma</b>			<b>Silver diploma</b>			<b>Bronze diploma</b>			<b>Incentive diplomas</b>	
	NAT	CONT	WORLD	NAT	CONT	WORLD	NAT	CONT	WORLD	NAT	CONT	WORLD	NAT	CONT
NAT R.	0.2	0.4	0.6	3	4	5	2	3	4	1	2	3	0.5	1
CONT R.	0.2	0.5	1	1	1.5	3	0.8	1.2	2	0.6	1	1.5	0.4	0.6
WORLD R	0.1	0.5	0.8	0.5	2	3	0.3	1.5	2	0.2	1	1.5	0.1	0.5

<b>Table of coefficients of level of competitions (CLC)</b>														
National ranking													0.01	
Continental ranking													0.02	
<b>World ranking</b>													<b>0.03</b>	

36. With the help of the PRER rating, the results of the championships in scientific analytics at the end of the year of various levels and types are determined. Such totals are calculated by setting values corresponding to a specific format of the championship into the PRER formula.

### **13. Rankings of the IASHE**

1. Ranking of the IASHE is a system of data calculated using a special formula, in the form of numerical and ordinal rates representing an absolute and relative value, as well as a hierarchy of compared values describing the scientific and analytical activity and effectiveness of participation in the project of individuals, as well as educational institutions, countries and continents they represent.

2. The purpose of rankings comes to identifying, under the objective criteria, a comparative superiority of one contestants over the other and thus defining the winners and awardees of the ranking evaluation for the relevant periods.

3. Besides the PRER ranking, which is described in p. 28 of chapter 12 of these Regulations, the following types of rankings are also formed, systematically and synchronously corrected and are subject to final absolute and analytical statement in the project:

a) Universities general (interdisciplinary) research activity ranking (UGRAR)

The ranking is calculated by summing the total number of scientific works (reports, articles) - TNW, presented in any sections at the stages of championships (conferences) of corresponding levels by the students, postgraduates, teachers or graduates of individual universities (regardless of field specificity of the universities and themes of the conferences).

UGRAR is calculated in the following versions:

National UGRAR (NUGRAR), counting only reports of the representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country;

Continental UGRAR (CUGRAR), counting reports of the representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain continent;

Continental Interstate CUGRAR (CICUGRAR), classified into categories by individual countries;

World UGRAR (WUGRAR), counting reports of the representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships;

World Interstate WUGRAR (WIWUGRAR), classified into categories by individual countries/continents;

Global (absolute) UGRAR, counting reports of the representatives of the universities participating in championships of any level and type. The Global UGRAR (GUGRAR) is calculated using the following formula:

$GUGRAR = (NWN \times 1) + (NWC \times 1.5) + (NWW \times 2)$ , where:

NWN – number of works from representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country,

NWC – number of works from representatives of the universities participating in the continental championships,

NWW – number of works from representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships.

World Interstate GUGRAR (WIGUGRAR), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

B) Universities special (field-specific) research activity ranking (USRAR)

The ranking is calculated by summing the total number of specialized (field-specific) scientific works (reports, articles) presented in the nominated and open specialized sections (not counting open interdisciplinary sections) at the stages of championships (conferences) of corresponding levels in a certain field of science by the students, postgraduates, teachers or graduates of individual universities (regardless of field specificity of the universities and specific sections in which the works are presented for participation in championships in the corresponding field of science).

The USRAR ranking is calculated separately for each field of science and has the following variations:

National USRAR (NUSRAR), counting only field-specific reports of the representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country;

Continental USRAR (CUSRAR), counting field-specific reports of the representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain continent;

Continental Interstate CUSRAR (CICUSRAR), classified into categories by individual countries;

World USRAR (WUSRAR), counting field-specific reports of the representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships;

World Interstate WUSRAR (WIWUSRAR), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

Global (absolute) USRAR, counting field-specific reports of the representatives of the universities participating in championships of any level and type. The Global USRAR (GUSRAR) is calculated using the following formula:

$GUSRAR = (NWN \times 1) + (NWC \times 1.5) + (NWW \times 2)$ , where:

NWN – number of field-specific works from representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country,

NWC – number of field-specific works from representatives of the universities participating in the continental championships,

NWW – number of field-specific works from representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships.

World Interstate GUSRAR (WIGUSRAR), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

C) Universities general (interdisciplinary) research effectiveness ranking (UGRER)

The ranking is calculated by summing the total number of rating points (expert ratings) for the entire set of scientific works - "RPSSR" (reports, articles), presented at the stages of championships in all sections (except for open interdisciplinary sections) by the students, postgraduates, teachers and graduates of individual universities (regardless of field specificity of the universities and themes of the conferences), further dividing this sum of points by the number of such works - "NW", and adding to the result: 1 point for each presented work - "W" and 2 points for each diploma of the winner or awardee, or an incentive diploma ("D") given to a sole author, and 1.5 points to the co-authors. The formula for calculating UGRER is as follows :  $RPSSR / NW + (1 \times W) + (2 \text{ (or } 1.5) \times D)$ .

The UGRER ranking has the following variations:

National UGRER (NUGRER), counting reports and diplomas won by the representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country;

Continental UGRER (CUGRER), counting reports and diplomas won by the representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain continent;

Continental Interstate CUGRER (CICUGRER), classified into categories by individual countries;

World UGRER (WUGRER), counting reports and diplomas won by the representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships;

World Interstate WUGRER (WIWUGRER), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

Global (absolute) UGRER (GUGRER), counting works (reports, articles) presented in the championships (conferences) of all types and levels, as well as participating in any sections except open interdisciplinary ones. GUGRER is calculated using the following formula:

$GUGRER = RPSSR / TNW + ((1 \times WN) \times NCC) + ((1 \times WC) \times CCC) + ((1 \times WW) \times WCC) + ((2 \text{ (or } 1.5) \times DN) \times NCC) + ((2 \text{ (or } 1.5) \times DC) \times CCC) + ((2 \text{ (or } 1.5) \times WD) \times WCC)$ , where:

RPSSR is the total number of rating points for the entire set of scientific works by the representatives of the university (the sum of points) given in competitions of various levels and types;

TNW – total number of works presented by the university in competitions of various levels and types;

WN – number of works from representatives of the university in the national championships;

WC – number of works from representatives of the university in the continental championships;

WW – number of works from representatives of the university in the World Championships;

DN – number of diplomas of representatives of the university in the national championships;

DC – number of diplomas of representatives of the university in the continental championships;

WD – number of diplomas of representatives of the university in the World Championships;

NCC – national competition coefficient, which equals to 1;

CCC – continental competition coefficient, which equals to 1.5;

WCC – World Championship coefficient, which equals to 2.

World Interstate GUGRER (WIGUGRER), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

D) Universities special (field-specific) research effectiveness ranking (USRER)

The USRER ranking is calculated the same way as the UGRER, but with the classification of ratings by individual fields of science.

Variations of the USRER:

National USRER (NUSRER), counting field-specific reports and diplomas won by the representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country in a specific field of science;

Continental USRER (CUSRER), counting field-specific reports and diplomas won by the representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain continent in a specific field of science;

Continental Interstate USRER (CIUSRER), classified into categories by individual countries;

World USRER (WUSRER), counting field-specific reports and diplomas won by the representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships in a specific field of science;

World Interstate USRER (WIUSRER), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

Global (absolute) USRER (GUSRER), counting field-specific works (reports, articles) presented in the championships (conferences) under a specific field of science of all levels, participating in any sections except open interdisciplinary ones. GUSRER is calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{GUSRER} = \text{RPSSR} / \text{TNW} + ((1 \times \text{WN}) \times \text{NCC}) + ((1 \times \text{WC}) \times \text{CCC}) + ((1 \times \text{WW}) \times \text{WCC}) + ((2 \text{ (or } 1.5) \times \text{DN}) \times \text{NCC}) + ((2 \text{ (or } 1.5) \times \text{DC}) \times \text{CCC}) + ((2 \text{ (or } 1.5) \times \text{WD}) \times \text{WCC}),$$
 where:

RPSSR is the total number of rating points for the entire set of field-specific scientific works by the representatives of the university (the sum of points) given in competitions of various levels and types;

TNW – total number of field-specific works presented by the university in competitions of various levels and types;

WN – number of field-specific works from representatives of the university in the national championships;

WC – number of field-specific works from representatives of the university in the continental championships;

WW – number of field-specific works from representatives of the university in the World Championships;

DN – number of field-specific diplomas of representatives of the university in the national championships;

DC – number of field-specific diplomas of representatives of the university in the continental championships;

WD – number of field-specific diplomas of representatives of the university in the World Championships;

NCC – national competition coefficient, which equals to 1;

CCC – continental competition coefficient, which equals to 1.5;

WCC – World Championship coefficient, which equals to 2.

World Interstate GUSRER (WIGUSRER), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

E) Young researchers and students general (interdisciplinary) research activity ranking (YGRAR)

The YGRAR ranking is calculated the same way as the UGRAR, but counting only scientific works of students, postgraduates and graduands.

YGRAR has the same types as UGRAR, but with age classification:

National YGRAR (NYGRAR), counting only reports of young representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country;

Continental YGRAR (CYGRAR), counting reports of young representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain continent;

Continental Interstate CYGRAR (CICYGRAR), classified into categories by individual countries;

World YGRAR (WYGRAR), counting reports of young representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships;

World Interstate WYGRAR (WIWYGRAR), classified into categories by individual countries/continents;

Global (absolute) YGRAR, counting reports of the representatives of the universities participating in championships of any level and type. Global UGRAR (GUGRAR)

World Interstate GYGRAR (WIGYGRAR), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

F) Young researchers and students general (interdisciplinary) research effectiveness ranking (YGRER)

$YGRER = (((YDC/TNDC) \times 1.2) + ((YDI/TNDI) \times 2) / (WY/TNW)) \times (0.1 \times NDY)$ , where:

YDC – the number of diplomas received by young representatives of a university in co-authorship for works presented in all sections (except for open interdisciplinary ones);

TNDC – total number of diplomas received by representatives of a university in co-authorship for works presented in all sections (except for open interdisciplinary ones);

YDI – the number of diplomas received by individual young representatives of a university independently for works presented in all sections (except for open interdisciplinary ones);

TNDI – total number of diplomas received by individual representatives of a university independently for works presented in all sections (except for open interdisciplinary ones);

WY – total number of works by young representatives of a university (written both independently and in co-authorship) presented in all sections (except for open interdisciplinary ones);

TNW – total number of works by representatives of a university presented in all sections (except for open interdisciplinary ones);

NDY – total number of diplomas received by young representatives of a university both independently and in co-authorship for works presented in all sections (except for open interdisciplinary ones).

The YGRER ranking has the following variations:

National YGRER (NYGRER), counting reports and diplomas won by young representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country;

Continental YGRER (CYGRER), counting reports and diplomas won by young representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain continent;

Continental Interstate CYGRER (CICYGRER), classified into categories by individual countries;

World YGRER (WYGRER), counting reports and diplomas won by young representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships;

World Interstate WYGRER (WIWYGRER), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

Global (absolute) YGRER (GYGRER), counting works (reports, articles) presented by young researchers in the championships (conferences) of all types and levels, as well as participating in any sections except open interdisciplinary ones. GYGRER is calculated using the following formula:

$GYGRER = (((YDC/TNDC) \times 1.2) + ((YDI/TNDI) \times 2) / (WY/TNW)) \times (0.1 \times NDY) \times NCC + (((YDC/TNDC) \times 1.2) + ((YDI/TNDI) \times 2) / (WY/TNW)) \times (0.1 \times NDY) \times CCC + (((YDC/TNDC) \times 1.2) + ((YDI/TNDI) \times 2) / (WY/TNW)) \times (0.1 \times NDY) \times WCC$

NCC – national competition coefficient, which equals to 1;

CCC – continental competition coefficient, which equals to 1.5;

WCC – World Championship coefficient, which equals to 2.

World Interstate GYGRER (WIGYGRER), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

G) Young researchers and students special research effectiveness ranking (YSRER)

The YSRER ranking is calculated the same way as the YGRER and has the same variations, but with the classification of works and diplomas of young representatives of universities by individual fields of science.

Variations of the YSRER:

National YSRER (NYSRER), counting field-specific reports and diplomas won by young representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain country;

Continental YSRER (CYSRER), counting field-specific reports and diplomas won by young representatives of the universities participating in the championships of a certain continent;

Continental Interstate CYSRER (CICYSRER), classified into categories by individual countries;

World YSRER (WYSRER), counting field-specific reports and diplomas won by young representatives of the universities participating in the World Championships;

World Interstate WYSRER (WIWYSRER), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

Global (absolute) YSRER (GYSRER), counting field-specific works (reports, articles) presented by young researchers in the championships (conferences) of all types and levels, as well as participating in any sections except open interdisciplinary ones (using the GYGRER formula).

World Interstate GYSRER (WIGYSRER), classified into categories by individual countries/continents.

4. The IASHE may create, modify, analyse and summarize the rankings specified in these Regulations without the consent of persons involved.

5. In each reporting year, the IASHE may at its own discretion form all of the rankings specified in these Regulations or individual variations of them, as well as introduce new types of rankings or correct the content and system of calculating the existing types of rankings, by making corresponding changes to these Regulations.

#### **14. Determining intermediate and final results**

1. The result of a championship of any level and type is a statement of absolute and comparative rates of comparison of the participants of such championships in various evaluation criteria and using the approved mechanisms for implementing such a statement, in order to define the winners and awardees of championships of any level and type.

2. Results of the championship of any type and level are divided into intermediate and final results. Intermediate results are those defined (calculated) within individual parts of corresponding championships. Final results of the championships of various levels and types are calculated by summing up the intermediate results of these championships.

3. Intermediate results of championships of any level and type are identified in accordance with the procedure laid down in these Regulations in the course of each stage of the championships or for a certain calendar period.

4. Final results of championships of any level and type are identified in accordance with the procedure laid down in these Regulations, taking into account the sum of intermediate results defined in the stages of the championships held during a corresponding year. The IASHE, together with the corresponding official partners may establish special rules for determining the final results of individual intra- and interstate regional championships.

5. All types of results of championships of any level and type determined (calculated) in the framework of the project in accordance with these Regulations may have a formal or official status. Formal results are objective statistical, mathematical or analytical rates formed as a result of respective championships of various levels and types or their stages, on the basis of which winners and awardees of championships of various levels and types are not officially announced. Official results are objective statistical, mathematical or analytical rates formed as a result of respective championships of various levels and types or their stages, on the basis of which winners and awardees of championships of various levels and types or their stages are officially announced and awarded.

6. In the course of each stage of championships of any level and type, official intermediate results in the PRER ranking in the framework of the Mega-championship, Absolute Championship and Alpha-championship are determined (calculated).

7. Official intermediate collective results of championships of any level and type are determined (calculated) quarterly (once in three months), taking into account the stages held during the corresponding period in the framework of the Mega-championship, Absolute Championship and Alpha-championship.

8. For all rankings of the IASHE except PRER formal intermediate results are stated, as well as synchronously and systematically counted in correcting the aggregate ratings of the corresponding ranking tables following the results of each stage of championships of any level and type, and official intermediate results are determined (calculated) quarterly (once in three months).

9. The periods within which the corresponding intermediate and final results of championships of all levels and types are to be determined (calculated) are set by the IASHE when announcing such championships in each subsequent calendar year, but they can not exceed 30 days from the date of the event or from the day all the facts necessary and sufficient to determine the results established in these Regulations become objectively known.

#### **15. Financial compound of the project**

1. The project has a complex socio-commercial nature expressed in the fact that, while the IASHE has no objective to gain profit from the project as its main goal, the main aim of the IASHE is the development and improvement of new and effective mechanisms promoting the globalization and the popularization of science, increasing its social importance, and receiving a certain income is a necessary co-factor of the project.

2. The sources of financing for the project are the following types of income:

a) Registration fee charged from participants of each stage of competitions of all levels and types;

b) Payment for on-line publication on the websites of corresponding competitions and publication in the collections of scientific works published in accordance with the provisions of these Regulations, of each page of a scientific work (report, article) presented for participation in a stage of a particular championship or conference;

c) Compensation for the cost of postal or courier delivery of collections of scientific works to authors;

d) Income from advertising;

e) Aid, material support and targeted rewards from sponsors and supporters;

f) Income from free sale of collections of scientific works;

g) Other income.

3. The main expenses associated with the project are:

- a) Creation, support and development of websites of the project;
  - b) Issue and delivery of collections of scientific works;
  - c) Promotion expenses;
  - d) Payment of rewards to the project participants, winners and awardees;
  - e) Development (including geographical expansion of the project and increase of the number of scientists involved in it in various roles) and improvement of the project;
  - e) Remuneration of employees of the IASHE involved in the project, as well as corresponding fees paid to official representatives and regional partners;
  - g) Allocation of a part of income for partial funding of other scientific, research, educational and other socially useful projects of the IASHE.
4. The general strategy of financial and material development of the project is aimed at a gradual, following the positive changes in relevant circumstances, reduction of expenses of the project participants related to the fact of participation in it, and increase of income from advertising projects, sponsors and supporters, as well as from other projects of the IASHE. This trend should be developed in parallel with the increase of prestige of participation and success in the project, increasing the rewards of the winners of competitions of all levels and types, as well as their stage.
5. The amounts of fees indicated in sub-paragraphs a), b) and c) of p. 2 of this chapter of the Regulations are established annually by the IASHE when officially announcing competitions of corresponding levels and types and may be subject to early current correction only in the cases predefined by objective organizational and economic circumstances.

#### **16. Awarding of winners**

1. Awarding of winners and awardees of stages of championships of all levels and types (the winners) at the initial stage of the project is mostly performed distantly, by a one-time implementation by the IASHE and/or its official representatives or other authorized persons of the corresponding possible actions from the following list:
  - a) Official announcement of winners, through publication on the official website of the corresponding championships, and archiving such information in a commonly accessible form;
  - b) Publication of information on the announcement of winners by publishing such information in the collections of scientific works published following the results of stages of the corresponding championships;
  - c) Broad announcement and dissemination of the corresponding information in the thematic press conferences, as well as through distribution of press releases;
  - d) Postal and courier delivery of prizes, diplomas and other information and symbolic and image rewards to winners;
  - e) Transfer of money rewards into bank accounts of winners or sending such funds through the system of money transfers;
  - f) Provision of officially approved benefits, preferences and privileges, as well as exclusive rights to participate in various projects of the IASHE;
  - g) Publication of information about the winners in the information and reference, presentation and image publications of the IASHE.
2. By decision of the the IASHE and/or its official representatives or other authorized persons, in the presence of corresponding organizational capacities and by agreement with the winners, the latter are awarded prizes and other incentives in a festive atmosphere directly by authorized representatives of the IASHE.
3. Awarding of the final winners of the championships of all levels and types is carried out in a festive atmosphere at special ceremonies directly by authorized representatives of the IASHE. The time, place and programmes of such special ceremonies, as well as the list, composition and size of the prizes and awards are previously published on the websites of the corresponding championships.
4. Official announcement (publication) of personal composition of the final winners of championships of all levels and types is performed in the manner prescribed in p. 1 of this chapter of the Regulations.
5. Awarding of the winners of championships of all levels and types is considered complete from the moment of actual receipt by the said persons of their awards, prizes or rewards, or upon the end of official ceremonies in which such awards, prizes or rewards are given. Awarding of winners of championships of all levels and types is carried out by the IASHE or its official representatives and other authorized persons no later than 45 calendar days after the official determination of intermediate and final results of championships of all levels and types.

#### **17. Publication of results of championships**

1. Publication of intermediate and final results of championships of all levels and types lies in a broad dissemination in the territories corresponding to the level of each of the championships, of the following information:
  - a) on statistical, analytical and mathematical results;
  - b) on the personal composition of winners and awardees.
2. Publication of intermediate and final results of championships of all levels and types is performed through interactive, electronic and printed mass media chosen by the IASHE, as well as through other widespread means.

3. Publication of intermediate and final results of championships of all levels and types is carried out by the IASHE or its official representatives and other authorized persons no later than 30 calendar days after the official determination of the corresponding results.

### **18. Arbitration**

1. Arbitration is understood in the project as the way to resolve disagreements, disputes, objections, complaints and other conflict situations arising in different variations in relationships between the participants, experts and organizers of the project in the person of the IASHE and its official representatives and other authorized persons.

2. Arbitration is carried out in strict accordance with these Regulations, the founding documents of the IASHE and rules of international law, as well as taking into account the generally accepted understanding of justice and fair and complete investigation of all the circumstances of each issue resolved by arbitration.

3. The following categories of issues may be subject to arbitral consideration:

- issues related to the procedure of conducting championships;
- issues related to the evaluation of scientific works (reports, articles) and the determination of intermediate and final results of corresponding championships;
- ethical issues.

4. Arbitration procedures are carried out by Arbitration Panels consisting of three referees whose personal compositions are determined in accordance with these Regulations. Depending on the level of the championship, during which the issue that requires arbitration arose, the Arbitration Panel formed in connection with it may have a national or international status.

5. Issues related to the procedure of conducting championships are considered by Arbitration Panels consisting of: an authorized representative of the IASHE chosen randomly using a special computer programme, an expert of a relevant category, as well as the person who made the request for arbitration.

6. Issues related to the evaluation of scientific works (reports, articles) and determination of intermediate and final results of corresponding championships are considered by Arbitration Panels consisting of: two specialized (being experts in the relevant field of science) experts of a relevant category chosen randomly using a special computer programme, as well as the person who made the request for arbitration.

7. Ethical issues are considered by Arbitration Panels consisting of: an authorized representative of the IASHE chosen randomly using a special computer programme, an expert of a relevant category, as well as the person who made the request for arbitration.

8. Arbitration may be initiated by any interested person who deems that his/her rights and legitimate interests in the project were somehow violated, by sending to the Head of the Department of International Projects of the IASHE an appropriate reasoned free-format application from the applicant's personal e-mail.

9. A reasoned application for the initiation of arbitration may be filed by an interested person within three calendar days from the date when the person became or should have become aware of the fact of violation of his/her rights or legitimate interests.

10. Each Arbitration Panel appointed in accordance with the rules established by these Regulations must make an objective and fair decision on the application under consideration within a period not exceeding five days. Such a decision enters into force and, therefore, becomes unappealable upon three days after it was made.

11. A decision of any Arbitration Panel within three days upon it was made may be appealed by the applicant dissatisfied with it or other interested person by filing an appropriate reasoned free-format application for an appeal to the President of the IASHE from a personal e-mail of the appellant.

12. A decision on an application for an appeal made solely by the President of the IASHE enters into force immediately, is final and unappealable.

### **19. Types of awards for the winners**

1. In the framework of the project, the following types of awards for the winners and awardees of championships of various levels and types are given:

1.1. Following the results of each stage of the championships of any type and level, on the basis of **the FR ranking (paragraph 27 of chapter 12 of the Regulation)**:

a) Alpha-championship (awards for the winners of open and thematic specialized sections of individual stages of the championships) of a national, continental and world level:

Golden Diploma and a reward established by the IASHE;

Silver Diploma and a reward established by the IASHE;

Bronze Diploma and a reward established by the IASHE;

Incentive diplomas: "In the forefront of science", "Scientific progress", "Leader of scientific thought", "For the originality of thought";

b) Absolute Championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners of the current **FR ranking** within a specific field of science following the results of stages of the championships (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of this field of science)) of a national, continental and world level:

Diploma of Thinker, given once a year to a participant of the project having obtained the status of the winner of the Absolute Championship following the results of a stage of a competition for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Diploma of Thinker

Silver Sign stuck on the Diploma of Thinker

Bronze Sign stuck on the Diploma of Thinker

c) Mega-championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners of the current **FR ranking** within an interdisciplinary field following the results of stages of the championships (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of all fields of science)) of a national, continental and world level:

Diploma of Verity, given once a year to a participant of the project having obtained the status of the winner of the Mega-championship following the results of a stage of a championship for the first time;

Diamond sign stuck on the Diploma of Verity

Ruby sign stuck on the Diploma of Verity

Emerald sign stuck on the Diploma of Verity

1.2. Following the results of all the stages of the corresponding championships during a year, on the basis of the PRER **ranking (paragraph 28 of chapter 12 of the Regulation):**

a) Alpha-championship (awards for the winners of thematic specialized sections of the championships) of a national, continental and world level:

First Class Diploma of Athena, medal of the champion and a reward established by the IASHE;

Second Class Diploma of Athena, medal of the second winner and a reward established by the IASHE;

Third Class Diploma of Athena, medal of the third winner and a reward established by the IASHE;

b) Absolute Championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners of the annual final PRER ranking within a specific field of science following the results of all stages of the championships during a year (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of this field of science)) of a national, continental and world level:

First Class Diploma of Excellence, medal of the champion and a reward established by the IASHE;

Second Class Diploma of Excellence, medal of the second winner and a reward established by the IASHE;

Third Class Diploma of Excellence, medal of the third winner and a reward established by the IASHE;

c) Mega-championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners of the annual final PRER ranking within an interdisciplinary field following the results of all stages of the championships (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of all fields of science)) of a national, continental and world level:

Golden Diploma "The Wisdom of Plato", medal of the champion, medal of the IASHE "Scientific progress" and a reward established by the IASHE;

Silver Diploma of Sophia, medal of the second winner, medal of the IASHE "Scientific progress" and a reward established by the IASHE;

Bronze Diploma "Intellectual Olympus", medal of the third winner, medal of the IASHE "Scientific progress" and a reward established by the IASHE;

1.3. Following 1.3.1. Universities championship of national, continental and world levels:

a) Alpha-championship

"Golden Certificate of Development of Science" and a reward established by the IASHE;

"Silver Certificate of Development of Science" and a reward established by the IASHE;

"Bronze Certificate of Development of Science" and a reward established by the IASHE;

b) Absolute Championship

"Golden Certificate of Thought" and a reward established by the IASHE;

"Silver Certificate of Thought" and a reward established by the IASHE;

"Bronze Certificate of Thought" and a reward established by the IASHE;

c) Mega-championship



"Golden Certificate of Intellect" and a reward established by the IASHE;

"Silver Certificate of Intellect" and a reward established by the IASHE;

"Bronze Certificate of Intellect" and a reward established by the IASHE;

1.3.2. Interstate championship at the continental and world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

a) Alpha-championship

"Global Golden Certificate of Development of Science"

"Global Silver Certificate of Development of Science"

"Global Bronze Certificate of Development of Science"

b) Absolute Championship

"Global Golden Certificate of Thought"

"Global Silver Certificate of Thought"

"Global Bronze Certificate of Thought"

c) Mega-championship

"Global Golden Certificate of Intellect"

"Global Silver Certificate of Intellect"

"Global Bronze Certificate of Intellect" the official intermediate collective results of championships of any level and type (quarterly (once in three months)):

1.3.3. Intercontinental Championship (continents - winners are only announced by a declaration and statement of information):

a) Alpha-championship

b) Absolute Championship

c) Mega-championship

1.4. Following the results of all the stages of the corresponding championships during a year, and on the basis of official collective results of championships of any level and type:

1.4.1. Universities championship of national, continental and world levels:

a) Alpha-championship

Diploma "Golden Cathedra" and a reward established by the IASHE;

Diploma "Silver Cathedra" and a reward established by the IASHE;

Diploma "Bronze Cathedra" and a reward established by the IASHE;

b) Absolute Championship

Diploma "Golden Walls of Alma Mater" and a reward established by the IASHE

Diploma "Silver Walls of Alma Mater" and a reward established by the IASHE

Diploma "Bronze Walls of Alma Mater" and a reward established by the IASHE

c) Mega-championship

Diploma "Golden Cradle of Science" and a reward established by the IASHE;

Diploma "Silver Cradle of Science" and a reward established by the IASHE;

Diploma "Bronze Cradle of Science" and a reward established by the IASHE;

1.4.2. Interstate championship at the continental and world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

a) Alpha-championship

Diploma "Golden Territory of Knowledge"

Diploma "Silver Territory of Knowledge"

Diploma "Bronze Territory of Knowledge"

b) Absolute Championship

Diploma "Country of Golden Science"

Diploma "Country of Silver Science"

Diploma "Country of Bronze Science"

c) Mega-championship

Diploma "Golden Summit of Science"

Diploma "Silver Summit of Science"

Diploma "Bronze Summit of Science"

1.4.3. Intercontinental Championship (continents - winners are only announced by a declaration and statement of information):

a) Alpha-championship

b) Absolute Championship

c) Mega-championship

1.5. According to all rankings of the IASHE, except for PRER, the IASHE quarterly (once in three months) determines the following official intermediate results:

1.5.1. Universities general (interdisciplinary) research activity ranking (UGRAR)

a) Universities ranking championship of the national level:

National UGRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the National UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the National UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the National UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

b) Universities ranking championship of the continental level:

Continental UGRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

c) Universities ranking championship of the world level:

Global UGRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global UGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRAR, CUGRAR, WUGRAR, GUGRAR;

d) Interstate ranking championship at the continental level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: CICUGRAR, WIWUGRAR, WIGUGRAR;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUGRAR, WIWUGRAR, WIGUGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUGRAR, WIWUGRAR, WIGUGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUGRAR, WIWUGRAR, WIGUGRAR;

e) Interstate ranking championship at the world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: WIWUGRAR, WIGUGRAR;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUGRAR, WIGUGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUGRAR, WIGUGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUGRAR, WIGUGRAR;

1.5.2. Universities special (field-specific) research activity ranking (USRAR), calculated for each individual field of science in which championships were held within a reporting period

a) Universities ranking championship of the national level:

National USRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the National USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the National USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the National USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

b) Universities ranking championship of the continental level:

Continental USRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

c) Universities ranking championship of the world level:

Global USRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

Sliver Sign stuck on the Global USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global USRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRAR, CUSRAR, WUSRAR, GUSRAR;

d) Interstate ranking championship at the continental level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: CICUSRAR, WIWUSRAR, WIGUSRAR;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUSRAR, WIWUSRAR, WIGUSRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUSRAR, WIWUSRAR, WIGUSRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUSRAR, WIWUSRAR, WIGUSRAR;

e) Interstate ranking championship at the world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: WIWUSRAR, WIGUSRAR;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUSRAR, WIGUSRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUSRAR, WIGUSRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUSRAR, WIGUSRAR.

#### 1.5.3. Universities general (interdisciplinary) research effectiveness ranking (UGRER)

##### a) Universities ranking championship of the national level:

National UGRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the National UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the National UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the National UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

##### b) Universities ranking championship of the continental level:

Continental UGRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

##### c) Universities ranking championship of the world level:

Global UGRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global UGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUGRER, CUGRER, WUGRER, GUGRER;

d) Interstate ranking championship at the continental level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: CICUGRER, WIWUGRER, WIGUGRER;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUGRER, WIWUGRER, WIGUGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUGRER, WIWUGRER, WIGUGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUGRER, WIWUGRER, WIGUGRER;

e) Interstate ranking championship at the world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: WIWUGRER, WIGUGRER;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUGRER, WIGUGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUGRER, WIGUGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUGRER, WIGUGRER.

#### 1.5.4. Universities special (field-specific) research effectiveness ranking (USRER), calculated for each individual field of science in which championships were held within a reporting period

##### a) Universities ranking championship of the national level:

National USRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the National USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the National USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the National USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

##### b) Universities ranking championship of the continental level:

Continental USRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

c) Universities ranking championship of the world level:

Global USRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global USRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NUSRER, CUSRER, WUSRER, GUSRER;

d) Interstate ranking championship at the continental level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: CICUSRER, WIWUSRER, WIGUSRER;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUSRER, WIWUSRER, WIGUSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUSRER, WIWUSRER, WIGUSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICUSRER, WIWUSRER, WIGUSRER;

e) Interstate ranking championship at the world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: WIWUSRER, WIGUSRER;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUSRER, WIGUSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUSRER, WIGUSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWUSRER, WIGUSRER.

#### 1.5.5. Young researchers and students general (interdisciplinary) research activity ranking (YGRAR)

a) Universities ranking championship of the national level:

National YGRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the National YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the National YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the National YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

b) Universities ranking championship of the continental level:

Continental YGRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

c) Universities ranking championship of the world level:

Global YGRAR Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global YGRAR Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRAR, CYGRAR, WYGRAR, GYGRAR;

d) Interstate ranking championship at the continental level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: CICYGRAR, WIWYGRAR, WIGYGRAR;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICYGRAR, WIWYGRAR, WIGYGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICYGRAR, WIWYGRAR, WIGYGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICYGRAR, WIWYGRAR, WIGYGRAR;

e) Interstate ranking championship at the world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: WIWYGRAR, WIGYGRAR;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYGRAR, WIGYGRAR;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYGRAR, WIGYGRAR;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYGRAR, WIGYGRAR;

#### 1.5.6. Young researchers and students general (interdisciplinary) research effectiveness ranking (YGRER)

a) Universities ranking championship of the national level:

National YGRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the National YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the National YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the National YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

b) Universities ranking championship of the continental level:

Continental YGRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

c) Universities ranking championship of the world level:

Global YGRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global YGRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYGRER, CYGRER, WYGRER, GYGRER;

d) Interstate ranking championship at the continental level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: CICYGRER, WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICYGRER, WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICYGRER, WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: CICYGRER, WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

e) Interstate ranking championship at the world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER.

1.5.7. Young researchers and students special research effectiveness ranking (YSRER), calculated for each individual field of science in which championships were held within a reporting period

a) Universities ranking championship of the national level:

National YSRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the National YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the National YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the National YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

b) Universities ranking championship of the continental level:

Continental YSRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

c) Universities ranking championship of the world level:

Global YSRER Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a university having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global YSRER Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: NYSRER, CYSRER, WYSRER, GYSRER;

d) Interstate ranking championship at the continental level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: CICYGRER, WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Golden Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions CICYGRER, WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions CICYGRER, WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Continental Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions CICYGRER, WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

e) Interstate ranking championship at the world level (awards are sent to national ministries or other agencies responsible in various countries for the management of science and education systems, as well as for their development):

Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, given once a year to a country having obtained the status of the winner of the ranking for the first time under one of the versions: WIWYGRER, WIGYGRER;

Golden Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYSRER, WIGYSRER;

Silver Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYSRER, WIGYSRER;

Bronze Sign stuck on the Global Interstate Ranking Certificate, under the ranking versions: WIWYSRER, WIGYSRER.

1.6. According to all rankings of the IASHE, except for PRER, the winners and awardees following the annual results are given the following types of awards:

a) For all types of national inter-university rankings

Ranking diploma "Diamond Star of Science"

Ranking diploma "Ruby Star of Science"

Ranking diploma "Emerald Star of Science"

b) For all types of continental inter-university rankings

Ranking diploma "Diamond Constellation of Science"

Ranking diploma "Ruby Constellation of Science"

Ranking diploma "Emerald Constellation of Science"

c) For all types of world inter-university rankings

Ranking diploma "Diamond Firmament of Science"

Ranking diploma "Ruby Firmament of Science"

Ranking diploma "Emerald Firmament of Science"

d) For all types of interstate continental rankings

Ranking diploma "Diamond Radiance of Science"

Ranking diploma "Ruby Radiance of Science"

Ranking diploma "Emerald Radiance of Science"

e) For all types of interstate world rankings

Ranking diploma "Diamond Crown of Science"

Ranking diploma "Ruby Crown of Science"

Ranking diploma "Emerald Crown of Science"

2. Sponsors, supporters and partners of the project, by agreement with the IASHE may establish additional awards, prizes and incentives for the winners and awardees, as well as individual participants of championships of all levels and types having otherwise positively distinguished themselves .

3. Awards in the specified typological and quantitative parameters shall be given only in cases of official announcement of the championships of corresponding levels and types by the IASHE in the reporting year, as well as subject to these championships and their stages being held in accordance with these Regulations.

[Click here to expand or collapse this section](#)

4. The IASHE may decide to give the following titles to individual winners and awardees of final championships of a corresponding year:

a) degree of the "Master of Research Analytics" in a specific field of science (certified with the corresponding diploma and badge);

b) degree of the "Doctor of Philosophy and Research Analytics" in a specific field of science (certified with the corresponding diploma and badge);

d) degree of the "Grand Doctor of Philosophy and Research Analytics" in a specific field of science (certified with the corresponding diploma and badge);

d) status title of the "Corresponding member of the IASHE" (certified with the corresponding diploma and badge);

e) status title of the "Member of the IASHE" (certified with the corresponding diploma and badge);

## **20. The social and legal consequences of the proper implementation of the project and successful participation of interested persons in the championships**

1. The scale and innovative form of the project, its nature of an international association of scientific community, as well as its substantial abundance, competitiveness, presentable appearance and social positiveness, according to the opinion and expectations of the IASHE, would inevitably cause a significant public response and certain beneficial social impact both on local levels of individual countries and on the global international level.

2. The expected social effect of the project, in accordance with the medium-and long-term goals of the IASHE, will be expressed:

a) in a certain promotion of development of individual scientific creativity (on the basis of competitiveness of the project and aspiration of the participants of championships for the most impressive self-presentation and for the superiority in an objective comparison with scientific achievements of their colleagues from around the world);



- b) in a certain promotion of scientific development on national and international scale (based on a discussion communication of researchers, dissemination of advanced scientific approaches, concepts, research results, the possibility of formal and informal consolidation of scientists around specific scientific issues, etc.);
- c) in the improvement of educational processes related to the fact that the enrichment of scientists and teachers with a new to them relevant scientific and analytical information can enrich and correct their author's educational materials offered to persons studying in various educational institutions;
- d) in a certain compensation of the lack of investments into research projects, which is expressed in the formation of subjective stimuli for self-expression and self-actualization of scientists compensating for a certain lack of financial resources;
- e) in the popularization of science, scientific activity and scientists by providing an unlimited number of interested persons (the public) with an open access to scientific works of the project participants and the possibility of interested observation of the exciting peripeteias of scientific championships;
- f) in the development of impressive, resonant and easily perceived by the media and general public information products related to science and scientists that are adapted to prompt and unimpeded dissemination;
- g) in the formation of additional stimuli (in regard to the quality of the disseminated information about science and the increasing audience using such information) for investors, sponsors, advertisers and supporters with respect of the direction of their funds to support the project and finance various scientific researches published in the framework of the championships;
- h) in the formation of clear, rich in resonant content and prestigious symbols and titles (as well as objective criteria for their selection) symbolizing the unity, as well as the conventional hierarchy of scientists (synchronously corrected following the results of subsequent championships), and having no real grounds for denial or do non-recognition on any level and in any context. These symbols and titles are additional instruments of the statement (characteristics) of the real achievements of a scientist, his/her place in scientific community and contribution in the development of science;
- i) in the formation of specific criteria for the global consolidation of scientists around the world to form a consolidated view of scientific community on various issues of modern life;
- j) in the use of scientific and human potential of project in the development of other scientific, educational, commercial, charitable and human rights projects of the IASHE.

3. A successful participation of each interested person in the project, in the opinion of the IASHE may allow such a person to obtain the following positive effect that can improve his/her professional and general social status:

- a) wide international promulgation of the person's scientific views and research results;
- b) participation in active scientific dialogue on the issues within the sphere of professional activity and scientific interests of the person;
- c) improvement of scientific qualification by learning the views on various scientific problems of many scientists involved in the project;
- d) obtaining information that could stimulate the improvement of author's pedagogic materials and developments;
- e) obtaining renown in international scientific community;
- f) broad presentation of the person's name and scientific achievements through the system of national and international channels of distribution of information;
- g) the possibility of involvement of a person who has demonstrated high professional skills by his/her work in international scientific cooperation in the form of joint researches by scientists from different countries on specific issues of science;
- h) the possibility of drawing the attention of investors interested in financing corresponding scientific developments to the participant's works;
- i) the possibility of drawing the attention of prestigious educational institutions that can offer the author a teaching contract to his/her corresponding ideas, the quality of scientific works, their argumentation and style;
- j) the possibility of drawing the attention of employers interested in practical implementation of ideas formulated in the participant's works;
- k) the possibility of involvement of a person who has successfully participated in the project in the implementation of other scientific and educational projects of the IASHE on a paid basis;
- l) the possibility for a person having successfully participated in the project to obtain specific internationally recognized prestigious titles that enhance the person's professional and general social status, inspire the respect of colleagues and favourably distinguish the person's professional image from the image of other scientists;
- m) the ability to receive prizes, awards, valuable gifts, as well as sponsoring aid, professional scholarships or grants;
- n) the possibility to demand the realization of the rights and privileges established by the IASHE for the winners of the corresponding championships of certain levels and types.

## **21. Encyclopaedia of international scientific analytical community: "Elite of Scientific Thought"**

1. The IASHE periodically issues the encyclopaedia "Elite of Scientific Thought", which will display information about the scientists involved in projects of the IASHE, and their researches.
2. The encyclopaedias from the "Elite of Scientific Thought" series are published in various languages and distributed internationally.

3. Thematic context of each issue of the encyclopaedia "Elite of scientific thought" and frequency of their publication are defined by the IASHE.

## **22. "Herald of the IASHE"**

1. As the need and sufficient organizational resources arise, the IASHE, after a preliminary announcement, will start issuing a special information edition "Herald of the IASHE", which will cover all the major events associated with the project.

2. "Herald of the IASHE" is supposed to be issued in electronic and printed form and sent to the participants of championships of various levels and types, educational institutions involved in the project, as well as other interested parties.

3. The periodicity and publication rules of "Herald the IASHE" are approved by the IASHE.

## **23. Final provisions**

1. These Regulations have the status of a contract of adhesion for persons wishing to join the project.

2. Any person who has submitted an application to participate in a championship of any level and type, thus, joins the project subject to the conditions of these Regulations and agrees with all of its provisions.

3. The IASHE has the right at the initial stage of the project to implement it in part, with some temporary departure from the rules established by these Regulations, which is caused by the temporary absence of the necessary conditions and resources to implement the project in full.

4. Provisional terms and conditions of the championships of a certain level and type differing from those specified in these Regulations shall be published by the IASHE when officially announcing these championships.

5. Any final (made permanent) changes in the rules of conducting the championships of all levels and types come into force only after making appropriate adjustments to these Regulations and the official announcement of this fact.

6. Any official amendments to these Regulations enter into force:

a) If such changes do not affect the general principles and the system of conducting competitions and determining their results, 10 days after the amendment was formally made;

b) If such changes affect the general principles and the system of conducting competitions and determining their results, from the beginning of the next year.

7. These Regulations enter into force on 01.01.2012 for an unlimited period.

## **24. Special provisions**

This section includes rules of holding research analytics championships of the PLATONICK project, effective on a temporary basis up to the moment of announcement of the decision concerning their cancellation or correction made by the IASHE Presidium. Norms of the present section of the Regulations have priority over other statements of this document until the moment of cancellation of their validity.

### **A. The mechanism of participation in the championships**

1. Citizens of the European countries (except the post-Soviet states), as well as stateless persons permanently residing in such countries, who officially apply for participation in the IASHE project through the Academy website as contestants in the research analytics competitions (hors concours participation in the project is also allowed in the corresponding scientific conferences) thereby automatically become participants of the Joint European Research Analytics Championship.

2. Citizens of the countries of any continent (except the European and post-Soviet states), as well as stateless persons permanently residing in such countries, who officially apply for participation in the IASHE project through the Academy website as contestants in the research analytics competitions (hors concours participation in the project is also allowed in the corresponding scientific conferences) thereby automatically become participants of the Joint Transcontinental Research Analytics Championship.

3. Citizens of the post-Soviet countries, as well as stateless persons permanently residing in such countries, who officially apply for participation in the IASHE project through the website <http://PlatoNicK.eu> as contestants in the research analytics competitions (hors concours participation in the project is also allowed in the corresponding scientific conferences) thereby automatically become participants of the national research analytics championships of their countries.

4. In an application for participation in any stage of the championship (in any conference), the applicant, in addition to other required information, shall specify the following:

a) country represented by the author;

b) the author's participant status in the project: "conference" or "championship";

c) the field of science and specific section (nominated section), in which the author intends to participate (be nominated) in the championship during a year;

d) the type(s) of championship where the author's report is presented.

5. A participant of any of the national research analytics championships of the post-Soviet countries may present the same scientific work (report or article) not only to participate in the corresponding national championship, but also to participate in the Open European and Asian Research Analytics Championship".
6. Participation in the Open European and Asian Research Analytics Championship implies obligatory participation of the person in the relevant national research analytics championship.
7. Participation in the Open European and Asian research analytics championship implies, besides the participation in the national championship payment by the corresponding person in addition to the cost of participation in the national championship 25% of this amount for the participation in the Open European and Asian Research Analytics Championship.
8. If a person participates in a national championship and the Open European and Asian Research Analytics Championship at the same time, only one his/her scientific work may be published on the Academy website (if the author has not presented two different works), which appears in the programmes of both championships and is evaluated by experts and other participants in the rating of different competitions.
9. Scientific works (reports, articles) published on the website <http://PlatoNickK.eu>, in addition to traditionally required information, shall include the following indications:
  - a) country represented by the author
  - b) the author's participant status in the project: "conference" or "championship";
  - c) the type(s) of championship where the author's particular report is presented.
  - d) references to current PRER rankings of the competitions where the author participates.
10. Scientific works (reports and articles) are presented at championships of any type in two types of languages: publication languages and presentation languages.
11. Each of the project participants may choose as a publication language one of the following languages of international communication: English language, Russian language.
12. If a participant of a national championship deems it necessary to present his/her scientific work (a report or an article) in two languages, the presentation language for the work will be the official language of the country this participant represents.
13. The publication language is needed for publishing a scientific work (report, article) on the Academy website and in a relevant collection of scientific works.
14. The presentation language is needed for accompanying a published scientific work (report, article) on the Academy website.
15. Publishing a scientific work on the Academy website in a presentation language is free of charge.
16. These Regulations set special rules for using languages in the presentation of scientific works for the championships due to organizational specific of initial period of the research analytics championships.
17. All types of championships to be held according to these Regulations are held under a common program synchronously.
18. Following the results of the research analytics championships of all levels and types the IASHE intends to determine the results and announce winners in the categories: alpha-championship, absolute championship and mega-championship until the moment of announcement of the special decree of the Presidium
19. Until the moment of announcement of the special decree by the IASHE Presidium, winners and prize-winners of research analytics championships of all levels and types will be determined in:
  - alpha- championships - at the end of each calendar stage - with the award and bonus for the year by summing up results of all stages
  - in absolute championship- at the end of each calendar stage and collectively – by results of year;
  - mega-championship – by results of the year.
20. If under the view of the facts (based on their non-compliance with the General Regulations), instead of the continental championships in research analytics Open Euro-Asian championship in scientific analytics or other international and regional championship are held, they are subjected to the rules of cash bonuses and credit points for the prize-winners of continental championships in scientific analytics by a factor of 0.5.
21. When calculating the PRER ranking, in calculating "additional bonuses for participation in other competitions" and applying the "coefficients of level of competitions", the status of the Open European and Asian Research Analytics Championship shall be equated to that of a Continental championship.

**B. Awarding winners of individual stages of championships. Types of awards.**

1. Following the results of individual stages of the research analytics championships the following prizes and awards shall be given:
  - 1.1. Joint European Research Analytics Championship:
    - a) Alpha-championship (awards for the winners of open and thematic specialized sections of individual stages of the championships):

Golden Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of scientific degrees and titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Incentive diplomas that may be given by a decision of the IASHE Expert Panel: "In the forefront of science", "Scientific progress", "Leader of scientific thought", "For the originality of thought"

b) Absolute Championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners within a specific field of science following the results of stages of the championships (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of this field of science)):

Diploma "Sophist", given once a year to a participant of the project having obtained the status of the winner of the Absolute Championship following the results of a stage of a competition for the first time;

Golden decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

c) At the level of Mega-championship results are not summed up.

#### 1.2. Joint Transcontinental Research Analytics Championship:

a) Alpha-championship (awards for the winners of open and thematic specialized sections of individual stages of the championships):

Golden Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of scientific degrees and titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Incentive diplomas that may be given by a decision of the IASHE Expert Panel: "In the forefront of science", "Scientific progress", "Leader of scientific thought", "For the originality of thought";

b) Absolute Championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners within a specific field of science following the results of stages of the championships (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of this field of science)):

Diploma "Sophist", given once a year to a participant of the project having obtained the status of the winner of the Absolute Championship following the results of a stage of a competition for the first time;

Golden decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

c) At the level of Mega-championship results are not summed up.

#### 1.3. Open European and Asian research analytics championship:

a) Alpha-championship (awards for the winners of open and thematic specialized sections of individual stages of the championships):

Golden Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of scientific degrees and titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Incentive diplomas that may be given by a decision of the IASHE Expert Panel: "In the forefront of science", "Scientific progress", "Leader of scientific thought", "For the originality of thought";

b) Absolute Championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners within a specific field of science following the results of stages of the championships (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of this field of science)):

Diploma "Sophist", given once a year to a participant of the project having obtained the status of the winner of the Absolute Championship following the results of a stage of a competition for the first time;

Golden decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

c) At the level of Mega-championship results are not summed up.

1.4. National research analytics championship:

a) Alpha-championship (awards for the winners of open and thematic specialized sections of individual stages of the championships):

Golden Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of scientific degrees and titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze Diploma and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Incentive diplomas that may be given by a decision of the IASHE Expert Panel: "In the forefront of science", "Scientific progress", "Leader of scientific thought", "For the originality of thought";

b) Absolute Championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners within a specific field of science following the results of stages of the championships (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of this field of science)):

Diploma "Sophist", given once a year to a participant of the project having obtained the status of the winner of the Absolute Championship following the results of a stage of a competition for the first time;

Golden decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze decoration stuck on the Diploma "Sophist" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

c) At the level of Mega-championship results are not summed up.

5. Awards and prizes specified in of these Regulations shall be paid under the following conditions:

a) First Award (Golden Diploma or Golden Sign and respective bonuses for receiving rewards): in the presence of at least 5 contestants in the corresponding sections;

b) Second Award (Silver Diploma or Silver Sign and respective bonuses for receiving rewards): in the presence of at least 3 contestants in the corresponding sections;

c) Third Award (Bronze Diploma or Bronze Sign and respective bonuses for receiving rewards): in the presence of at least 2 contestants in the corresponding sections;

d) Obligatory objective assessment by the author of all the works presented in the same nominated sections as the author's work at every stage of a corresponding championship.

c) At the level of Mega-championship results are not summed up.

6. Bonuses and other awards determined by the present Regulations, are to be given to laureates and purposefully used in accordance with norms of the Prize Regulations of the IASHE.

### **C. The mechanism of participation in the championships in several announced sections**

1. Any person may participate in championships of any type subject to the Regulations, in one or more nominated sections.

2. Participation of an interested person in the championships of any type subject to these Regulations, in several nominated sections, involves the following preferential terms of payment by such person for his/her participation in multiple championships: participation in the first announced nominated section: 100% of the cost, the price for the second section is reduced by 20%, for the third by 40%, etc.

#### **D. Awarding winners of the complete program of championships. Types of awards.**

Following the results of the full programme of the research analytics championships of all types (according to the total of all the stages held during a year) on the basis of the PRER ranking, the following prizes and awards shall be given:

a) Alpha-championship (awards for the winners of thematic specialized sections of the corresponding championships):

First Class Diploma of Athena, medal of the champion, bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Second Class Diploma of Athena, medal of the second winner, bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Third Class Diploma of Athena, medal of the second winner, bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

b) Absolute Championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners of the annual final PRER ranking within a specific field of science following the results of all stages of the championships during a year (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of this field of science)):

First Class Diploma of Excellence, medal of the champion, bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Second Class Diploma of Excellence, medal of the second winner, bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Third Class Diploma of Excellence, medal of the second winner, bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

c) Mega-championship (awards for the participants of the championships in open and thematic specialized sections, who become winners of the annual final PRER ranking within an interdisciplinary field following the results of all stages of the championships (according to the total of the open and thematic specialized sections of all fields of science)):

Golden Diploma "The Wisdom of Plato", medal of the champion, medal of the IASHE "Scientific progress" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Silver Diploma of Sophia, medal of the second winner, medal of the IASHE "Scientific progress" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

Bronze Diploma "Intellectual Olympus", medal of the third winner, medal of the IASHE "Scientific progress" and bonus for acquisition of the monetary reward and credits in the stated volume used for acquisition of status-titles established by the present Regulations;

#### **E. Collections of scientific works**

Each collection of scientific works published following the results of each stage of the championships subject to these Regulations must contain, in addition to traditional data, the following information:

a) on the title page there should be a list of championships, where the works (reports, articles) published in the collection were presented;

b) a collection, within each section to which the works (reports, articles) published in it belong, must be structured according to the countries (national championships), which are presented by the authors of the works (reports, articles);

c) each scientific work (report, article), in addition to traditional data, must contain the following information: country represented by the author; the author's participant status in the project: "conference" or "championship"; the type(s) of championship where the author's report is presented.

#### **F. Activities of international experts**

The remuneration of international experts involved in the project, provided that they objectively assess all the works (reports, articles) within the sphere of expert control at a certain stage of the championship, is \$ 50 and 200 credits for obtaining academic degrees and titles established by these Regulations, for the performance of expert functions at each stage of the corresponding championships. Remunerations shall be transferred to the experts within the period and in a way agreed between them and the IASHE or a regional representative of the Academy.

#### **G. Scientific-analytical (creative) doctoral degrees (qualifications) of the IASHE**

Facts of participation of researchers in research analytics championships as well as acquisition of laureate diplomas of various levels and values are rated with the established amounts of credits (attestation credits). Such credits may be converted into scientific-analytical (creative) doctoral degrees of the IASHE in accordance with the Provision "On international doctoral scientific-analytical (creative) programs of the IASHE".

As an exception, on the basis of the submission by the Academy Scientific Secretary General the IASHE Presidium has the right to assign the scientific-analytical degree (qualification) International Doctor of Philosophy and Science Analytics (IDPSA) to the person with not less than 2000 credits earned in sectoral championships of the PLATONICK project during the reporting year (according to provisions of the present Regulations). At the same time not less than  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the general volume of necessary credits must be earned by the person in research analytics championships.