Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for elementary/middle schools include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for high schools include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety

- o Postsecondary Readiness
- o Graduation Rate

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three to five year targets for each required school level indicator. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools

should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools. **Progress Measure of Success Funding Objective Activities** Strategy **Monitoring** Describe your approach to systematically address a List the specific Describe the process, practice, or federal, state, or local List the criteria that process used to condition that was funding source(s) will gauge the assess the Schools should identified as a priority used to support each impact of your work. implementation of determine short-term during the Needs Describe the improvement The measures may the plan, the rate of objectives to be actionable steps that Assessment for Schools. initiative. If your be quantitative or improvement, and attained by the end of There can be multiple will occur to deploy school is a recipient qualitative but are the effectiveness of the current academic strategies for each the chosen strategy. of Title I, Part A observable in some the plan. Your year. There can be objective. The strategy There can be multiple funds, your CSIP way. Consider description should multiple objectives can be based upon activities for each serves as your annual measures of input as include the artifacts for each goal. Kentucky's six (6) Key Core plan and must strategy. well as outcomes for to be reviewed. **Work Processes or** indicate how Title I both staff and specific timelines, another established funds are utilized to students. and responsible improvement approach carry out the planned individuals. (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, activities. Baldridge, etc.).

1: State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By May 2027 Green County Middle School will increase the combined (reading and math) percentage of Proficient and Distinguished students to 60%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 GCMS will increase the reading percentage of Proficient and Distinguished students from 45% in 2022 to 48% in 2023.	Key Core Work Process #1: Design and Deploy Standards	Daily lesson plans will be completed by each classroom teacher that indicate the standard(s) for the day, student friendly learning target, activity/task and those plans will be posted on the school maintained websites for parents and other stakeholders. Unit plans will be developed in collaboration with the content area PLC and additional resources. These plans will include (at a minimum) 1) standards, 2) daily learning	High quality, clear lesson plans will be posted regularly on teacher's websites. Complete unit plans for each course will be created by the start of the 2022 school year		
		targets, 3) key vocabulary, and 4) key activities for each unit of study throughout the year. The plans will also indicate which standards are to be introduced, developed, or mastered during each unit. These plans will be cataloged on the GCMS Team Drive. End of unit assessments (spiral learning checks to include all standards taught up to that point) will be developed in collaboration with the content area PLC and other	and revised on a continuing basis. Quality end of unit assessments will be developed by all teachers.		
		resources. Work with PLC groups to determine where gaps in learning are present and how to address those gaps.	Content will be fully correlated to state standards, with a logical sequence from year to year.		
	Key Core Work Process #6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment	Spark Team Supports: Teachers visit classrooms with students from their own SPARK teams to foster relationships and encourage academic excellence.	Students will experience deeper relationships with staff members, leading to increased accountability as well as increased support.		

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By May 2027 Green County Middle School will increase the combined (reading and math) percentage of Proficient and Distinguished students to 60%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 2 GCMS will increase the math percentage of Proficient and Distinguished students from 42% in 2022 to 46% in 2023.	Key Core Work Process #1: Design and Deploy Standards	Daily lesson plans will be completed by each classroom teacher that indicate the standard(s) for the day, student friendly learning target, activity/task and those plans will be posted on the school maintained websites for parents and other stakeholders. Unit plans will be developed in collaboration with the content area PLC and additional resources. These plans will include (at a minimum) 1) standards, 2) daily learning targets, 3) key vocabulary, and 4) key activities for each unit of study throughout the year. The plans will also	High quality, clear lesson plans will be posted regularly on teacher's websites. Complete unit plans for each course will be created by the start of the 2022 school year and revised on a continuing basis.		
		indicate which standards are to be introduced, developed, or mastered during each unit. These plans will be cataloged on the GCMS Team Drive. End of unit assessments (spiral learning checks to include all standards taught up to that point) will be developed in collaboration with the content area PLC and other resources.	Quality end of unit assessments will be developed by all teachers.		
		Work with PLC groups to determine where gaps in learning are present and how to address those gaps.	Content will be fully correlated to state standards, with a logical sequence from year to year.		
	Key Core Work Process #6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment	Spark Team Supports: Teachers visit classrooms with students from their own SPARK teams to foster relationships and encourage academic excellence.	Students will experience deeper relationships with staff members, leading to increased accountability as well as increased support.		

2: State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	Key Core	Daily lesson plans will be completed by each classroom	High quality, clear lesson plans		
By May 2023, GCMS will	Work Process #1: Design	teacher that indicate the standard(s) for the day, student	will be posted regularly on		
increase the combined	and Deploy Standards	friendly learning target, activity/task and those plans will	teacher's websites.		
percentage of students		be posted on the school maintained websites for parents			
scoring proficient and		and other stakeholders.			
distinguished on the		Unit plans will be developed in collaboration with the	Complete unit plans for each		
Science assessments to		content area PLC and additional resources. These plans	course will be created by the		
35%.		will include (at a minimum) 1) standards, 2) daily learning	start of the 2022 school year		
		targets, 3) key vocabulary, and 4) key activities for each	and revised on a continuing		
		unit of study throughout the year. The plans will also	basis.		
		indicate which standards are to be introduced,			
		developed, or mastered during each unit. These plans			
		will be cataloged on the GCMS Team Drive.			
		End of unit assessments (spiral learning checks to include	Quality end of unit assessments		
		all standards taught up to that point) will be developed in	will be developed by all		
		collaboration with the content area PLC and other	teachers.		
		resources.			
		Work with PLC groups to determine where gaps in	Content will be fully correlated		
		learning are present and	to state standards, with a		
		how to address those gaps.	logical sequence from year to		
			year.		
	Key Core Work Process #6:	Spark Team Supports: Teachers visit classrooms with	Students will experience		
	Establishing Learning Culture	students from their own SPARK teams to foster	deeper relationships with staff		
	and Environment	relationships and encourage academic excellence.	members, leading to		
			increased accountability as well		
			as increased support.		

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 2 By May 2023, GCMS will increase the combined percentage of students scoring proficient and distinguished on the Social Studies assessment to 45%.	Key Core Work Process #1: Design and Deploy Standards	Daily lesson plans will be completed by each classroom teacher that indicate the standard(s) for the day, student friendly learning target, activity/task and those plans will be posted on the school maintained websites for parents and other stakeholders. Unit plans will be developed in collaboration with the content area PLC and additional resources. These plans will include (at a minimum) 1) standards, 2) daily learning targets, 3) key vocabulary, and 4) key activities for each unit of study throughout the year. The plans will also indicate which standards are to be introduced,	High quality, clear lesson plans will be posted regularly on teacher's websites. Complete unit plans for each course will be created by the start of the 2022 school year and revised on a continuing basis.		
		developed, or mastered during each unit. These plans will be cataloged on the GCMS Team Drive. End of unit assessments (spiral learning checks to include all standards taught up to that point) will be developed in collaboration with the content area PLC and other resources. Work with PLC groups to determine where gaps in learning are present and how to address those gaps.	Quality end of unit assessments will be developed by all teachers. Content will be fully correlated to state standards, with a logical sequence from year to		
	Key Core Work Process #2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Teacher Swap: Social Studies teachers switch classes for a week in the Spring to reinforce previous years content.	year. Content will be fully correlated to state standards, with a logical sequence from year to year.		
	Key Core Work Process #6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment	Spark Team Supports: Teachers visit classrooms with students from their own SPARK teams to foster relationships and encourage academic excellence.	Students will experience deeper relationships with staff members, leading to increased accountability as well as increased support.		

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 3	Key Core	Daily lesson plans will be completed by each classroom	High quality, clear lesson plans		
By May 2023, GCMS will	Work Process #1: Design	teacher that indicate the standard(s) for the day, student	will be posted regularly on		
increase the combined	and Deploy Standards	friendly learning target, activity/task and those plans will	teacher's websites.		
percentage of students		be posted on the school maintained websites for parents			
scoring proficient and		and other stakeholders.			
distinguished on the		Unit plans will be developed in collaboration with the	Complete unit plans for each		
Combined Writing		content area PLC and additional resources. These plans	course will be created by the		
assessments to 55%.		will include (at a minimum) 1) standards, 2) daily learning	start of the 2022 school year		
		targets, 3) key vocabulary, and 4) key activities for each	and revised on a continuing		
		unit of study throughout the year. The plans will also	basis.		
		indicate which standards are to be introduced,			
		developed, or mastered during each unit. These plans			
		will be cataloged on the GCMS Team Drive.			
		End of unit assessments (spiral learning checks to include	Quality end of unit assessments		
		all standards taught up to that point) will be developed in	will be developed by all		
		collaboration with the content area PLC and other	teachers.		
		resources.			
		Work with PLC groups to determine where gaps in	Content will be fully correlated		
		learning are present and	to state standards, with a		
		how to address those gaps.	logical sequence from year to		
			year.		
	Key Core Work Process #2:	Writing teachers at GCMS will be provided job embedded	Content will be fully correlated		
	Design and Deliver	professional development in writing instruction. This	to state standards with a logical		
	Instruction	may include combined scoring sessions, PLC meetings to	sequence from year to year.		
		discuss and revise writing prompts, and working with			
		writing specialists to increase writing skills.			
	Key Core Work Process #6:	Spark Team Supports: Teachers visit classrooms with	Students will experience		
	Establishing Learning Culture	students from their own SPARK teams to foster	deeper relationships with staff		
	and Environment	relationships and encourage academic excellence.	members, leading to		

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
			increased accountability as well		
			as increased support.		

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Goal 3: By May 2027 Green County Middle School will increase the combined (reading and math) percentage of Proficient and Distinguished rates for students with disabilities from 18% to 40%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	Key Core Work Process #2:	All GCMS teachers will be trained in the use of Mike	Evidence gathered through		
By May 2023 GCMS will decrease the combined	Design and Deliver Instruction	Rutherford's Artisan Teacher instructional strategies and supported in this work by school and district administrators.	Instructional Rounds and classroom walkthroughs		
(reading and math) novice		Reading Plus will be utilized to enhance classroom	Evidence of student		
percentages for students with		instruction and encourage mastery of standards.	improvement by reading levels.		
disabilities to less than 40%.	Key Core Work Process #5:	GCMS will continue to implement and refine a "Shared	Evidence of student progress		
	Design, Analyze, and Deliver	Learning" model for our students with IEPs as well as other	as measured through daily		
	Support.	struggling learners and other students who are consistently	tasks and assessments		
		novice on assessments. This model will include 3 teachers	(formative, summative, MAP)		
		per shared learning class, and will focus on small group			
		delivery and small, manageable chunks of content.			

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.): By May 2027, all EL students will progress at least 2 levels as indicated by ACCESS testing.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By May 2023, GCMS EL	Key Core Work Process #6: Establishing	All teachers will receive diversity and inclusion training.			
students will progress at least .5 levels as indicated by ACCESS testing.	Learning Culture and Environment	Students are provided equitable access to all curriculum through full immersion programs.			
Objective 2					

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): By May 2027, Green County Middle School will increase its indicator from 67.3 to 75.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By May 2023, GCMS will increase its indicator from 67.3 to 68.8.	Key Core Work Process #5: Design, Analyze, and Deliver Support	Mentor groups (SPARK groups -Showing Personal Attention Respect and Kindness) will be utilized monthly and will provide direct adult interaction and intervention with every student in the school. These groups will review data, set goals, and monitor progress toward those goals. The primary purpose of these groups will be for every student to have at least one adult in the building that is keeping up with them on a regular basis.	Students will experience deeper relationships with staff members, leading to increased accountability as well as increased support.		
Objective 2					

6: Postsecondary Readiness (high school only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

7: Graduation Rate (high school only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response: School leadership will focus on continuous improvement for all students--each student showing growth each day. School leadership will develop and guide processes for individual and group accountability--name and claim. School leadership will provide opportunities for planning among collaborative teams and shared learning teams. District leadership will support these processes through weekly and monthly administrator meetings and support during planning sessions when necessary.

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.

Response: All faculty members are given the opportunity to review the daily school schedule and provide input. Schedules for students in identified gap groups are created manually by multiple school personnel. The school leadership team consisting of teachers, counselors, and administrators conduct a Needs Assessment each fall to determine the priority areas for school growth per the Key Core Work Processes document. The SBDM council reviews allocation of resources on a monthly basis and provides input.

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response: Early release time on Fridays and monthly planning meetings are used for faculty and PLC groups (both grade level and content specific) to discuss the needs of targeted subgroups. SPARK teams are intentionally selected by staff and meet monthly to address the academic and social-emotional needs of students.

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response: Reading Plus will be used to determine each student's reading level and provide individualized tasks to monitor and support growth towards grade level standards. Non-academic data such as attendance is monitored and efforts are made to increase attendance on an individual basis. Mike Rutherford's Artisan Teacher strategies will be implemented in all classrooms to ensure skillful teaching focused on content standards and aspects of teaching that focus on mastery.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Documenting Evidence under ESSA" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\boxtimes
Reading Plus	Reading Plus. (2008). Huntington Station, NY: Taylor Associates/Communications, Inc.	
Train staff to implement teaching strategies from Artisan Teacher	Rutherford, M. (2013). The Artisan Teacher: A Field Guide to Skillful Teaching. Rutherford Learning Group, Inc: Weddington, NC.	

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:
Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
·
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.
Response:

Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Documenting Evidence under ESSA" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	