

TITLE OF THE MANUSCRIPT

The title should be concise, specific, informative, and reflect the main subject of the study. The title should preferably contain no more than 15–20 words and should avoid unnecessary abbreviations.

Author One^{1*}, Author Two², Author Three³

¹ Department/Faculty, Institution Name, City, Country

² Department/Faculty, Institution Name, City, Country

³ Department/Faculty, Institution Name, City, Country

*Email Corresponding: author@email.com

ARTICLE INFORMATION	ABSTRACT
Keywords: Keyword 1; Keyword 2; Keyword 3; Keyword 4; Keyword 5.	The abstract should provide a concise and informative overview of the manuscript. It should briefly describe the research background or problem, objective, methodology, principal findings, and conclusion. The abstract should be written as a single paragraph and should generally contain 150–250 words . References, footnotes, uncommon abbreviations, and citations should not normally be included in the abstract.

A. INTRODUCTION

The introduction should establish the background and significance of the research. It should explain the environmental, legal, social, policy, scientific, or sustainability-related issue addressed by the study.

The introduction should identify the relevant research problem, provide an appropriate review of previous studies, demonstrate the research gap, and explain the contribution of the present study. The research objective, research question, or hypothesis should be clearly stated at the end of the introduction.

Authors should use relevant and credible scholarly references. The introduction should not merely describe general information but should establish a clear academic rationale for conducting the study.

B. RESEARCH METHODS

This section should provide sufficient information concerning the research design and procedures used in the study.

For empirical research, authors should describe the research approach, study location or context where relevant, population or participants, sampling procedures, data sources, data collection techniques, research instruments, and data analysis methods.

For normative or doctrinal legal research, authors should explain the legal approach, including the statutory approach, conceptual approach, case approach, comparative approach, or other relevant approaches. The primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials used in the research should also be clearly identified.

For interdisciplinary research, authors should explain how the relevant disciplinary perspectives are integrated into the research design and analysis.

The methods section should be sufficiently detailed to allow readers to understand the research process and assess the validity and reliability of the findings.

C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Research Findings

This subsection should present the principal findings of the research in a clear, systematic, and logical manner. Results should directly correspond to the research objectives or research questions.

Tables, figures, charts, legal documents, case findings, or other relevant evidence may be used where appropriate.

Table 1. Title of the Table

No.	Variable/Category	Finding	Description
1	Category 1	Finding	Description
2	Category 2	Finding	Description
3	Category 3	Finding	Description

Source: Author's analysis/data, Year.

Tables should be numbered consecutively and referred to in the manuscript. Table titles should be concise and informative.

Figure 1. Title of the Figure

Source: Author's documentation/adaptation, Year.

Figures, graphs, diagrams, photographs, and other visual materials should be clear, relevant, and appropriately numbered.

2 Discussion

The discussion should interpret and explain the research findings rather than merely repeat the results.

The findings should be critically examined in relation to relevant theories, concepts, previous studies, legislation, policies, empirical evidence, or other scholarly sources. Authors should explain similarities, differences, and possible reasons for the findings.

The discussion should demonstrate the theoretical, practical, legal, social, environmental, or policy implications of the research. The contribution and novelty of the study should also be clearly demonstrated.

D. CONCLUSION

The conclusion should provide a concise synthesis of the principal findings and directly answer the research objectives or questions.

The conclusion should not introduce new arguments, evidence, or references that have not been discussed previously. Where appropriate, the authors may include recommendations, policy implications, or suggestions for future research.

E. RECOMMENDATIONS

Where applicable, recommendations may be presented separately from the conclusion. Recommendations should be directly derived from the findings of the study and should provide practical, legal, policy, environmental, or academic implications.

DECLARATIONS

1 Author Contributions

Each author's contribution should be stated clearly where applicable.

Example:

Author One: Conceptualization, methodology, data analysis, and manuscript writing.

Author Two: Data collection, analysis, and manuscript revision.

Author Three: Supervision, validation, and final approval of the manuscript.

2 Funding

Authors should disclose all sources of financial support for the research.

Example:

“This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.”

If funding was received, the funding institution and relevant grant information should be provided.

3 Conflict of Interest

Authors must disclose any financial, institutional, personal, or other relationships that may influence the research or interpretation of its findings.

Example:

“The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest related to this research.”

4 Ethical Approval

Where research involves human participants, animals, or other subjects requiring ethical approval, authors should provide information regarding the relevant ethics approval.

Example:

“This research received ethical approval from [Name of Institution/Ethics Committee], approval number [number], dated [date].”

If ethical approval is not applicable, authors should state:

“Ethical approval was not applicable to this study.”

5 Data Availability

Authors should provide information regarding the availability of research data where appropriate.

Example:

“The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.”

6 Acknowledgments

Authors may acknowledge individuals, institutions, research assistants, funding bodies, or other parties that contributed to the research but do not qualify for authorship.

REFERENCES

References should include only sources cited in the manuscript. Authors should ensure that every in-text citation corresponds to an entry in the reference list and vice versa.

Authors are encouraged to prioritize recent, relevant, and authoritative scholarly sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, official government documents, legislation, court decisions, and authoritative institutional publications.

The journal recommends the use of a reference-management application such as **Zotero, Mendeley, or EndNote** to maintain consistency in citations and references.

Example of Journal Article

Author, A. A., & Author, B. B. (2025). Title of the article. *Journal Name*, 10(2), 100–115. <https://doi.org/xxxxx>

Example of Book

Author, A. A. (2024). *Title of the Book*. Publisher.

Example of Legislation

Government of [Country]. (Year). *Title of legislation/regulation*. [Official publication information].

Example of Court Decision

[Name of Court]. (Year). *Decision Number/Case Name*. [Case information].

GENERAL FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS

Element	Requirement
File format	Microsoft Word (.doc/.docx)
Language	English or Indonesian, according to journal policy
Paper size	A4
Font	Times New Roman
Main text	12 pt
Abstract	11 pt
Line spacing	1.15 or according to journal template
Alignment	Justified
Margins	2.5 cm on all sides
Paragraph	First-line indentation or consistent paragraph spacing
Title	Concise and informative
Abstract	150–250 words
Keywords	3–5 keywords
Tables	Numbered consecutively
Figures	Numbered consecutively
Citation style	Consistent author-date citation
References	Only cited sources
Manuscript type	Research Article / Conceptual Article

MANUSCRIPT LENGTH

A full research article should generally contain approximately **5,000–8,000 words**, including the main text, tables, and references, unless otherwise specified by the Editorial Board.

The manuscript must not exceed 15 pages, including the abstract, main text, tables, figures, and references.

ORIGINALITY

Manuscripts submitted to **Paryavarana** must be original works that have not been previously published and are not simultaneously under consideration by another journal. Authors are responsible for ensuring that their manuscripts are free from plagiarism, fabrication, falsification, duplicate publication, and other forms of academic misconduct.

All manuscripts may be screened using plagiarism-detection software before or during the peer-review process.

SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Before submitting a manuscript to **Paryavarana**, authors should ensure that:

- The manuscript falls within the focus and scope of the journal.
- The manuscript follows the official Paryavarana template.
- The manuscript has not been published or submitted elsewhere.
- All authors have approved the submitted version.
- The title and abstract accurately represent the study.
- Keywords are provided.
- The research methods are clearly described.
- Tables and figures are properly numbered and cited.
- All sources cited in the text appear in the reference list.
- The reference list contains only cited sources.
- Conflict of interest and funding information are disclosed where applicable.
- Ethical approval is stated where applicable.
- The manuscript has been checked for plagiarism and language quality.
- The manuscript complies with the journal's publication ethics and submission requirements.

Paryavarana reserves the right to return manuscripts that do not comply with these requirements before they proceed to the peer-review stage.