The Persistent Gender Gap in the Technology Industry: A Comprehensive Analysis of Statistics, Root Causes, Intersectionality, and Policy Frameworks

I. Executive Summary

The technology industry, a pivotal driver of global innovation and economic growth, continues to grapple with a significant and persistent gender gap. Despite decades of discussion and various interventions, women remain substantially underrepresented across most roles, particularly in specialized technical fields, senior leadership positions, and entrepreneurial ventures. This report provides an expert-level analysis of the multifaceted nature of this gender disparity.

Current statistics reveal a stark reality: globally, women constitute a minority in the tech workforce, with even lower figures in core areas like artificial intelligence (AI) and software development. While overall employment in broader science and technology sectors in regions like the European Union (EU) may appear balanced, a closer examination uncovers acute underrepresentation in ICT-specific roles and among scientists and engineers. Leadership positions and boardrooms show slow progress, and female tech entrepreneurs face formidable barriers in securing venture capital funding. Furthermore, a persistent gender pay gap and significant disparities in patent filing underscore the unequal valuation and recognition of women's contributions.

The root causes of this gap are complex and deeply entrenched, beginning with early educational experiences where gender stereotypes discourage girls from pursuing STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) disciplines. Societal and media portrayals often reinforce these biases. Within the workplace, women confront systemic barriers including exclusionary "bro cultures," pervasive microaggressions, and biases in hiring, promotion, and retention processes. The "leaky pipeline" phenomenon sees a high attrition rate of women from tech careers, driven more by inhospitable work environments and stalled career progression than by a lack of passion for the work itself.

The challenge is further compounded by intersectionality. Women of color, women with disabilities, women from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, and those in rural areas face amplified disadvantages due to the interplay of gender with other facets of their identity. These compounded barriers often result in their experiences and needs being overlooked by general gender equality initiatives.

In response, a diverse array of policies and frameworks has emerged at international,

national, and corporate levels. The EU, for instance, has implemented strategies like the Digital Decade Policy Programme and the Gender Equality Strategy, alongside specific directives targeting gender balance on corporate boards. However, a notable gap often exists between the formulation of such policies and their tangible impact on the ground.

Addressing this entrenched gender gap requires concerted, multi-stakeholder action. Recommendations span strengthening policy enforcement, fostering systemic educational reforms, cultivating genuinely inclusive corporate cultures, ensuring equitable funding for female entrepreneurs, and embedding intersectional considerations into all interventions. Ultimately, cultivating an inclusive and equitable tech future is not only a matter of social justice but an economic and innovative imperative for the industry and society at large.

II. The Current Landscape: Quantifying the Gender Gap in Tech

Understanding the depth and breadth of the gender gap in the technology industry necessitates a thorough examination of current statistics across various domains, from general workforce participation to specialized roles, leadership, and innovation outputs. While some headline figures might suggest progress, a more granular analysis reveals persistent and, in some cases, widening disparities.

A. Global Overview of Women in Tech and STEM

Globally, women's participation in the broader workforce and, more specifically, in technology and STEM fields, presents a mixed but generally concerning picture. Women constitute only 42% of the total global workforce and hold just under 31.7% of leadership roles across all sectors.[1] When focusing on technology-related fields, The World Bank has reported that women make up less than a third of the global workforce in these areas.[1]

The underrepresentation becomes more acute in specialized and research-intensive roles. According to UNESCO, women account for 33% of researchers globally.[2] In high-growth and influential areas such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), women comprise only 22% of professionals.[2] The figure is even more stark for professional software developers, where a mere 6% are women.[2] These global statistics underscore systemic issues that transcend regional boundaries, indicating a widespread challenge in attracting and retaining women in core technology and research positions.

The educational pipeline shows early signs of divergence. While 44% of PhD

graduates worldwide are women [2], and women represent over 50% of tertiary education graduates in most OECD countries [6], this academic achievement does not proportionately translate into STEM careers. This "leaky pipeline" phenomenon, where potential female talent is lost at various transition points, begins early in the educational journey and continues into the workforce.

The field of AI, critical for future technological development, exhibits a particularly worrying gender imbalance. Globally, women make up only 22% of the AI talent pool, a figure that plummets to less than 14-15% for senior executive roles within the AI sector.[3] This low representation is not merely a numbers issue; it carries significant risks of embedding bias into AI systems, as diverse perspectives are crucial for developing equitable and effective technologies.[7]

B. European Union: Detailed Statistics and Regional Variations

The European Union presents a complex picture. While broad statistics on science and technology (S&T) employment show a semblance of gender balance, deeper analysis into specific ICT roles reveals significant underrepresentation of women.

Women in Science & Technology (S&T) Employment (Eurostat):
In 2023, of the 78.3 million people employed in S&T fields in the EU, 52% were women. This percentage has remained unchanged since 2021.8 Women in S&T predominantly worked in service activities, where they constituted 57% of the workforce compared to 43% men.8 However, there are notable regional variations. Lithuania and Latvia reported the highest shares of women in S&T employment (both 63% in 2023), while Malta (45%) and several Italian regions (North-West and South both at 46%, North-East and Centre both at 47%) recorded the lowest shares.8 It is important to note that this broad S&T category encompasses a wide range of occupations, including many outside the traditional "tech" sector, such as life sciences and social sciences, where female representation is often higher. This can mask more severe imbalances within core ICT roles.
Scientists and Engineers (Eurostat):
A more focused look at scientists and engineers within the EU reveals a clearer disparity. Despite comprising the majority in the broader S&T workforce, women were underrepresented in these more specialized roles, accounting for 41% (7.7 million women) in 2023.8 Worryingly, this share has only increased by a single percentage point over the last decade. Although the absolute number of women working as scientists and engineers grew by a substantial 50% during this period (from 5.1 million in 2012 to 7.7 million in 2023), the minimal change in their proportional representation indicates that men have also been entering these fields at a high rate, thereby maintaining the existing gender imbalance.8 This slow growth in the share of women suggests that efforts to attract women are not significantly outpacing the overall growth and male entry into these professions, pointing to persistent systemic issues.
In 2022, women scientists and engineers were primarily employed in the service

sector (making up 46% of scientists and engineers in that sector), whereas in manufacturing, they constituted only 22%.[9] Country-level data for 2023 showed Denmark (50.8%), Spain (50.0%), and Bulgaria (49.1%) with relatively high shares of female scientists and engineers, while Hungary (30.7%) and Finland (31.4%) had among the lowest.[10]

ICT Specialists and Digital Skills (European Commission & Eurostat):
The gender gap is particularly stark when examining ICT specialists. Only one in five ICT specialists in the EU are women 11, a figure that stood at 19.4% in 2023 and has seen little change since 2015.11 This statistic is a critical indicator of the gender imbalance in the core tech workforce. The EU's Digital Decade Policy Programme aims to have 20 million ICT specialists employed by 2030, with a specific objective to promote women's access to the field.11
The Women in Digital (WiD) Scoreboard is a key instrument for tracking Member States' performance regarding internet use, internet user skills, and specialist skills and employment among women.[14] The 2024 Scoreboard, based on Eurostat data from previous years, provides detailed country profiles, though its specific findings are contained within downloadable documents.[14] Complementing this, the European Research Area (ERA) Scoreboard for 2024 indicated some progress in broader gender equality in Research and Innovation, such as an increase in the proportion of women in the highest academic grade (Grade A) from 19.7% in 2010 to 27.3% in 2022.[17]

Regarding digital skills, in 2023, 54% of women in the EU (aged 16-74) possessed at least basic digital skills, compared to 57% of men. Interestingly, in age groups up to 45 years, a higher proportion of women had these skills than their male counterparts.[13]

Women in AI and Cybersecurity (EU):
In specialized and high-demand fields like AI and cybersecurity, female underrepresentation is acute. Globally, women make up only 22% of AI talent, and the EU reflects this trend with significant internal disparities.3 For instance, Germany (20.3%) and Sweden (22.4%) show low female representation in their AI workforces, despite their high rankings in overall gender equality. Among European AI hubs, Milan leads with 30.7% female AI professionals, while Frankfurt reports only 19%.3 The gender gap in AI is notably more pronounced than in the general workforce, suggesting that general societal gender equality does not automatically translate to equality in highly specialized tech fields.3 This "AI paradox" points to specific cultural or structural factors within the AI sector itself or its educational pathways that may override broader societal progress.
In cybersecurity, women constitute 22.4% of the workforce in Europe.[18] A 2024 study by (ISC)² found that women account for 22% of security teams on average globally. LinkedIn data from a similar period indicated that Italy had a representation of 26.7% women in cybersecurity, while Germany had one of the lowest at 14.6%.[19] The

underrepresentation in these fields is critical, as it poses risks for biased technology development in AI and exacerbates workforce shortages in cybersecurity.

C. United States: Trends and Comparisons

Data from the United States largely mirrors the global and EU trends of female underrepresentation in core technology roles and leadership, despite some areas of higher overall workforce participation in major tech companies.

Women held 35% of tech jobs in the U.S. at the end of 2023 and constitute 35% of employees in STEM fields.[1] Representation within major tech companies varies: Amazon reports 45% female staff (29% in leadership), Facebook (Meta) 37% female staff (34% in leadership), Apple 34% female staff (31% in leadership), Google 33% female staff (28% in leadership), and Microsoft 33.1% female staff (26% in leadership).[1]

The educational pipeline in the U.S. shows an early divergence, with women earning approximately 21.3% of Bachelor's degrees in computer and information sciences and 22% in Engineering and Engineering Technology.[1] In the AI workforce, less than a third are women.[1] For cybersecurity, U.S. figures indicate women comprise between 18.3% (LinkedIn data) and 19.2% ((ISC)² data) of the workforce.[19]

D. Representation in Leadership and Entrepreneurship

Despite improvements in educational attainment and general workforce participation in some areas, women encounter significant bottlenecks when it comes to reaching leadership positions and securing funding for entrepreneurial ventures in the tech sector.

Leadership:
Globally, women hold just under 31.7% of leadership roles across all industries.1 In European tech companies, women occupy only 22% of all tech roles, with their representation in leadership being even lower.20 Data from the Tech Talent Charter indicates that women make up only 21% of senior tech leaders in the UK 21, and a Frank Recruitment Group study found women held just 25% of CIO positions in FTSE 100 companies.21 Globally, women hold 32% of senior management positions in the tech sector, a figure below that of other industries such as professional services (36%) and healthcare (38%).22
Within the EU's deep tech sector, women hold only about 30% of leadership positions.[23] The GENDEX index found that only one in five European tech companies were led by women over the past decade.[23] In major U.S. tech companies, women's leadership representation ranges from 26% at Microsoft to 34% at Facebook (Meta).[1] These figures point to systemic barriers beyond initial entry into the tech field, likely related to promotion bias, a lack of sponsorship, and other systemic hurdles that

prevent women from ascending to the highest ranks.

Corporate Boards (EU):
Representation on corporate boards in the EU has seen some improvement, partly driven by legislative efforts. The average share of women on the corporate boards of large listed companies in the EU is 34% 25, an increase from 22% in 2014.28 However, the proportion of female CEOs remains low, at just 8% in 2023.28
The EU's Gender Balance on Corporate Boards Directive is a key policy aiming to accelerate progress. It sets targets for large EU listed companies to achieve 40% representation of the underrepresented sex among their non-executive directors and 33% among all directors by 30 June 2026.[25] Evidence suggests such measures are effective: in 2024, countries with binding national gender quotas had 39.6% women on boards of the largest listed companies, compared to 33.8% in countries with "soft" measures, and a mere 17% in countries that had taken no specific action.[25] While board representation is improving, the disparity in CEO and other senior tech leadership roles indicates that the path to the very top remains significantly challenging for women.

Entrepreneurship and VC Funding:
The entrepreneurial landscape for women in tech is particularly challenging, marked by severe underfunding. OECD estimates indicate that women founded only 10% of technology start-up companies that sought venture capital.29 In Europe, female-led teams receive a strikingly low 1% of total venture capital funding.23 Between 2014 and 2024, companies led by men secured 1.8 times the funding received by women-led businesses.24 Furthermore, women-led companies often face longer waiting times to secure funding and may receive less favorable investment terms compared to their male-led counterparts.23 In the United States, the situation is similar, with only 2.3% of venture capital funding in 2022 going to women-led startups.1 This significant disparity in access to capital is a major impediment to women's entrepreneurship in tech, limiting their ability to innovate, scale their businesses, and contribute to economic growth.

E. The Persistent Gender Pay Gap and Patent Disparities

Beyond representation in numbers, the gender gap also manifests in significant disparities in pay and innovation outputs, such as patents.

Pay Gap:
A persistent gender pay gap exists across the tech industry. In the EU, women working in ICT earn almost 20% less than their male colleagues.12 In the United States, women in tech typically earn between 80 and 84 cents for every dollar earned by men.30 The overall gender pay gap in the U.S. tech industry stands at 16%, which is notably higher than the national average of 11.6% across all industries.31 Globally, women in STEM fields earn, on average, 18% less than their male counterparts.32 The OECD reported an average gender wage gap of 11% in 2023 across all sectors in its member countries.33 This consistent pay disparity is a clear

indicator of the unequal valuation of women's work and opportunities, impacting their economic security, career progression, and overall morale.

Patent Disparities:

The disparity in innovation, as measured by patent filings, is equally stark. Women obtain just 7% of ICT patents in G20 countries, according to OECD data.29 Furthermore, women are reported to be 13 times less likely to file for a technology patent than men.29 In the EU, only 24% of patent applications are submitted by women.23 UNESCO also notes that women are disproportionately underrepresented among inventors.6 Patent filing is a key metric for innovation and intellectual property generation. The vast gender disparity in this area suggests that women's innovative contributions are not being captured, supported, or recognized at the same rate as men's. This not only disadvantages women innovators but also represents a significant loss of untapped creative potential for the broader economy.

The following table provides a consolidated view of the gender gap across key areas:

Table 1: Global and Regional Snapshot of Women in Key Tech Areas (Latest Available Data)

Metric	Global	European Union (EU)	United States (US)	Key Source(s)
% of ICT Specialists	~20% (implied)	19.4% (2023)	N/A (specific comparable figure not readily available in snippets, but general tech job % is 35%)	11
% of AI Professionals	22%	Germany: 20.3%, Sweden: 22.4%, Milan: 30.7%	<33%	1
% of Cybersecurity Professionals	22% (ISC2 average)	22.4%	18.3-19.2%	18
% of Software Developers	6%	N/A	N/A (overall tech job % is 35%)	2
% in Overall	<33% (World	22% (McKinsey, European	35% (end of	1

Tech Roles	Bank)	companies)	2023)	
% in Senior Tech Leadership	32% (Senior Management)	UK: 21%	Major Tech Co's: 26-34%	[1]
% of STEM Graduates (Tertiary)	N/A (PhD: 44%)	42% (overall STEM), 24% (ICT graduates)	~21.3% (CS Bachelor's)	[1]
Gender Pay Gap in Tech/ICT	STEM: 18% less	ICT: ~20% less	Tech: 16% gap (earn 80-84c per $1)	[12]
% of ICT Patents by Women	G20: 7%	EU: 24% (patent applications)	N/A (G20 data includes US)	[23]
% of VC Funding to Women-Led Startups	N/A (OECD: 10% of startups seeking VC founded by women)	1%	2.3% (2022)	[1]

Note: "N/A" indicates data not readily available or directly comparable from the provided snippets for that specific intersection of metric and region. Some global figures are broad estimates. Data years vary by source but represent the latest available in the research material.

The data presented in this section consistently demonstrates that despite some progress in overall educational attainment and workforce entry, women face significant underrepresentation in core technology fields, specialized areas like AI and cybersecurity, leadership positions, and entrepreneurial endeavors. The persistent pay gap and patent disparities further highlight the systemic nature of the gender gap in the tech industry.

III. Unpacking the Root Causes of the Gender Gap

The underrepresentation of women in the technology sector is not attributable to a single factor but rather a complex interplay of educational, societal, cultural, and workplace-related issues. These elements often reinforce each other, creating a cyclical pattern of exclusion that begins early in life and persists throughout women's

careers.

A. The Educational Pipeline: From Early Stereotypes to STEM Graduation Rates

The foundation for the gender gap in tech is often laid long before women enter the workforce, starting with early childhood experiences and continuing through formal education.

Early Age Stereotypes:
Gender stereotypes suggesting that subjects like mathematics, science, and coding are inherently "male domains" emerge at a very young age. Research indicates that by junior school, girls are significantly less likely than boys to express an interest in computers or to envision themselves as future computer scientists.32 These early biases can profoundly shape a child's self-perception and interests. By the age of 15, girls often report lower confidence in their STEM abilities compared to boys, even when their academic performance in these subjects is equivalent or superior.32 Societal expectations and pervasive gender stereotypes directly influence academic performance and subsequent career choices.11 The UNESCO report "Changing the Equation: Securing STEM Futures for Women" confirms that such stereotypes and societal pressures are primary factors contributing to the gender gap in STEM fields.36
K-12 and Higher Education:
These early influences have a tangible impact on educational pathways. Globally, only 35% of students enrolled in STEM-related fields in higher education are women.37 In the European Union, while women constitute 42% of all STEM graduates, they represent only 24% of ICT graduates, indicating a specific aversion or barrier to core technology disciplines.23 In the United States, women earn approximately 21.3% of Bachelor's degrees in computer and information sciences.1
A significant "leak" in the pipeline occurs at critical transition points. Analysis shows a substantial drop of 18 percentage points in the proportion of women in STEM classes during the transition from primary and secondary education to university. Another drop occurs during the transition from university to the workforce.[20] For ICT disciplines specifically, this drop-off is even more dramatic, at 31 percentage points when moving from university to work.[20] Furthermore, data from Europe indicated a small but concerning decline in the share of women STEM graduates between 2016 and 2020.[20] This illustrates that the educational system itself contributes to the narrowing of the pipeline for women in tech.

Lack of Encouragement and Role Models in Education:
The educational environment can also play a role in discouraging girls. Attitudes of teachers and parents may be less encouraging towards girls pursuing technology-related subjects, even when they exhibit aptitude.35 Compounding this is the lack of visible female role models within educational settings and STEM faculties, which further reinforces the notion that these fields are not for women.32

B. Societal and Cultural Factors: Media Portrayal and Ingrained Biases

Societal norms and cultural messaging, often amplified by the media, play a significant role in perpetuating the gender gap in technology.

Media Reinforcement of Stereotypes:
Media representations across television, movies, news coverage, and advertising frequently depict computer scientists, engineers, and tech innovators as predominantly male.35 Sometimes, these portrayals involve negative stereotypes, such as the "anti-social" or hyper-competitive "brogrammer".35 Such depictions influence girls' aspirations and self-image from an early age, subtly communicating who "belongs" in the tech world.34 The consistent lack of visible female innovators in mainstream narratives, such as the historical contributions of figures like Hedy Lamarr being largely overlooked 38, perpetuates the myth that tech is primarily a "man's world."
The "Matilda Effect":
A related phenomenon is the "Matilda Effect," where the contributions of women in science and technology are systematically overlooked, ignored, or misattributed to their male colleagues.37 This not only denies individual women recognition but also reduces the overall visibility of female achievement in tech, further reinforcing the perception of the field as male-dominated and making it harder for young women to find role models.

C. Workplace Dynamics

For women who navigate the educational and societal hurdles to enter the tech industry, the workplace itself often presents a new set of challenges that contribute to the gender gap.

Prevalence of "Bro Culture," Microaggressions, and Bias:
A significant number of women in tech, reportedly 72%, experience a prevalent "bro culture" in their workplaces.1 This can manifest as exclusionary social behaviors, "locker room talk," a focus on male-oriented interests in workplace activities and environments, and a general atmosphere that can make women feel like outsiders.35
Microaggressions—subtle, everyday slights, snubs, or insults, whether intentional or unintentional, which communicate hostile, derogatory, or negative messages targeted at individuals based upon their marginalized group membership—are commonly reported by women in tech.[39] Examples include being repeatedly interrupted or talked over in meetings, having their technical competence questioned or devalued, their contributions ignored, or being excluded from important discussions or social events.[41] While individual instances might seem minor, their cumulative effect can be profoundly damaging, leading to feelings of isolation, frustration, burnout, and ultimately, a desire to leave the tech field.[41]

Bias in hiring and promotion is also a critical factor. Studies indicate that 65% of tech recruiters acknowledge the existence of bias in hiring processes.[1] Well-known

experiments, such as the "John vs. Jennifer" resume study, have demonstrated that identical qualifications can be evaluated differently based on the perceived gender of the applicant, affecting hiring decisions and offered salaries.[39]

Lack of Role Models, Mentorship, and Sponsorship:
The scarcity of women in senior and leadership positions within tech companies means there are fewer visible role models for aspiring female professionals.32 This lack of visibility can reinforce the perception that tech is not a welcoming or viable long-term career path for women.42
Beyond role models, women in tech often lack access to effective mentorship and, crucially, sponsorship. While mentors offer guidance and advice, sponsors are senior leaders who actively advocate for an individual's career advancement, opening doors to opportunities and promotions. The underrepresentation of women in leadership means that potential sponsors are often men, and women may face greater difficulty in forming these critical sponsorship relationships.[40] This absence of active advocacy significantly hinders women's progression to leadership roles.

Challenges in Hiring, Retention, and Promotion:
Systemic biases are evident in HR processes. The representation of women in tech job applicant pools tends to be highest for junior-level positions and drops significantly for mid-level and senior-level roles.1 This suggests that barriers to entry increase with seniority, or that women are disproportionately filtered out during recruitment for higher-level positions.
Once in the workforce, many women find their career progression stalls. Reports indicate that 66% of women in tech feel they lack clear career advancement paths within their companies.[1] Promotion rates also show disparities: for every 100 men promoted to a managerial position, only 87 women (and even fewer, 82 women of color) achieve the same promotion.[1] Furthermore, women in tech are reported to be 1.6 times more likely to face layoffs than their male counterparts [1], adding a layer of job insecurity.

D. The "Leaky Pipeline": Why Women Leave Tech Careers

The term "leaky pipeline" refers to the phenomenon where women, despite entering STEM fields, tend to leave their careers at higher rates than men at various stages. This attrition represents a significant loss of talent, expertise, and investment.

High Attrition Rates:
Statistics indicate that approximately half of the women who enter the tech industry leave their careers by the age of 35.30 The attrition rate for women in STEM fields is notably higher than that for women in non-STEM professions.47 One study found that women working in science and technology fields in the U.S. were 45% more likely than their male colleagues to

quit their jobs within a year of starting.40
Reasons for Leaving:
The decision to leave is often multifaceted, but research points to several dominant factors:

- **Workplace Culture and Conditions:** This is consistently cited as a primary driver of attrition.[30] Issues such as pervasive bias, discrimination, an exclusionary "bro culture," and a general lack of belonging contribute significantly to women's decisions to leave.[30] One report indicated that 37% of women who leave tech do so because of a negative company culture.[31]
- **Limited Advancement and Stalled Careers:** The feeling of being stuck, with unclear or inaccessible paths to promotion and leadership, is a major factor.[30]
- **Pay Gaps and Economic Inequities:** Persistent disparities in compensation can lead women to seek opportunities in other industries where their contributions might be valued more equitably.[30]
- **Work-Life Balance and Lack of Flexibility:** While often cited, the narrative that women leave tech primarily for "family reasons" may oversimplify the issue. Rigid work schedules, a lack of meaningful flexible work options, and inadequate support for caregiving responsibilities certainly play a role.[12] About 25% of women cite a lack of work-life balance as a key reason for leaving tech careers.[48] However, some data suggests that explicit "family reasons" account for a smaller proportion of departures (e.g., 27% in one study [31]) than issues related to workplace culture and career progression. This implies that while family-friendly policies are important, they are insufficient if the underlying work environment remains problematic.
- **Burnout:** The cumulative effect of these challenges can lead to high levels of stress and burnout. Reports indicate that 57% of women in tech experience burnout, compared to 36% of men.[46]

The high rate of attrition is particularly concerning because it often involves experienced women. Many women report a passion for their technical work [47], yet they are driven out by the negative experiences and systemic barriers within the tech environment itself. This suggests the problem lies less with the nature of the work and more with the culture and structure of the industry.

E. Work-Life Integration Challenges and Lack of Flexible Policies

The structure of many tech jobs and company cultures often fails to support effective work-life integration, disproportionately affecting women who still tend to shoulder a larger share of caregiving responsibilities.[12]

A lack of genuinely flexible work arrangements, the high cost or unavailability of affordable childcare, and parental leave policies that are not equitable or sufficiently

supportive are significant barriers.[30] Rigid policies, long hours, and unpredictable work schedules, particularly in some frontline tech-related roles, make it exceptionally difficult for women to balance professional ambitions with family and personal life.[51] For example, one study cited that 85% of women who had left the tech industry pointed to maternity leave policy as a major contributing factor in their decision.[40] These challenges often force women into a position where they feel they must choose between their career and their personal responsibilities, leading many to opt out of demanding tech careers.

The interplay of these root causes—from early educational discouragement creating a smaller initial pool of women, to hostile or unsupportive workplace cultures that drive out those who do enter—creates a self-perpetuating cycle. The initial underrepresentation may contribute to the development and persistence of male-dominated cultures, which in turn actively discourages and pushes out women, further cementing the gender gap.

Table 2: Key Root Causes of the Gender Gap in Tech and Supporting Evidence

Root Cause Category	Specific Factor	Key Evidence/Statistic (Source ID)
Educational Pipeline	Early Age Stereotypes	Girls less likely to express interest in computers by junior school [34]; Girls less confident in STEM by age 15 despite equal performance [32]
	K-12 & Higher Education Disparities	Only 35% of STEM students are women [37]; EU: 24% of ICT graduates are women [23]; US: ~21.3% CS Bachelor's degrees to women [1]; Significant drop-offs from secondary to university and university to workforce [20]
	Lack of Encouragement & Role Models in Education	Teacher/parental attitudes less encouraging for girls in tech [35]; Lack of female role

		models in education [32]
Societal & Cultural Factors	Media Reinforcement of Stereotypes	Media portrays tech as male-dominated, "brogrammer" stereotype [35]
	"Matilda Effect"	Women's contributions overlooked or misattributed [37]
Workplace Dynamics	"Bro Culture," Microaggressions, Bias	72% of women in tech report "bro culture" [1]; Frequent microaggressions (dismissal, interruption) [39]; 65% of recruiters acknowledge hiring bias [1]
	Lack of Role Models, Mentorship, Sponsorship	Scarcity of women in senior roles means fewer role models/mentors [40]; Lack of active sponsorship for advancement [40]
Systemic HR Issues (Hiring, Retention, Promotion)	Biased Hiring & Promotion	Female applicant pool drops for senior roles [1]; 66% of women lack clear career paths [1]; For every 100 men promoted to manager, only 87 women are [1]
	High Attrition Rates ("Leaky Pipeline")	Half of women leave tech by age 35 [30]; Attrition driven by workplace culture, stalled careers [31]
Work-Life Integration	Disproportionate Caregiving Burden	Women shoulder more caregiving [12]
	Lack of Flexible Policies & Support	Rigid schedules, inadequate parental leave, childcare costs [30]; 25% cite lack of work-life

		balance for leaving [48]

IV. Intersectionality: The Compounded Barriers for Diverse Women in Tech

The gender gap in technology is not a monolithic issue affecting all women equally. Intersectionality, a term coined by legal scholar Kimberlé Crenshaw, provides a critical lens for understanding how various social and political identities—such as gender, race, ethnicity, class, sexual orientation, disability, and geographic location—overlap and interact to create unique and compounded modes of discrimination and privilege.[52] For many women in the tech industry, their gender is only one aspect of an identity that may subject them to multiple layers of bias and systemic barriers. Ignoring intersectionality risks missing the "main story" and can lead to the development of less effective or even counterproductive policies and initiatives.[52] The European Parliament has noted that EU policies have often lacked a robust intersectional approach, potentially rendering the specific challenges of certain groups of women invisible.[54]

A. Women of Color in Tech: Navigating Race, Ethnicity, and Gender

Women of color in the technology sector often face a "double bind" [55], experiencing discrimination and bias based on both their gender and their race or ethnicity. This compounded disadvantage manifests in severe underrepresentation, increased workplace hostility, and limited opportunities for advancement and funding.

Underrepresentation and Unfairness:
Data indicates that underrepresented men and women of color are more likely to leave the tech industry due to unfair treatment; for instance, 40% of underrepresented men of color cited unfairness as a reason for leaving.52 These groups also report experiencing stereotyping at approximately twice the rate of their White and Asian counterparts.56 In the United States, the representation of Black and Latinx women in tech is alarmingly low, at approximately 2.2% and 1.9%, respectively.46 An investigation by Reveal into large Silicon Valley firms found that Black women and Latinas each constituted less than 2% of professionals, with their numbers dwindling even further in leadership positions (less than 1% for Latinas and 0.5% for Black women).55
Compounded Challenges:
Women of color frequently navigate intersecting forms of discrimination that impact their hiring, promotion, and daily workplace interactions.57 They often report being overqualified for their roles; one report indicated that 91% of Black women in the EU were overqualified for their jobs compared to 48% of white women.54 This, coupled with persistent discrimination and microaggressions, contributes to higher rates of burnout.54 The lack of representation in

leadership means fewer role models and mentors who share similar backgrounds, making it harder for women of color to envision their own paths to success.57 They may also experience tokenization, where they are highlighted for diversity metrics without receiving meaningful opportunities for growth.57

Funding Disparities:

The intersectional barriers are starkly evident in entrepreneurship. For example, one analysis estimated that the average funding raised by Black female founders was a mere $42,000, drastically lower than the average seed round, which typically exceeds $1 million.55 This severely limits their ability to launch and scale tech ventures.

The Kapor Center's "Tech Leavers Study" provided significant evidence that experiences of bullying, stereotyping, and racial bias are major drivers of attrition for underrepresented groups, including women of color.[56] The lack of granular data collection that specifically addresses the experiences of women at the intersection of race and gender often makes their unique challenges invisible, hindering the development of targeted and effective interventions.[55]

B. Women with Disabilities: Accessibility and Inclusion Challenges

Women with disabilities encounter another layer of compounded disadvantage in the tech industry. Beyond gender-based discrimination, they face barriers related to the accessibility of digital tools, platforms, and physical workspaces, as well as societal biases concerning disability.

Accessibility Barriers:

A primary challenge for women with disabilities is the lack of accessible design in digital products, services, and work environments.58 This can include websites that are not compatible with screen readers, software without keyboard navigation options, or physical office spaces that are not accommodating. These barriers can affect individuals with a range of disabilities, including physical, cognitive, sensory (e.g., visual or hearing impairments), and other non-visible impairments.59

Workplace Inclusion and Accommodations:

Creating genuinely inclusive workplaces requires more than just meeting minimum legal compliance. It involves fostering a culture of empathy and understanding, particularly regarding the distinction between seen (immediately apparent) and unseen disabilities (such as chronic pain, mental health conditions, or neurodivergence).59 Hiring managers and team leaders have a responsibility to prioritize accessibility, ensuring that all employees have the tools and accommodations they need to perform their jobs effectively and feel a sense of belonging.59 This includes adhering to accessibility standards like the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) and adopting user-centered design principles that consider the needs of people with diverse abilities from the outset.60

Data Scarcity and Invisibility:

While there is some data on the representation of persons with disabilities in broader STEM fields 61, specific and current statistics on the experiences of women with disabilities within

the tech industry, particularly with regional breakdowns for areas like the EU, are often lacking in comprehensive detail within publicly available reports. This data gap can make their specific challenges and needs less visible to policymakers and industry leaders, potentially leading to their exclusion from D&I initiatives. The Society of Women Engineers (SWE) literature review underscores the importance of addressing intersectionality, including disability, in STEM inclusion efforts.62 The technology itself, if not designed with inclusivity in mind, can become a significant barrier, further marginalizing women with disabilities.

C. Socioeconomic Status as a Barrier: Access to Education and Resources

Socioeconomic status (SES) profoundly influences an individual's access to the foundational resources required for a career in technology, and it intersects significantly with gender to create additional hurdles for women from low-income backgrounds.

Compounded Hurdles:
Women from low-income families often face greater obstacles in pursuing tech careers compared to their more affluent peers.63 Access to quality education, particularly in STEM fields, can be limited by financial constraints. The cost of higher education, specialized training programs, necessary equipment (like computers and reliable internet access), and even the ability to undertake unpaid internships can be prohibitive.64 Furthermore, women from lower SES backgrounds may lack access to influential mentors and supportive professional networks that are often crucial for career entry and advancement in the tech industry.64
Global Issue with Regional Variations:
This challenge is global, affecting women in developing countries as well as those in economically developed nations.64 In many parts of Africa, for example, access to education, financial capital, and robust support networks plays a critical role in determining whether women can enter and thrive in the burgeoning tech sector.64 While initiatives like Andela in Nigeria, Girls Who Code in the USA, Women in Tech in Europe, and the Gender Tech Initiative in Uganda are working to support women from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds 64, the scale of the challenge remains immense. The fundamental lack of access to these prerequisites means that many other interventions aimed at improving workplace culture or mentorship may have limited impact on this demographic.

D. The Rural-Urban Divide: Disparities in Opportunities and Digital Access

Geographic location, particularly the distinction between rural and urban settings, introduces another layer of complexity to the gender gap in tech. Women in rural areas often face a significant digital divide and fewer opportunities compared to their urban counterparts.

Digital Divide and Access Issues:
Rural women typically experience more limited access to essential infrastructure such as reliable, high-speed internet and digital devices.13 This digital divide restricts their ability to

acquire digital literacy skills, participate in online education or training, access information about tech careers, and engage with the broader digital economy.65 This disparity exacerbates existing gender inequalities, leaving many rural women behind.65
In the EU context, the gender digital divide is acknowledged to be more extreme for women living in rural areas.[67] While an average of 54% of EU women possess basic digital skills, this figure likely masks significant disparities, with rural women facing greater challenges.[13] A 2018 survey covering 20 countries in the Global South highlighted a staggering 125% gap in internet access between urban and rural women [66], illustrating the severity of this divide in many parts of the world.

Specific Challenges for Rural Women:
Beyond infrastructure, rural women may contend with more entrenched traditional gender roles that limit their mobility or participation in economic activities outside the home.65 They often have fewer opportunities for in-person STEM programs, networking events, and access to tech hubs or companies, which are typically concentrated in urban centers.63
Addressing the Divide:
Efforts to empower rural women in tech must therefore focus on improving digital infrastructure in underserved areas, providing affordable access to digital devices, and implementing targeted digital literacy programs.65 Technology itself, through remote learning platforms, telemedicine, and e-commerce solutions, can also be leveraged to bridge the gap and provide rural women with access to education, healthcare, and market opportunities.65
The experience of women in tech is clearly not uniform. Intersectionality reveals that women who belong to multiple marginalized groups often face a complex web of interconnected barriers that are more severe than those faced by women who do not share those additional identities. For instance, the development of facial recognition technology that exhibited the highest error rates for Black women [52] serves as a stark example of how a lack of diversity and intersectional awareness in tech development can lead to biased and discriminatory products. This creates a vicious cycle where technology developed by a non-diverse workforce can further marginalize underrepresented users. Effective strategies to close the gender gap must therefore be nuanced, targeted, and informed by an understanding of these compounded disadvantages.

Table 3: Intersectional Challenges for Diverse Women in Tech

Intersectional Group	Key Challenges	Illustrative Statistics/Examples (Source ID)

Women of Color	Compounded racial and gender bias; Severe underrepresentation in roles and leadership; Stereotyping; Bullying; Higher attrition due to unfairness; Significant funding gaps for entrepreneurs.	US: Black women 2.2%, Latinas 1.9% in tech [46]; Underrepresented women of color twice as likely to experience stereotyping [56]; Black female founders raise avg. $42k vs. >$1M seed round.[55]
Women with Disabilities	Lack of accessible digital platforms and assistive technologies; Physical, cognitive, sensory accessibility barriers in workplaces; Lack of understanding of seen vs. unseen disabilities; Insufficient accommodations.	Women with disabilities face additional challenges due to lack of accessible design [58]; Need for user-centered design and WCAG compliance [60]; Importance of inclusive workplaces.[59]
Women from Low Socioeconomic Backgrounds	Limited access to quality education, financial resources for training/entrepreneurship; Lack of influential mentors and networks; Geographic limitations (e.g., Africa vs. Silicon Valley disparities).	Particularly tough hurdles [64]; Access to education, money, mentors varies greatly by financial situation [64]; Initiatives like Andela, Girls Who Code aim to help.[64]
Rural Women	Significant digital divide (internet, devices); Limited exposure to technology and tech careers; Fewer local opportunities (STEM programs, tech hubs); Traditional gender roles may be more restrictive.	EU: Digital divide more extreme for rural women [67]; 125% gap in internet access urban vs. rural women (Global South survey) [66]; Need for infrastructure, digital literacy programs.[65]

V. Policy Landscape and Frameworks for Change

Recognizing the persistent gender gap in the technology sector, various governing bodies, international organizations, and corporations have developed policies, strategies, and initiatives aimed at fostering greater inclusion and equality. These efforts span from broad strategic frameworks to targeted programs addressing specific barriers.

A. European Union Strategies and Directives

The European Union has been proactive in establishing a policy landscape to tackle gender inequality in the digital sphere.

The Digital Decade Policy Programme (2030 Targets):
A cornerstone of the EU's digital ambitions, this programme sets clear targets for 2030. Among these is the goal to employ at least 20 million ICT specialists, with a specific emphasis on achieving gender balance and promoting women's access to these roles. Another key objective is for at least 80% of EU citizens aged 16-74 to possess at least basic digital skills.11 These targets inherently necessitate a significant increase in female participation in both the tech workforce and in acquiring digital competencies.
Gender Equality Strategy (2020-2025 and successors):
This overarching strategy provides the guiding framework for the EU's actions on gender equality. Its objectives include closing gender gaps in the labour market, achieving equal participation across different economic sectors (including tech), and ensuring gender balance in decision-making roles.11 A critical horizontal principle for its implementation is intersectionality, acknowledging the diverse experiences of women.69 The forthcoming Roadmap for Women's Rights (2025) is expected to further address issues such as technology-facilitated bias and the promotion of digital skills for women and girls.11
Women in Digital (WiD) Scoreboard & Initiatives:
To monitor progress and guide interventions, the EU utilizes the Women in Digital (WiD) Scoreboard. This tool annually assesses Member States' performance on women's inclusion in digital jobs, their internet usage patterns, digital skills levels, and engagement in entrepreneurship.11
Several targeted initiatives operate under the EU umbrella:

- **WIDCON (Connecting Women in Tech):** This project aims to analyze the entire professional pipeline for women in digital fields, identify obstacles, and disseminate best practices.[70]
- **EQUALS-EU:** A regional partnership focused on promoting gender balance in the technology sector by championing equality of access, skills development, and career opportunities.[11]
- **Women4IT:** Provides fully funded, individually tailored digital skills training aligned with current market needs.[11]
- **Women4Cyber:** A network that unites skilled women in the cybersecurity field from various sectors and levels of expertise, fostering collaboration and visibility.[11]
- **Women TechEU:** Specifically supports deep-tech start-ups led by women by offering equity-free grants, coaching, and mentoring to female founders.[11] These programs represent concrete efforts to build capacity, foster networks, and provide direct support to women in the digital economy.

Gender Balance on Corporate Boards Directive:

This legally binding instrument is a significant step towards increasing women's representation at the highest levels of corporate decision-making. The Directive mandates that large EU listed companies achieve specific targets by 30 June 2026: either at least 40% of the underrepresented sex (typically women) among non-executive directors or 33% among all directors.25 It also requires companies that do not meet these targets to use transparent and gender-neutral criteria in their selection processes for board members.25 This directive aims to break the "glass ceiling" and is expected to have ripple effects on gender diversity throughout organizations.

Council of Europe Study on AI Systems:

Addressing emerging challenges, a Council of Europe study on AI systems has put forth recommendations to mitigate algorithmic discrimination. These include advocating for positive action measures to tackle bias, mandating discrimination risk and equality impact assessments for AI systems, and promoting diversity within AI development teams. The study specifically emphasizes the need to address intersectional discrimination, where gender bias may combine with other forms of bias.73

The existence of this wide array of EU-level strategies, directives, and monitoring tools indicates a strong political commitment. However, the persistent statistical gaps highlighted in Section II suggest that the journey from policy formulation to tangible, widespread impact is ongoing and faces considerable challenges in overcoming deeply entrenched systemic and cultural barriers.

B. National Digital Inclusion Initiatives: Global Examples

Beyond the EU, numerous countries and international organizations are implementing initiatives to bridge the gender digital divide and support women in technology. The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), for example, leads and supports various such efforts globally:

- In **Afghanistan**, UNDP has provided financing, equipment, and training (including digital marketing and business management) to tens of thousands of women-led businesses.[74]
- In **Bhutan**, female farmers are benefiting from smart irrigation systems built on Internet of Things technology, enhancing their agricultural productivity.[74]
- **China's HER Digital Future bootcamp**, a partnership involving UNDP and Technovation, aims to close the gender gap in STEM education by teaching girls about emerging technologies.[74]
- UNDP has supported **India** in analyzing the gender implications of Generative AI.[74]
- In **Indonesia**, the SATUSEHAT logistics platform, building on the SMILE vaccine logistics tool, empowers female health workers by improving the efficiency of healthcare delivery.[74]
- A Green & Digital initiative in **Iran** has equipped local producers, particularly women, with digital tools and e-commerce skills.[74]

- In **Pakistan**, UNDP is working on policy and legal reforms to combat technology-facilitated gender-based violence.[74]

Another example is the **ITU160 Gender Champions initiative**, a partnership between the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and the Government of Canada, which recognizes and supports young women (ages 18–25) for their contributions to digital development, with a special focus on those from developing nations and underrepresented groups.[75] These examples illustrate the diverse approaches being taken worldwide, often tailored to specific regional needs, to empower women through digital skills and access.

C. OECD Guidelines and Best Practices for Gender Diversity in Tech

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) provides influential guidance to its member countries on fostering gender diversity in the tech sector. Their recommendations focus on systemic changes in education, workplace culture, and addressing new technological challenges.
The OECD advocates for a multi-pronged approach that includes:

- **Addressing Stereotypes and Educational Barriers:** Actively working to dismantle gender stereotypes in STEM from early childhood, removing obstacles to training, and encouraging girls and young women to pursue STEM studies and careers.[76] They highlight the stark gender gap in programming skills and the low aspiration among 15-year-old girls for ICT professions.[77]
- **Building Inclusive Work Cultures:** Promoting environments where women feel valued and can thrive.[76]
- **Empowering with Skills:** Ensuring women and girls have the right mix of skills for a digital economy, including equal access to reskilling and upskilling opportunities.[77]
- **Guardrails for AI:** Implementing appropriate safeguards to prevent algorithmic gender bias, given women's current underrepresentation in AI research and development.[77]

The OECD's work underscores that achieving gender equality in digital transformation requires a holistic strategy that integrates more women into ICT development to ensure diverse perspectives shape a more inclusive digital future. This indicates a maturing understanding of the problem, moving beyond basic access to focus on meaningful inclusion, advancement, and addressing nuanced issues like algorithmic bias.

D. Corporate Best Practices

Many technology companies are increasingly recognizing the importance of gender

diversity and are implementing various initiatives, though the effectiveness and depth of these can vary. Common best practices include:

Inclusive Hiring and Promotion:

- **Combating Bias:** Providing diversity and inclusion training for hiring managers and all employees, establishing standardized and objective evaluation criteria for candidates and promotions, implementing blind resume reviews (where identifying information is removed), and conducting structured interviews to reduce interviewer bias.[48]
- **Expanding Talent Pools:** Actively reaching out to diverse candidate pools, using inclusive and gender-neutral language in job descriptions, and partnering with organizations dedicated to supporting women and underrepresented groups in technology.[48]
- **Transparent Advancement:** Establishing clear, transparent criteria for promotions and career progression, and providing consistent, constructive feedback to all employees, particularly women, to support their growth.[48]

Fostering Inclusive Cultures and Addressing Pay Equity:

- **Cultural Transformation:** Implementing policies that actively respect and celebrate diversity in all its forms (racial, ethnic, sexual orientation, etc.) and conducting regular unconscious bias training for all staff, including leadership.[79] This aims to move beyond mere compliance towards genuine cultural change.
- **Pay Equity:** Establishing clear and transparent pay structures, conducting regular pay equity audits to identify and rectify gender-based wage discrepancies, and promoting salary transparency where appropriate.[79] Implementing bias-free recruitment and ensuring clear progression pathways are also seen as crucial supports for pay equity.[80]

Mentorship, Sponsorship, and Networks:

- Establishing formal mentorship programs to provide guidance and support, and, critically, sponsorship programs where senior leaders actively advocate for the advancement of women.[48]
- Supporting and funding employee resource groups (ERGs) and external networks for women in tech to provide platforms for connection, peer support, and advocacy.[79]

Workplace Flexibility and Support Systems:

- Offering genuine flexible work arrangements, such as remote work options,

flexible hours, and compressed workweeks, to help employees better integrate work and personal life.[48]
- Providing inclusive benefits packages that cater to diverse needs, including equitable parental leave policies for all genders, comprehensive mental health support, and assistance with childcare.[48]

Leadership Commitment and Accountability:

- Visibly showcasing and promoting female role models into leadership positions to inspire others and demonstrate commitment.[79]
- Tracking diversity metrics related to hiring, retention, and promotion rates for women and other underrepresented groups, and sharing this data (internally or publicly) to foster transparency and accountability.[79]
- Holding leaders at all levels accountable for achieving diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) goals, potentially by linking DEI outcomes to performance evaluations or incentives.[46]

While many companies adopt such formal processes, a critical challenge lies in moving beyond compliance-driven initiatives to achieve deep-seated cultural transformation. The persistence of issues like "bro culture" [1] suggests that policy adoption alone is insufficient without a genuine, top-down commitment to fostering an environment where all women feel they truly belong and can thrive. The powerful economic case for gender diversity—including increased GDP [11], higher corporate profitability [29], and better performance of female-founded startups [12]—is a significant lever that is not always fully utilized to drive comprehensive adoption and rigorous enforcement of these best practices.

VI. Conclusion and Strategic Recommendations

A. Recap of Key Findings and Persistent Challenges

The analysis presented in this report underscores the persistent and multifaceted nature of the gender gap in the global technology industry. Despite increased awareness and numerous initiatives, significant disparities remain. Women are notably underrepresented in core technical roles such as ICT specialists, AI professionals, and software developers, and their numbers dwindle further in senior leadership positions and entrepreneurial ventures. While broad employment figures in "science and technology" in regions like the EU might suggest near parity, a closer examination reveals acute deficiencies within the tech sector itself. The slow growth in the *share* of women in specialized roles, even when absolute numbers increase, indicates that systemic issues are not being adequately addressed.

The root causes are deeply interwoven, stemming from early-life educational discouragement shaped by societal stereotypes and media portrayals, and extending into the workplace. Here, women confront exclusionary cultures, microaggressions, and systemic biases in hiring, pay, and promotion. The "leaky pipeline" is a stark reality, with high attrition rates for women driven more by inhospitable work environments and stalled career progression than a lack of interest in the technical work.

Critically, the gender gap is not experienced uniformly. Intersectionality plays a profound role, with women of color, women with disabilities, women from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, and those in rural areas facing compounded and often invisible layers of disadvantage. These distinct experiences necessitate tailored, rather than one-size-fits-all, solutions.

While a complex landscape of policies, strategies, and corporate best practices exists—from the EU's Digital Decade targets and Gender Equality Strategy to national inclusion programs and corporate D&I initiatives—a significant gap often persists between policy intent and tangible, widespread impact. This points to challenges in implementation, enforcement, and the difficulty of shifting deeply ingrained cultural norms.

B. Multi-Stakeholder Recommendations for Systemic Change

Addressing the gender gap in tech effectively requires a coordinated, sustained, and holistic approach involving all stakeholders. The following recommendations are proposed:

For Policymakers (EU and National):

1. **Strengthen Enforcement and Expand Scope of Directives:** Rigorously enforce existing EU directives such as the Pay Transparency Directive and the Gender Balance on Corporate Boards Directive. Consider expanding mandates to cover a broader range of companies or specific targets for women in tech leadership roles below board level.
2. **Invest in Systemic Educational Reform:** Allocate significant, long-term funding for educational reforms from early childhood through higher education. This should include developing and implementing gender-sensitive curricula, training educators on unconscious bias and inclusive teaching practices, and actively promoting STEM/ICT fields to girls with diverse role models. Specific funding should be earmarked for programs that address intersectional barriers within education.

3. **Mandate Standardized, Intersectional Data Collection:** Implement requirements for the systematic collection and public reporting of disaggregated data on women in tech, covering various roles, levels, pay, funding, and intersectional identities (race, ethnicity, disability, etc.). This data is crucial for evidence-based policymaking, tracking progress, and identifying specific areas needing intervention.
4. **Promote Gender-Responsive Technology Development:** Increase public R&D funding for technology projects that actively involve diverse women in their design and development phases. Mandate gender impact assessments and bias audits for AI and other critical technologies developed with public funds or for public use.
5. **Bridge the Rural-Urban and Socioeconomic Digital Divide:** Invest in infrastructure (broadband, affordable devices) and targeted digital literacy programs for women and girls in rural areas and from low-income backgrounds to ensure equitable access to digital tools and tech education/career pathways.

For Educational Institutions:

1. **Embed Gender Equality in Pedagogy and Culture:** Implement gender-sensitive teaching practices across all subjects, particularly STEM. Train all academic and support staff on unconscious bias, inclusive classroom management, and the importance of fostering a sense of belonging for all students.
2. **Showcase Diverse Role Models and Provide Mentorship:** Actively promote and make visible female role models in STEM/ICT fields through guest lectures, curriculum content, and alumni networks. Establish structured mentorship programs connecting female students with women professionals in tech.
3. **Develop Targeted Support Programs:** Design and implement programs specifically aimed at attracting, retaining, and supporting girls and women from underrepresented intersectional backgrounds (e.g., women of color, first-generation students, students with disabilities) in STEM and ICT pathways.
4. **Strengthen Industry Partnerships:** Collaborate closely with tech companies to provide students with relevant skills training, internship opportunities, and exposure to real-world tech environments, ensuring these opportunities are equitably accessible.

For Tech Companies:

1. **Commit to Top-Down, Accountable D&I Strategy:** Develop and implement a comprehensive D&I strategy that is championed by senior leadership. Set clear, measurable, and time-bound targets for representation, pay equity, and inclusion, and hold leaders accountable for achieving these targets (e.g., linking to

performance reviews/bonuses).

2. **Overhaul Hiring, Promotion, and Compensation Systems:**
    - **Hiring:** Implement bias-interruption techniques throughout the recruitment process (e.g., anonymized resume screening, structured interviews with diverse panels, inclusive job descriptions). Actively source talent from diverse pools.
    - **Promotion:** Ensure promotion processes are transparent, objective, and free from bias. Track promotion rates by gender and other diversity dimensions.
    - **Compensation:** Conduct regular, transparent pay equity audits across all roles and levels, taking intersectional factors into account. Publish pay gap data and action plans to address disparities.
3. **Cultivate Genuinely Inclusive Workplace Cultures:**
    - Invest in ongoing, effective training to address unconscious bias, microaggressions, and harassment for all employees.
    - Establish clear, safe, and confidential reporting mechanisms for discrimination and harassment, with robust investigation processes and consequences for misconduct.
    - Actively work to dismantle "bro culture" by promoting respectful communication, diverse social activities, and inclusive team norms.
4. **Expand Mentorship and Sponsorship:** Formalize and expand mentorship programs. Crucially, develop robust sponsorship programs where senior leaders actively advocate for the career advancement of high-potential women, with a focus on those from underrepresented backgrounds.
5. **Provide Meaningful Flexibility and Work-Life Integration Support:** Offer genuine flexible work options (remote, hybrid, flexible hours) that are accessible without career penalty. Provide comprehensive support for parents and caregivers, such as subsidized childcare, generous and equitable parental leave for all genders, and phase-back programs after leave.
6. **Empower Employee Resource Groups (ERGs):** Provide adequate funding, resources, executive sponsorship, and a genuine voice in company decision-making for ERGs focused on women and other underrepresented groups.

For Investors (VCs and Funding Bodies):

1. **Diversify Investment Portfolios and Teams:** Actively seek out, evaluate, and invest in women-led and diverse-founded tech startups. Set targets for investing in underrepresented founders.
2. **Implement D&I in Due Diligence:** Incorporate D&I metrics and inclusive practices as part of the due diligence process when evaluating potential

investments. Encourage portfolio companies to adopt and report on D&I initiatives.
3. **Address Bias in Investment Decisions:** Train investment teams on unconscious bias. Diversify the composition of investment committees to ensure a broader range of perspectives in funding decisions.

For Individuals (Allies and Women in Tech):

1. **Active Allyship:** Men in technology can serve as active allies by listening to and amplifying women's voices, challenging biased behavior and comments, sponsoring and mentoring female colleagues, and advocating for inclusive policies and practices within their teams and organizations.
2. **Networking and Mutual Support:** Women in tech can continue to build strong professional networks, mentor aspiring women and girls, support each other, and collectively advocate for change within their workplaces and the broader industry.

C. The Path Forward: Cultivating an Inclusive and Equitable Tech Future

Closing the entrenched gender gap in the technology industry is a complex, long-term endeavor that demands unwavering commitment and collaborative action from all stakeholders. The challenge is not merely one of numbers or representation; it is about fundamentally reshaping cultures, systems, and attitudes to ensure that the tech sector benefits from the full spectrum of human talent.

The imperative to do so is twofold. Firstly, it is a matter of social justice and fundamental human rights: women deserve equal opportunity to participate in, contribute to, and lead in one of the most influential and economically significant sectors of our time. Secondly, it is an economic and innovative necessity. Diverse teams are more creative, produce more relevant and robust solutions, and drive better business outcomes. A tech industry that reflects the diversity of its users will be better equipped to address global challenges and build a more equitable and prosperous future for all.

The journey towards a truly inclusive tech industry requires moving beyond piecemeal initiatives to embrace systemic change. It involves not only opening doors for women but ensuring that the environments they enter are welcoming, supportive, and offer genuine opportunities for growth and leadership. By addressing the root causes of the gender gap, embracing intersectionality in all interventions, and holding ourselves accountable for measurable progress, we can cultivate a tech future where talent, not

gender or background, determines success.

Works cited

1. Women in Tech Stats 2025 | Women in Tech Network, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womentech.net/women-in-tech-stats
2. Women in Science | UNESCO, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/women-science
3. AI's Missing Link: The Gender Gap in the Talent Pool, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.interface-eu.org/publications/ai-gender-gap
4. AI's Missing Link: The Gender Gap in the Talent Pool - Stiftung Neue Verantwortung, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.interface-eu.org/publications/ai-gender-gap?ref=newsletters.holoniq.com
5. Women as equal partners in the AI revolution: a call for gender equality in the digital age - North-South Centre - The Council of Europe, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.coe.int/en/web/north-south-centre/-/women-as-equal-partners-in-the-ai-revolution-a-call-for-gender-equality-in-the-digital-age
6. Unlocking the potential of women in science and research - OECD, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/blogs/2025/03/unlocking-the-potential-of-women-in-science-and-research.html
7. UNESCO launches "Imagine a world with more women in science" campaign, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/unesco-launches-imagine-world-more-women-science-campaign
8. Women make up 52% of science & technology employment - News articles - Eurostat, accessed May 19, 2025, https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/w/ddn-20240613-2
9. 41% of people employed as scientists and engineers are women - News articles - Eurostat, accessed May 19, 2025, https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/w/ddn-20240212-1
10. 7.7 million female scientists and engineers in the EU - News articles - Eurostat, accessed May 19, 2025, https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/w/edn-20250211-1
11. Women in Digital | Shaping Europe's digital future, accessed May 19, 2025, https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/women-digital
12. www.europarl.europa.eu, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/ATAG/2023/739380/EPRS_ATA(2023)739380_EN.pdf
13. unece.org, accessed May 19, 2025, https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2025-04/9-2%20Agricultural%20and%20digital%20sectors%20%28Eurostat%29.pdf
14. Women in Digital Scoreboard 2024 | Shaping Europe's digital future - European Union, accessed May 19, 2025,

https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/news/women-digital-scoreboard-2024
15. EC Library Guide on women in science: EU Statistics and Data, accessed May 19, 2025, https://ec-europa-eu.libguides.com/women-in-science/statistics-and-data/eu
16. Women in Digital Scoreboard 2024 | Digital Skills and Jobs Platform - European Union, accessed May 19, 2025, https://digital-skills-jobs.europa.eu/en/inspiration/resources/women-digital-scoreboard-2024
17. ERA Scoreboard and Dashboard show progress in key R&I areas across the EU, accessed May 19, 2025, https://era.gv.at/news-items/era-scoreboard-and-dashboard-show-progress-in-key-ri-areas-across-the-eu/
18. Women Represent Less Than a Quarter of the Global Cybersecurity ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://wiareport.com/2025/03/women-represent-less-than-a-quarter-of-the-global-cybersecurity-workforce/
19. Survey: Women Comprise 22% of the Cybersecurity Workforce - ISC2, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.isc2.org/Insights/2025/03/Women-Comprise-22-percent-of-the-Cybersecurity-Workforce
20. www.mckinsey.com, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/mckinsey/business%20functions/mckinsey%20digital/our%20insights/women%20in%20tech%20the%20best%20bet%20to%20solve%20europes%20talent%20shortage/women-in-tech-the-best-bet-to-solve-europes-talent-shortage.pdf
21. 20% of Senior Tech Leaders are Women | The Global Recruiter, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.theglobalrecruiter.com/20-of-senior-tech-leaders-are-women/
22. The number of women in tech roles is in decline. Here's what we can do about it, accessed May 19, 2025, https://cmotech.uk/story/the-number-of-women-in-tech-roles-is-in-decline-here-s-what-we-can-do-about-it
23. Gender imbalance in EU's tech industry | Digital Watch Observatory, accessed May 19, 2025, https://dig.watch/updates/gender-imbalance-in-eus-tech-industry
24. Gender gap in deep tech costs Europe €198.8 billion, report finds on International Women's Day - EdTech Innovation Hub, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.edtechinnovationhub.com/news/gender-gap-in-deep-tech-costs-europe-1988-billion-report-finds-on-international-womens-day
25. Gender Balance on Corporate Boards Directive, accessed May 19, 2025, https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_25_22
26. The EU's Gender Balance Directive: Balance in the Boardroom, Moira Thompson Oliver, George Murray, Viren Shetty - Sustainability, accessed May 19, 2025, https://sustainability.slaughterandmay.com/post/102jysx/the-eus-gender-balance-directive-balance-in-the-boardroom
27. Gender Balance on Corporate Boards - European Commission, accessed May 19,

2025, https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2022-11/Gender_Balance_on_Corporate_Boards__2_.pdf.pdf
28. Number of female board directors in Europe on the rise - Staffing Industry Analysts, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.staffingindustry.com/news/global-daily-news/number-of-female-board-directors-in-europe-on-the-rise
29. Time to beat the diversity gap in artificial intelligence - OECD.AI, accessed May 19, 2025, https://oecd.ai/en/wonk/time-to-beat-the-diversity-gap-in-artificial-intelligence
30. Why Women Leave Tech by 35 – and How We Can ... - AnitaB.org, accessed May 19, 2025, https://anitab.org/blog/discussion/why-women-leave-tech-at-35/
31. 160 Women in Tech Statistics: Challenges and Hiring Trends - Flair HR, accessed May 19, 2025, https://flair.hr/en/blog/women-in-tech-statistics/
32. Bridging the Gender Gap in Technology: The Role of Education and ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.logiscool.com/us/blog/education/gender-gap-in-tech
33. Gender equality and work | OECD, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/gender-equality-and-work.html
34. www.womenintech.co.uk, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womenintech.co.uk/how-stereotypes-deter-women-from-tech-careers/#:~:text=These%20portrayals%20influence%20girls'%20aspirations,themselves%20as%20future%20computer%20scientists.
35. How Stereotypes Deter Women from Tech Careers - Women in Technology, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womenintech.co.uk/how-stereotypes-deter-women-from-tech-careers/
36. UNESCO details the STEM gender gap and efforts to close it ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://pubs.aip.org/physicstoday/online/43914/UNESCO-details-the-STEM-gender-gap-and-efforts-to
37. Women and girls in science: exploring the challenges facing female ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/women-and-girls-science-exploring-challenges-facing-female-scientists-today
38. Media and Entertainment Must Recognize Women in Tech., accessed May 19, 2025, https://anitab.org/blog/insights/the-media-must-recognize-women-in-tech/
39. 6 Microaggressions That Exclude Women from the Tech Industry - Everyday Feminism, accessed May 19, 2025, https://everydayfeminism.com/2015/09/microaggressions-tech-industry/
40. The True Story of What It's Like for Women in Tech | TieTalent, accessed May 19, 2025, https://tietalent.com/en/blog/23/the-true-story-of-what-it-s-like-for-women-in-tech
41. Sometimes I Feel Like I'm Invisible - Experiences of a Woman in ..., accessed May

19, 2025, https://eevis.codes/blog/2023-02-05/sometimes-i-feel-like-im-invisible-experiences-of-a-woman-in-tech/
42. Lack of Female Role Models | Women in Tech Network, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womentech.net/how-to/lack-female-role-models-34
43. Lack of Female Role Models | Women in Tech Network, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womentech.net/how-to/lack-female-role-models-45
44. Women in STEM: Science, Tech, Engineering, and Mathematics, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.catalyst.org/insights/2024/women-in-stem
45. Celebrating Women in Tech: Honoring Progress and Paving the ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://blog.4psa.com/celebrating-women-in-tech-honoring-progress-paving-way-forward/
46. 33+ Must-Know Women In Tech Statistics for 2025 | StrongDM, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.strongdm.com/blog/women-in-tech-statistics
47. it's a pipeline problem - - Tech Buzzwords Dictionary, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.thetechbuzzwords.com/pipeline-problem.html
48. Support Women in Tech: How to Avoid Hiring Bias and Grow Talent | Michael Page, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.michaelpage.at/en/advice/management-advice/recruiting/WITech23-support-women-tech-how-avoid-hiring-bias-and-grow-talent
49. The Leaky Pipeline of Women in STEM - Digital Commons ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://digitalcommons.assumption.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1099&context=honorstheses
50. Less women in STEM, it's not hard to get : r/self - Reddit, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/self/comments/1iby82v/less_women_in_stem_its_not_hard_to_get/
51. Future of Work with Catalyst - Diversity Woman Magazine, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.executivewomanmedia.com/future-of-work-with-catalyst-2/
52. Scholars make the case for intersectional analysis in science and tech research, accessed May 19, 2025, https://news.stanford.edu/stories/2025/04/science-tech-research-intersectional-analysis
53. Intersectionality and Identity Workplace | Overlapping Identities - Catalyst, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.catalyst.org/insights/2020/intersectionality-when-identities-converge
54. REPORT on intersectional discrimination in the European Union: the ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/A-9-2022-0190_EN.html
55. Silicon Valley is leaving women of color behind. A new collaborative hopes to change that, accessed May 19, 2025, https://revealnews.org/blog/silicon-valley-diversity-women-of-color-left-behind-new-collaborative/

56. www.kaporcenter.org, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.kaporcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/KAPOR_Tech-Leavers-Executive-Summary-FINAL-4-27-17.pdf
57. Navigating the Workforce: Challenges and Triumphs for Women of ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://sistasinsales.com/navigating-the-workforce-challenges-and-triumphs-for-women-of-color/
58. What Are the Biggest Challenges Women Face in Ensuring Digital ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womentech.net/how-to/what-are-biggest-challenges-women-face-in-ensuring-digital-platforms-are-accessible
59. Radical Inclusion: Empowering Accessibility in Tech | WWCode ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womenwhocode.com/blog/radical-inclusion-empowering-accessibility-in-tech-wwcode-advocacy-toolkit
60. Empowering Inclusion: Creating Accessible Technology for People with Disabilities - techUK, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.techuk.org/resource/empowering-inclusion-creating-accessible-technology-for-people-with-disabilities.html
61. Diversity and STEM: Women, Minorities, and Persons with Disabilities 2023 - National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics (NCSES), accessed May 19, 2025, https://ncses.nsf.gov/browse-library?dataCollection=Women,%20Minorities,%20and%20Persons%20with%20Disabilities%20in%20STEM
62. Women in Engineering and STEM: A Review of the 2024 Literature ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://swe.org/magazine/women-in-engineering-and-stem-a-review-of-the-2024-literature/
63. Inspiring Girls to Pursue STEM Careers: Breaking Barriers and Building Futures - Ozobot, accessed May 19, 2025, https://ozobot.com/inspiring-girls-to-pursue-stem-careers-breaking-barriers-and-building-futures/
64. INTERSECTIONALITY IN TECH, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.genderinitiativeug.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/2_Intersectionality_in_Tech_UDHRCGTIU.pdf
65. Empowering Rural Women Through Technology - Blue Ridge Impact, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.blueridgeimpactconsulting.com/post/empowering-rural-women-through-technology
66. jprwee.org, accessed May 19, 2025, https://jprwee.org/sites/default/files/2023-06/Technology%20and%20Innovation_JP%20RWEE_Policy%20Brief.pdf
67. Gender Digital Divide in Europe - International Journal of Business, Humanities and Technology, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.ijbhtnet.com/journals/Vol_10_No_4_December_2020/2.pdf

68. Take five: “Inclusion of youth, especially young women, in shaping policies and solutions in the digital decade is an important step toward gender equality”, accessed May 19, 2025, https://eca.unwomen.org/en/stories/interview/2025/05/take-five-inclusion-of-youth-especially-young-women-in-shaping-policies-and-solutions-in-the-digital-decade-is-an-important-step-toward-gender-equality
69. Gender equality strategy - European Commission, accessed May 19, 2025, https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/policies/justice-and-fundamental-rights/gender-equality/gender-equality-strategy_en
70. Connecting women in digital, accessed May 19, 2025, https://widigital.eu/
71. Building a more inclusive and diverse digital Europe, accessed May 19, 2025, https://widigital.eu/building-a-more-inclusive-and-diverse-digital-europe/
72. Women TechEU, accessed May 19, 2025, https://womentecheurope.eu/
73. rm.coe.int, accessed May 19, 2025, https://rm.coe.int/study-on-the-impact-of-artificial-intelligence-systems-their-potential/1680ac99e3
74. Women and Digital Pathways | United Nations Development ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.undp.org/asia-pacific/stories/women-and-digital-pathways
75. Digital Inclusion Starts with Her: Empowering Women in ICT and Beyond - Telecom Review, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.telecomreview.com/articles/reports-and-coverage/9032-digital-inclusion-starts-with-her-empowering-women-in-ict-and-beyond
76. Inclusive Pathways for Women in Tech - OECD, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/events/2025/01/inclusive-pathways-for-women-in-tech.html
77. Gender equality and digital transformation | OECD, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/gender-equality-and-digital-transformation.html
78. Why more women need to be hired in tech? (+ 4 Steps how Organizations can hire more women) - Cogent Infotech, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.cogentinfo.com/resources/how-to-hire-more-women-in-tech
79. Women in Tech: 14 Ways to Promote Diversity and Gender Equality ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.fertifa.com/post/women-in-tech-14-ways-to-promote-diversity-and-gender-equality-in-the-technology-sector
80. What Role Do HR Policies Play in Promoting Pay Equity Within Tech ..., accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womentech.net/how-to/what-role-do-hr-policies-play-in-promoting-pay-equity-within-tech-organizations
81. Pay Equity - Women in Tech Articles - WomenTech Network, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.womentech.net/advice/13960/pay-equity
82. Empowering Women in Tech with flexibility | Strategies for work-life harmony, accessed May 19, 2025, https://wearetechwomen.com/empowering-women-in-tech-with-flexibility-strategies-for-work-life-harmony/
83. Genuine Flexible Work Is the Key to Equity and Inclusion - Aquent, accessed May

19, 2025, https://aquent.com/blog/genuine-flexible-work-is-the-key-to-equity-and-inclusion
84. Gender Diversity in the Workplace: Trends in Corporate Disclosures, accessed May 19, 2025, https://www.iss-corporate.com/library/gender-diversity-in-the-workplace-trends-in-corporate-disclosures/