


IN RESPONSE TO u/Kind_Masterpiece835's "EXPOSURE" DOCUMENT

+

Written by: **MaximumCustomer**, **Gollesca/warmice**, and **TheREALKatie**.



Intention

No this isn't to re-expose the other side or create more problems, this document's sole purpose is to clear our (**current active moderators**) names to avoid any future problems and potential drama being started. The only things that will be addressed are matters primarily revolving around the subreddit and other things of interest.

As you continue reading specific names and pronouns will be shown in different colors to showcase their current status as of writing this document to avoid confusion (and to make it easier for people to realize who is who).

- **Light green text/names** for moderators who are still active
- **Dark green text/names** for ex-moderators
- **Red text/names** for people who have been (and still are) banned
- **Light Blue name/text** for the people who are the point of discussion in the section

Honestly, I don't even recognize the sub anymore. Even since Vivian (aka TheRealVivian/Vivs/Katyusha), the problematic owner, got replaced, it's like shit got even worse here man. This sub got SO sanitized, SO gatekept, like mf even Chinese and Russian newsletter censorship combined ain't near.

To begin I'd just like to state that Vivian/Katusha was never the owner of the subreddit. The only ownership position they held was in the subreddit's discord server. - [Written by MaximumCustomer]

My opening topic of this post will be the former member of this subreddit, Shaxx. I won't even view the entire ordeal around her and everything that happened after from the point of her friend to make everything not biased and fair. Yes, you had your (at least partially questionable) reasons to ban her, but EVERYTHING that happened after, OUTSIDE this subreddit? Y'all must be wild trippin. After, I'll cover the overall lies, hypocrisy and double standards exercised by the current r/fundamentalpaperedu subreddit, viewing multiple cases (in some, I was involved *directly*.)

The Shaxx Case

Shoxx is an artist within the FPE community who had been active on our subreddit for a while, posting her art, attracting members (to the sub), and even creating a banner for our subreddit (which we used until something happened). One day, a user reached out to me in a post or a comment, asking me to talk in DMs. That user was Holly (we'll talk about them in the aftermath) , and she showed me in the DMs that Shoxx had sent SH pics to a minor (the minor was also a former moderator of ours who was also their friend). This is when we got involved in the situation, discussing the situation with the moderators who were there at the time. Now, Holly's report was proven false by the document they (Shoxx and some of her friends)

posted, saying that the "friend" who has been revealed to be **Katusha** (something that was also said in **their** document) had *asked* for them (the photos) and that **she** didn't know **Katusha** was a minor (which is something that **Holly** never told **me**). But that doesn't mean **we're** going to remove the ban on **Shaxx** as **we've** been (or at least **I've** been) banning all **artists** who make/made nsfw or "R34" art of minors for a while now and **Shaxx** is an artist who made art like that with zip ("aged up" but that's not really an excuse. There are also maybe other characters probably drawn by **her** in these explicit ways but **I** won't go and check that out so let's just say that it was only zip). **Shaxx** isn't the only artist banned for this reason; artist "Emmet" is also banned for the same reason. Even though "it happened a long time ago", it's still an action that has been made and **we** can no longer forgive, especially since we stopped giving second chances after the incident with **Super_B** (which **we'll** talk about later).

- [Written by Gollesca]

I would also like to add that besides from the reasoning **Gollesca** has provided, **Shaxx** has had instances of causing fights on the discord server (when **they** were still on it) and even instigating an argument under a post on the subreddit a while back. That and the fact that everything that's happened up till this situation that's forced **us** to make this document just shows how much trouble being involved with somebody like **Shaxx** has brought. If anything, all this has just solidified that **Shaxx** is never being unbanned (this is for those modmails **we** used to constantly get by **associates/friends** of **Shaxx** that would nonstop ask us to unban **them**). Regardless of reputation and status *nobody* is an exception to the rules, and to once again state that "aged up" is a bs excuse and isn't a free get out of jail card. That "reasoning" doesn't cut it.

Oh yeah one more thing, **your** claim of being non biased and fair is an obvious lie. **You're** close to **Shaxx** which by nature automatically cancels out **your** status of being honest and unbiased. **You** can't just say **you** won't be taking sides while being heavily involved with one **party**. Like???

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]

To sum everything up, the mod team of the main sub did pretty much nothing to restore justice aside from simply banning Holly off the main subreddit, CONDONED harassment towards Shaxx going FAR BEYOND the boundaries of Reddit, spreading to Twitter, her strawpage and even Discord, simply because she was in one of the servers which actually tried to defend her.

Stating that **we** have condoned harassment is false. Only a single previous **moderator** has ever actively encouraged and participated in harassment. Alongside this, said **moderator** no longer has any association with **us**. **We** had taken the necessary steps to prevent more conflict from occurring, alongside blacklisting **Shaxx's** name in the event a callout post was made against **her**. - [Written by TheRealKatie]

It's stupid to assume that **we** can control what other people decide to do on twitter, strawpage, and discord (unless it's on **our** server). How does it make any sense to shift that blame onto **us** during that period? Though it's not like **Shaxx** or her **associates/friends** don't dog on **us** and the subreddit in **their** own servers but let's not point fingers right? - [Written by MaximumCustomer]

Message log shown in an image too big to fit here:

Shaxx's ban reason

u/Kind_Masterpiece835 to r/FundamentalPaperEdu

Hello, Recently I've gotten a message from Shaxxx that stated that her account here was permanently banned, and humbly would like to know why, as it wasn't stated what exact rule was broken and her recent posts seemingly didn't break any.

r/Fundamental PaperEdu MOD to u/Kind Masterpiece835

It was her actions outside of the sub reddit that got her banned

u/Kind Masterpiece835 to r/FundamentalPaperEdu-

But logically thinking, she didn't break any rules here exactly? Why ban her here then if she had done something outside the sub??? And what is that she has done so bad that led to her ban here?

r/Fundamental PaperEdu MOD to u/Kind Masterpiece8358
She was banned off the discord server too

u/Kind Masterpiece835 to r/Fundamental PaperEdu
Alright, then i want to know what exactly did she do that got her banned off here and the discord server (although I'm having hard time understanding which one is mentioned)

r/FundamentalPaperEdu MOD to u/Kind Masterpiece835
She's done more than you think. One example is showing her cuts to a minor
(Note: again, this has been proven false. An "updated" reason would be for the NSFW art of zip)

u/Kind Masterpiece835 to r/Fundamental PaperEdu
Ok wasn't that long ago and has already been resolved.

r/FundamentalPaperEdu MOD to u/Kind Masterpiece8358
Shaxxx has been given enough chances, and a lot of people think we are defending her. So that made us realize that we needed to take action

u/Kind Masterpiece635 to r/Fundamental PaperEdu
Ok look, I'm gonna voice my opinion here if you are defending her, it's for a reason and I'm guessing it's bc of Holly literally tried to dig all the dirt on Shah that is now covered in 7 meter dust, trying to cancel her for stuff she had done long ago in the past and has already apologised and as far as I'm concerned been forgiven, pretty much the same you're doing now, that's a low blow You are the MODERATORS, you're doing exactly what is supposed to to act according to the rules and not to the general opinion which especially in this fandom is malicious most of the times (most often are cases of overreacting when someone makes a slight honest (0) mistake) i know chances were given, I'm not asking for another one I think that perma-banning her off the subreddit is an overkill

r/Fundamental PaperEdu MOD to u/Kind Masterpiece835-3

Its our final decision and we won't change it. This conversation is over, have a good day

So, is that how you're doing moderation now? Not according to your own rules, not according to even the most basic common sense, but according to fear of getting judged by the mob? Which... in reality consisted of like what, 3-5 people? You even have the rule of not making any call-out posts, you could've always simply made others follow it and if there were any serious problems – commit an *investigation*. But no, you decided to go the easy way – simply ban a person permanently without giving an exact reason, not starting a proper investigation and seeing BOTH sides of the story, covering everything up and turning a blind eye towards harassment. All simply because you got scared of one Reverse Flash-type petty hater? You had ALL the power needed to prevent all this, instead you just proved that you're not a collective of moderators watching over a big subreddit, but more like of a bunch of self-willed, most stereotypical Reddit mods, that gatekeep everything and everyone after being given the TINIEST part of power and just lose their MINDS over something not going their way or someone disagreeing with them and speaking up to them (about that – below in the doc).

I'm not sure what you're pointing out here, it seems like you got a bit caught up in the moment and put a lot of energy into this text, but I'll still try to respond as best I can. I think the line "a lot of people think we're defending her" has been taken as "we felt pressured and forced to make this ban" which isn't the case. We discussed the situation among ourselves, the mods not some "mob", and decided the ban was deserved (even though the reason given at that time was just revoked because it was proven false; we left her banned for the other reasons). And there were no "call out" posts on Shoxx in our subreddit, at most there were comments (but you also said "post" and not comments, but I'll avoid being picky now), which, however, were never reported to us before today (today as of writing this). If you wanted those comments removed, you should have reported them to us. Instead, you just argued with Holly in the comments without ever saying anything about it until today. We receive more than 700 comments every day and more than 6k comments a week. We need reports to see what happens in the comments. [Maybe delete this part > If you take me, Gollesco, the moderator with the most mod actions ever in the sub (7.3K vs. the second with 3.2K), and you clone me 5 times, we wouldn't be able to cover all the comments, not just

from how many there are but even where they are, a conversation could happen in a post from three months ago and **we'll** never find out. Reports (ESPECIALLY for comments) are *vital* for moderation. <] So **I**'m saying all this to say that expecting **us** to address all problems and situations in the comments without reports can be considered unfair.

To summarize this entire text, [Delete this of the other text before it has been deleted > which perhaps **I** also got caught up in<]: the ban wasn't caused because **we** were scared or pressured into doing so. And **we** enforced rule 7 of no call-outs to the fullest extent we could that can be done without reports... and all the rest of your text is just insults, jabs and whatnot. So I won't answer them.

- [Written by Gollesca]

“The infight” part response



V Yesterday at 11:58 PM

Honestly, I'd personally recommend staying on his good side. You don't need to be completely sincere with him, he's no more competent, pathetic, worthless, than the rest of the mods. Unbanning him and keeping an eye on things behind the scenes could help avoid unnecessary drama. We want to maintain the appearance of being on good terms with him.

Otherwise he'll cry about it (edited)

In the mean time. He'll organize your unbanning



<[AKC]> rawr. ❤️ EVIL Yesterday at 11:59 PM

Bruh

Okay

I will unban him

NEW MESSAGES



V Yesterday at 11:59 PM

Tldr: He's fucking retarded and will probably escalate drama if you don't follow

Vivian's “infighting” alongside other acts of harassment and

disrespect towards the **mod team** and community in general were clearly **her** wishing to distance **herself** from everyone involved in the community. **Vivian** has been shown for a very long time that **she** very much dislikes the community as a whole. Seeing **her** actions towards the **mods** as the only case of **her** not liking the community is simply false.

- [Written by RealKatie]


Additionally **I'm** not sure why the words and statements of a now banned **moderator** who was known in-house (ish) for being manipulative and shittalking a lot of people behind their backs is being used as evidence of a "dysfunctional" staff team. One of the worst ways to back up **your** claims.

short – it was a lying, manipulative piece of shit that

Oh yeah, in **your** own words you said **Vivian** was a "lying, manipulative piece of shit". How ironic given the circumstances of **her** position within the expose document when it comes to the latter parts.

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]

Screenshots were also shown of **Katie** saying that the mods on r/fpeseries were bad as evidence of the "feuds" within the mod team.



I'll put this very simply: Fpeseries is a completely different subreddit that we don't own nor control, that's just **Katie** expressing what **she** thinks of the mods of that subreddit (whether that's true or not is *beside* the point). It's not a mod going "against" the rest of the team on **their** own subreddit, it's a mod talking about a completely different mod team which **she** has every right to express **her**

opinions. (and the fact that **she** said everyone in that sub is annoying, follows the same argument)

Rest of the screenshots shown about this:



@TheReal-ishKatie fpe series mods suck

rook1e (rook1e-_-) 12/10/2025 1:59 AM

I was a mod there before, i was rookiemain-_-
before I got permabanned, but the mods are
against me, they have no balls



TheReal-ishKatie 12/10/2025 2:02 AM

the mods just suck bro

meant that to be on the owner hold on



TheReal-ishKatie 12/10/2025 2:04 AM

to be fair basically everyone on that subreddit
is annoying as balls



@TheReal-ishKatie to be fair basically
everyone on that subreddit is annoying as balls



rook1e (rook1e-_-) 12/10/2025 2:05 AM

why tho?

I mean the stuff on there and in the main fpe
sub is very similar

Or even the same

It's basically a reposting sub for the main fpe
sub atp

Note: If these screenshots were intended to demonstrate an "argument" between **Katie** and **Rookie** (I say "if" because they didn't explain what they show), **Rookie** has never been a moderator of the main subreddit. At most, he's a moderator on Playground. But ever since Playground was created, it's been stated that Playground and the main subreddit are two independent and different forms of moderatorship. So even in that case, **Katie**, not being a moderator on Playground, wouldn't be an infight.

WHAT IS THIS SUBREDDIT?

[r/FundamentalPlayground](#) is a lounge and meme subreddit for [r/FundamentalPaperEdu](#).

[r/FundamentalPlayground](#) is like a hybrid subreddit, being a FPE subreddit while also a place where you can post content beyond FPE. It's pretty much different from other FPE subreddits, I would say. So, expect some randomness here.

Even though, Playground and PaperEdu have official ties, they are still considered separate subreddits with different administration of moderation team.

- [Written by Gollesca]

I won't be adding onto much here but for those who are in the discord server for the subreddit there exists roles for moderators of the main subreddit and Playground, I'd like to emphasize that those are purely cosmetic and grant no special permissions, just like the case with Playground and r/FundamentalPaperEdu the discord server has a separate administration team. The only anomalies are **me** and **RealKatie** because **we** both applied and got accepted, **our** roles weren't given to **us** on both platforms just because.

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]

Regarding a random screenshot shown

So I said I won't be a nitpicker in a previous section and this is not the section where I will talk about this problem completely (because I will) but this screenshot was shown as proof of "inflight"



Honestly, it's just funny seeing these people hate on something so hard yet being unable to do much. Sucks to have something out of your control and going the wrong way, doesn't it?

Well, at least we hoped they would stay the fuck away from everywhere else and keep their petty hate only to their own subreddit. Until...

What are you showing here? Moderators joking with each other? It doesn't look like "inflight" to me. This is a problem their doc has, but I'm wondering what "???" means. What is it explaining? It's making me even more confused. But I found out what you were trying to show in-

the screenshot: you were trying to show that **we** are homophobic.



Not only this is never said anywhere in the document (needing **me** to pick this from one of **their** twitter posts), but it is so clear the moderators are joking among themselves. I'm talking about this screenshot so I can say **we** addressed the entire document, but I don't think I even need to explain why this isn't homophobia. Bottom line: it's a screenshot with no context, reason, or real accusation. (oh and other random bait/insult/job)
- [Written by Gollesca]

Any interaction with **Maximum** at all would let you know that **he's** clearly joking. Every mod on the team is openly accepting of anyone lbtq anyways. Having to erase someone's name, crop the image, and remove the context behind it shows that this was an attempt to try and make a mod look bad. - [Written by RealKatie]

As someone being shown in this screenshot it's pretty funny how the original person who made a tweet about this edited the image and erased the person in the middle. Makes you wonder why they tried to force the context of it being that **my** message was homophobic when the person I replied to is someone **I've** talked to and been in VC with from time to time (**we're** also on good terms). Very weird indeed, but hey we'll get to hot_draw_seller soon enough. - [Written by MaximumCustomer]

Regarding badmouthing old moderators



In their document where they showed this screenshot of some **mods** speaking badly of one of **our** ex-moderators

The screenshot shows **Katie** and a moderator on **our** Discord server talking badly about **Debil**, and yes, it happens. It's neither rare nor common, sometimes **we** talk badly about certain **moderators** who have been on **our** team. And that's perfectly normal. We're different people with different timezones, backstories, and identities. It's normal that **we'll** find **moderators** who were truly hurtful to the

subreddit, or moderators who find other moderators unpleasant. No one likes being talked badly about, yeah, but we all have bad things about someone; you can't expect **us** to like everyone. **I** can tell you that **Debil** doesn't deserve that slender, but that doesn't mean that makes other people's opinions null and void.

- [Written by Gollesca]

In retrospect, the comments **I** made were offensive and immature of **me** to make. However, there was practically no feasible way for **Debil** to see this message. I had never publicly spoken out against **Debil** before this and at most, made a few negative comments about **him**. Never once was an argument purposely made between **us**.

-[Written by RealKatie]

“The Intervention” part response

I'll make this short for once.

Believe it or not, **our** team had nothing to do with the fpseries ban that **Shaxx** received. With the maximum involvement **we** had, was **Rookie** who put himself in the situation (or at least that's what the screenshots seem to show). And Playground is, as **I** said before, autonomous. **We** didn't give any instructions, but perhaps (at most) it was one of **our former moderators** who was still on the Playground team who brought about **Shaxx's** ban. But that would mean it was an action by Playground, not by us of the main sub.


In short: **we** have no or *barely* any connection to everything shown.

- [Written by Gollesca]

Trust me when **I** say no one on the main moderation team had any intention of wanting to start up a year old drama. **We** were all comfortable just minding **our** own business and performing **our** regular duties, even when someone (**hot_draw_seller**) on twitter continuously bothered **us** and tried slandering the subreddit and its discord server's moderation team time and time again, **we** didn't seek out a fight (and only defended **ourselves** when need be). This is why **you** don't form assumptions and actually get **your** facts right before

deciding to lump **us** (the main moderation team) with everything that's happened recently.

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]



This bit is the only other thing **I** wanted to slightly talk about in this section because the rest is just the same old insults being thrown around everywhere. **Smb** is a retired sub moderator/owner, what **they** said isn't even harassment and just **someone** who's tired of having to be dragged into problems of the fandom **their** in, and with **Cynnybyebye** they were shown in the "homophobic" screenshot proof as the user who said **they** liked boys, imagine not only being used as "evidence" to frame somebody else (**me** and **smb**) but also having your discord account visible within the image frame. **I**'d be justifiably upset too if **I** were **them** in this case. This is twitter after all and everybody knows how it gets on there. - [Written by MaximumCustomer]

“The Censorship and Gatekeeping” part response

This part actually has some valid points. It shows automod deleting posts that mention **Shoxx**. And **I**, who wrote practically all the Automod rules for the subreddit, can confirm that that is the case. After **we** banned **Shoxx**, seeing that the situation was still heated, **we** (plural because **we** agreed, but actually **I**'m the one who wrote the rule in Automod) implemented a *report* system, but not a *deletion* system. which was only supposed to stay there for two weeks... but in the end **we** never removed it.

```
#shoxx thing (for the end of time)
type: any
body+title (includes, regex): ['shax', 'shaxx', 'shah', 'shaxx_exe', 'shax_exe']
action: filter
modmail_subject: thing mentioned shax
modmail: |
    {{permalink}}
    The above {{kind}} by /u/{{author}} has mentioned shax.
```


Let **me** explain what you're seeing:

The "type" line is telling it to check both comments and posts. The body + (for post) title line is essentially telling it where to check, what it should detect to trigger the rest of the line (include), and also checking for common expressions (regex). The "action" line tells it what to do, and notice that it doesn't say "delete," like the repetitive post line, but "filter".


```
#these comments PMO
type: submission
body+title (includes): ['fpe is dying', 'the fandom is dying']
action: remove
```

Continuing along the line, we can see the two "modmail" lines. The "subject" line gives the modmail its title. The one with just "modmail" gives us the link to the post to check, the content type (tell us if it's a post or a comment), and who posted it. This line was added for rule 7 (remember? it's the one you told **us we** weren't enforcing). This way, **we** can let in posts & comments that mention **Shoxx** to give credit or something similar and remove those that are calling out.

Look at the mod rule in action:



The comment has been pointed out to **us** for a mention of **Shaxx** and I seeing that it was a comment clarifying that **Shaxx** is no longer banned from (the sub) playground (because this comes from their doc post) allowed the comment in.



and with that said, since we are already here. So let **me** show you all the automod rules

```

#reports notification thing
reports: 2
modmail_subject: Post has received 2 report.
modmail: |
    {{permalink}}
    The above {{kind}} by /u/{{author}} has received a report. Please investigate.
---
#removes all posts from users whose profile has existed for less than 24 hours
type: submission
author:
    account_age: "< 24 hours"
action: remove
comment: Your post was deleted because your reddit profile has existed for less than 24 hours.
---
#ditto but with comments
type: comment
author:
    account_age: "< 24 hours"
action: remove
comment: Your comment was deleted because your reddit profile has existed for less than 24 hours.
---
#make automod not affect approved users
author:
    name: [No-District-9235]
action: approve
---
#anti slur and thing like that for anything
type: any
body+title (includes): ['nigger', 'tranny', 'faggot', 'nigga', 'fagg', 'Kike', 'retard']
action: remove
comment: A slur has been detected and therefore this was deleted.
---
#rule to follow ed [redacted] n's wish
type: any
body+title (includes, regex): ['[redacted]', 'The [redacted] se', 'ludon']
action: remove
comment: Hello, your content has been removed due to references to [redacted]. You can learn more about this [here]
(https://www.reddit.com/r/FundamentalPaperEdu/comments/1kykk79/remember_[redacted]_does_not_want_to_be_mentioned/?
utm_source=share&utm_medium=web3x&utm_name=web3xcss&utm_term=1&utm_content=share_button). Thank you for your understanding.

```

Note: I don't think the 4th modrule works, and its wasn't even written by me but by Katusha (because she helped a few times with the

writing, you realize how good **she** was on **her** own with it when you consider that the rule probably doesn't even work)

```
#19842
type: any
body+title (includes, regex): ['mods', 'admin', 'mods', 'moderators', 'moderation']
action: filter
modmail_subject: thing mentioned the mods
modmail: |
    {{permalink}}
    The above {{kind}} by /u/{{author}} has mentioned the moderators of this subreddit. please force your tyranny if needed.
---
#not an auto mod rule but if you are having trouble making rules with automod here is something that might help you: https://
---
#shaxx thing (for the end of time)
type: any
body+title (includes, regex): ['shax', 'shaxx', 'shah', 'shaxx_exe', 'shax_exe']
action: filter
modmail_subject: thing mentioned shax
modmail: |
    {{permalink}}
    The above {{kind}} by /u/{{author}} has mentioned shax.
---
#these comments PMO
type: submission
body+title (includes): ['fpe is dying', 'the fandom is dying']
action: remove
---
type: submission
body+title (includes): ['Gollesca']
action: remove
```

And before you say anything. "force **your** tyranny if needed" is obviously a joke, **I** know it's obvious but we're talking about the same people who accused **us** of being homophobic with the screenshot of before.

- [Written by Gollesca]

Another reason-less screenshot



Squiddo
@Squiddo0o

Follow

Replying to @Hazowo1

they remove posts of people they don't agree with, or they just straight up ban you. not to mention pretty much all the mods are pedos.

11:58 · 17 Dec 25 · **144** Views

Okay, let's quickly explain the problems with this: "They delete posts from people they don't agree with." There's no evidence of this in the doc, and it's false. "Or directly ban you." We've never banned anyone because we disagreed with them (if we did, all of Shax's friends would be banned, but instead they have full permission to use our subreddit without any problems like any other member). "Not to mention that pretty much all mods are pedos" Not only is it a complete lie thrown out like nothing, not only are none of the moderators adults, but you threw this line out at random without giving it any weight. If there really was a pedophile on the team, that would deserve an entire document, not a single screenshot thrown out like it's nothing.

- [Written by Gollesca]

Gollesca already said what was on my mind but the thing that really ticked me off was the pedophile accusation from Squiddo which came out of nowhere, and the fact that it was *included* in this document (without ANY kind of solid evidence) is even more outrageous. Even as one of the oldest in the moderation team I'm still a minor and people have offed themselves after being accused of something as major as this, especially when rumors about it start spreading. So maybe you should think twice before saying and including something as serious as this yeah? Simply unbelievable.

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]



First of all, what help would it provide, even talking with you, after all that happened and after all that YOU did? Don't try to hide behind formalities, alright, that ship has sailed.

Besides you already showed everything that was needed to be known – ANYTHING REMOTELY involving Shaxx gets AUTOMODDED. This is pure circus. The amount of hate has already left far beyond the Reverse Flash level, it's just indescribable.

As a newly hired (back then) **moderator** at the time, the only involvement I had with the **Shoxx** ban was the discussion itself, that's it. So why am I being referred to in the manner that every single bad thing that has happened to **Shoxx** had **my** involvement? Even before replying to **Shoxx's** tweet I had already approved the post by the other person. **Gollesca** has already explained how the automod system and all that works so I don't think I need to clarify on that (keep in mind I **myself** don't have access to automod so before this document was being created I was as clueless as everyone else). And of course I'm going to be formal, what did **you** expect me to type in the same style as **you** were in the document? This specific minor inconvenience could've been just as easily resolved if a modmail was sent in the first place. The only unadulterated level of hatred here is the one oozing out of each text that was typed out by **you**.

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]

You even went out of your way to try and defend yourselves under Hazowo's post.

Haz
@Hazowo1

those reddit mods are something else man
Instead of banning bad people, they banned my friend Shax and keep bring up her past even though she has apologized and changed, showing how bad the FPE reddit mods that sucks bruh

im just saying btw so dont involve me into the drama



Are we serious? Alright there's like three to four things I wanna state here. Firstly I did not "go out of **my** way" to search up something and nab the first thing I saw in google images. This is like the most low effort defending (if **you** can even consider it that) **you'll** ever see. And how would anybody outside the moderation team know who **we** ban? What, someone **you're** close with gets banned and that automatically

means that **we**ll never ban people who deserve it? And now we get to the point of the image **I** replied with. The answer is right there, Haz themselves states **Shoxx** is their friend which means that the likelihood of them being biased in this case is increased due to being close with **Shoxx**. It's not like **Hazowo** has personally reached out to **us** and discussed **Shoxx's** past case. Now *that* would be a different situation.

Also a special message from **me** to **Hazowo** if they're viewing this, **you** can't leave a statement in an ongoing "drama" and say **you** don't want to be involved, **you**'ve involuntarily done that by making this tweet in the first place. - [Written by MaximumCustomer]

Love your art btw

"The Double Standards and Other Inconsistencies" response

Warning: Nsfw. Skip to the next header if you need to

I think this section is the worst structured. Like many others, it features a ton of screenshots without any text explaining anything (like many other times in the doc), but this is like a hundred times worse. It's the same story as the screenshot that called **us** homophobic, but applied to an entire section of the doc. And like that time with the screenshot, **I** had to look up the context **myself** to understand what they were talking about (what's the point of a doc if **I** have to look up the context myself?) Okay, this section starts by showing **Rookie** (not a moderator of this subreddit, by the way) arguing with an artist (who at some point was posting their art in **our** subreddit) on Twitter as (**I** think) proof that **we** were deleting posts of this artist for no reason, using rule 2 (no nsfw) as excuse... all this by showing a non-moderator arguing with her on another platform and later saying saying, "Sadly, nothing helped, and the person above got temporarily (thank God, not permanently) banned with, of course, a reason pulled out of the ASS:" and then they show the ban modmail

DEC 23



r/FundamentalPaperEdu MOD 2:11 AM

Hello, You have been banned from participating in [/r/FundamentalPaperEdu](#) for 3 days because [your post](#) violates this community's rules. You won't be able to post or comment, but you can still view and subscribe to it.

Note from the moderators:

| Accumulation of one too many rule violations.


If you have a question regarding your ban, you can contact the moderator team by replying to this message.

Reminder from the Reddit Admin team: If you use another account to circumvent this community ban, that will be considered a violation of the [Reddit Rules](#) and may result in your account being [banned](#) from the platform as a whole.

(Quick interruption from [Maximum](#), this section is mainly written by [Gollesca](#)) When [I](#) wrote that ban reasoning it was referring to removals from the past (be it for a post or comment). When somebody breaks the rules one too many times that's an eligible reason for getting banned. [Roystoxx/InterestStraight1854](#) wasn't a one time exception to this, it's how [I](#) moderate.

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]

So [I](#) tried to check the attached post with the ban, but it was deleted. But by checking the mod log, [I](#) could see that the problem was all the insults and arguments they were making in the comments (rule 1). So to respond to those screenshots: the artist had been banned for their behavior in the comments and [Rookie](#) is not one of our moderators. There was also a screenshot of another artist's of Eden, [I](#) think, to show what kind of art wasn't being deleted, even though it's nsfw... the problem is that said art had also been deleted.



NSFW u/tvman-Cow647

Uh....

Round 3



314



136




87

NSFW SPOILER

Uh....

Round 3

Fan Creation



Sorry, this post has been removed by the moderators of r/FundamentalPaperEdu.

314

138

Share




Removed 1 month ago
Rule 2: NSFW Prohibition [Post]



Examples of arguments:



Potential-Cry-1944 • 2mo ago



Wtf did you do to bloomie



0



Reply



Share



Add removal reason



InterestStraight1854 • 2mo ago

U dont have to leave a hate comment



2



Reply



Share



Potential-Cry-1944 • 2mo ago

I'm sorry



But it's still weird



There was other art shown with **us** being "fine with". And yeah, they really only needed to have a NSFW tag. The Christmas Circle art isn't highlighting any specific body part (**I** think you know what I mean by that). The second art of Circle is really questionable. **I** admit, **I** should probably delete that one, when it was posted, **we** only put on a NSFW tag because it could be seen as a "tutorial," but no, that really needs to be deleted (and **we** probably wouldn't have had to pay attention to the "disclaimer"). The third photo is really passable. If someone walked into my room while **I** had that art on **my** PC, **I** wouldn't panic. And the Circle art in the maid outfit was clearly explicit. It was the French

maid outfit (a type of maid outfit designed to be explicit), come onnn, it's obvious **we're** removing it.

Arts shown in question:

1th (christmas circle)



2th (now deleted)



3th


How 2 Circle

Fan Creation



The one we deleted

And THEN we only ban a Miss Circle drawing in a maid suit.



- [Written by Gollesca]

After a random meme (because for some reason putting memes in your doc is a good idea) they bring up something that deserves its own section but gets thrown here in this one as if it was a small thing.

What we're apparently ALSO fine with is someone DEFENDING a person who tired to lie about their age and drew SOFTCORE PORN of Abbie:

Super_B

Okay, let's dig into the whole situation with Super_B and how it affected how we act today. Super_B was an artist who posted art in our subreddit. One day, she started pushing rule 2 (no nsfw) more and more. It got to the point where the art became straight up soft porn, about adults and, as they said, minors. Obviously, we banned her, but unfortunately, I let Super_B's friends pressure me into giving her a second chance. And that's where the disgusting situation began where I lied to the other moderators (and myself) that somehow that art wasn't "too bad" "it's not even that bad this time" "oh no, what should I do!!!1!1!" and some other bullshit because I didn't want to be pressured again. Well that was until I realized that Super_B wasn't even trying to use the second chance (as in not trying to act better) so (a little pissed off) I banned Super_B myself without paying attention to what I was told about the ban on her... it's a simplified story but what I mean is that with this Super_B situation we started using the permanent ban as writing in stone, you have to do something serious to get it (like drawing nsfw art of minor, or showing SH to minor without their permission [again, that evidence was proven false but it's still something that would lead to a permanent ban if actually done]) but when you do, it is practically impossible to come back (unless you proved the reason you were banned was false which technically Shaxx did but has other faults as to why she's still

banned) which therefore means no more "second chances" and no giving in to pressure or friends of said person who may go after you... wait that sounds a little familiar doesn't it?... Anyway, the bad situation with Super_B has given us experience (that we used) for future moderation actions. And as said before we don't ban friends of a banned person or people who defend someone who is banned, that's why those people weren't banned. That would be a bit too much censorship.

- [Written by Gollesca]

Response to the conclusion

And conclusion of this doc

Conclusion

Honestly, the entire drama involving the sub(s) could have been avoided, had the staff taken *proper* action ever since the beginning. Unfortunately, we have what we have now. Why did the main subreddit moderators decide to revitalize the entire thing is unknown to me, especially after more than 8 months. And to be frank, I wouldn't even make the document, had the mods not done anything stupid. So let me make this absolutely clear:

You keep the fuck away from other subreddits. You don't drag anyone else into this. You stop trying to revitalize the drama. You stop spreading misinformation and hate.

After everything said in this doc, I can say many things; we moderators have chosen the options that seemed best to us at the time, even though the SH message to minors has been proven false and Holly is a completely unreliable source of information. Shaxx will remain banned for the explicit art she made of minors. Just like Super_B will remain banned for having also made explicit art of minors. We have never revived this drama. It was you, on Twitter and now here on reddit with this doc. And nothing of what was done by us was stupid after the right context... except, okay, Super_B and Miss Circle's second art, now deleted. And we've "stayed the fuck away from

the other subreddits, not dragging anyone else into this. Never have revitalized drama, and never spread hate or misinformation"... end
- [Written by Gollesca]


You tell **us** not to drag other people into this mess and yet so many innocent/uninvolved people's names were shown in the document due to the horrible or even nonexistent censorship, even somebody's personal information that was leaked in the past ISN'T EVEN CENSORED??? Like what?? But that's just one thing. Another is **you** knew what sort of unwanted attention would be brought by making that document and posting it on multiple subs and twitter, but **we** were fortunate enough that the majority of people in the community have more than one brain cell so nothing actually bad came of it. Be glad that **we** aren't petty enough to make the same type of callout as **you** on **Shaxx** and their **associates** (**we** know more than **you** think) because **we** don't want to be responsible for an even bigger shitshow (And **I** myself don't want to throw one of **their** close **friends** under the bus for telling me something). Don't take it as a threat but as a warning.

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]


SOMETHING THAT CAME UP AFTER THEIR DOC WAS MADE

TW: "non-sensual reproduction" threats and mentions.

I was recently checking Shaxx's subreddit (fpecompany or something) (before you ask; I'm just checking to see if they've found anything "controversial" on the subreddit. They never report things, but they always post about it there, so I sometimes go there to see if they've found stuff I don't know about. So I use their posts as reports) and some user (I doubt it's from Shaxx "group") reported that Rookie was "let back in" on the (main) subreddit. The thing is, Rookie was banned for making rape threats, which Main and Playground punished with a perma-ban. But if he was banned, why was he "let back in the sub"? Um... he wasn't allowed back in, he created an alt and tried to secretly join back in the Main subreddit without our knowledge. After I saw their post, I (Obviously) went and re-banned him, which brings us back to the problem that these people NEVER report things, which leads to these unpleasant situations for both sides, or situations that are never resolved until it's too late. As you'll read the conversations shown in their post remember this: Rookie IS NOT ALLOWED IN THE SUBREDDIT, Rookie start make threats (and other stuff he might have done, I don't know much about Rookie's history as he was a mod on playground and I never check playground, it's not a subreddit I frequent or need to be active on) AFTER their doc was published (and for it, this is the most "unconnected" topic from their doc), and Rookie has no affiliation or power in the main sub or ever had. This part of the doc exists to answer a possible topic if they (doc publishers calling us out) want to make another doc about us.



The first photo shows Rookie interacting with the subreddit by commenting and even posting. I feel pointing out that describing Rookie as a "rapist" is *technically* incorrect.



and the second photo confirms that it IS **him**.

and the third photo shows said user arguing with **Rookie**, it is neither on reddit nor related to the subreddit so I will not show the photo as it is unrelated.

and said user mentions being banned: said **user** was banned for reposting explicit proship art of Miss Bloomie and Abbie on x (once Twitter), said **user** declares said action as an "accident" not seeing the second part of the art. However, **it** was left banned as every user

has full responsibility for their profiles and it was clear even from the first parts of the art/comic where the thing was going.



screenshot of said post reposted, note that these comments were on Sh~~o~~xx's sub, not the main one showing that there are people in that sub who also believe this ~~u~~ser is in the wrong (The second part of the post was censored by the ~~u~~ser itself, hence the gray box)

- [Written by Gollesca]

Aftermath:

That explicit post has been deleted

Holly has been banned

Rookie was banned for the threats

Extra

And now that I'm finally allowed to be really picky about the structure of the doc: the structure of the doc makes no sense, sometimes requiring me to search for information not shown in the three hundred screenshots and two lines of text myself, defeating the point of creating a doc. The complete unseriousness of the doc is incredible, memes placed in the middle of the doc, bait, and insults thrown in here and there, an incredible amount of unnecessary swears. The doc feels more like a photo album. And that little text that is there is so confusing that we, the accused, those with a lot of context, are often left stuck while writing, having no idea what they're getting at. And sometimes, looking like they are just letting emotions speak instead of reason.

Maybe just one of these things is even acceptable in a doc, but all of them in a single doc? There's no point in existing.

- [Written by Gollesca, yet again]

For some of the people reading this document you may not properly know what this is in response to or why this was uploaded today out of nowhere, and that's on me honestly. Months ago (or maybe very late December 2025) there was a document posted online (as mentioned before) that was basically all about trying to paint us in a bad light and further discussing the past matters with Shaxx and etc, when I brought this up to my fellow mods we had begun discussing and reviewing everything and decided to make our own document because we were worried about what it'd look like if we stayed silent, and because we have a right to defend our online reputation. When the doc was close to completion I had some things I wanted to touch on, but due to very personal matters and other things taking up my time it had caused progress to simply halt, then procrastination and you know the drill. But due to worry of the document resurfacing or another similar incident repeating it has motivated me to come back and finish up everything so that this can finally be uploaded. So I apologize for the massive delay, I had previously planned on touching up more on Raystaxx or

Smoking_Fella (aka: villainous broadcast) since they've both been responsible for causing more drama (at the time, unsure of recent). Mainly villainous due to their twitter account, but not only would that take away the main purpose of this document but I'd be essentially calling them out, which is once again not the goal of this document. Honestly, can't I just moderate the subreddit and discord server in peace? Do I really have to deal with all kinds of bullcrap? Like majority of you aren't aware but I myself was a fan of Shaxx's art in the previous years and wanted to befriend them. Shocking right? Breaks the image of me being a tyrant mod hellbent on making everybody despise Shaxx since day one. Like why can't we as a community be kind and supportive of each other ever since A3DGhost left, do we have to be at each other's throats everyday? We have nobody but ourselves. I hate how divided we can be at times, like it gets to a point man. And I know the timing of this document being uploaded seems convenient with what's been going on twitter but I've had plans to return to this week's prior. But that's about it.

- [Written by MaximumCustomer]