

Structured Summary of Topic 4 (Part 1): Institutions, *World Economic History*

November 27, 2025

By Altuğ Yalçıntaş, Ankara University

Lecture Notes: Institutional Economics, Evolution, and the Digital Society

1. Introduction to Institutional Economics

The session establishes "Institutions" as the primary framework for understanding economic differences between societies, moving beyond simple data analysis to a more philosophical and historical approach.

- **The Flaw of Standard Economics:** Standard economics ("Econ 101") is criticized for relying too heavily on universal formulas and equations. It often assumes that an economic model working in one context (e.g., the Netherlands) will automatically work in another (e.g., Türkiye). This approach ignores the "rules of the game"—the specific social, legal, and cultural structures that define how an economy actually functions.
- **Key Theorists:** The field of Institutional Economics is grounded in the work of Nobel Prize laureates such as **Ronald Coase, Douglass North, and Daron Acemoglu**. Their work emphasizes that economic outcomes are determined by institutions (property rights, legal systems, political stability) rather than just resource allocation.
- **Definition and Nature:** Institutions are defined as structures that organize society (e.g., universities, families, religion, language). A key characteristic of institutions is their **conservatism**; they are designed to resist change. This stability provides predictability, but it becomes a problem when the external environment changes (e.g., technological disruption) and institutions fail to adapt.

2. The Evolutionary and Historical Perspective

To understand human institutions, the lecture adopts a naturalist and evolutionary perspective, arguing that social organization is not unique to humans.

- **Beyond Human-Centricity:** The concept of "family" or "social hierarchy" predates humanity.
 - **Nature's Institutions:** Animals like termites and bees have complex institutional structures involving hierarchies (queens, warriors, workers), division of labor, and collective sacrifice for the greater good (e.g., bees dying to protect the hive).

- **Evolutionary Continuity:** Humans are part of this natural continuum. Our institutions are not purely rational inventions but evolutionary adaptations for survival. Therefore, analyzing human economics requires understanding these deep-seated biological and evolutionary roots.
- **Critique of "Progress":** The lecture challenges the linear narrative of progress. Just because time passes does not mean a society is "advancing" in a qualitative sense. GDP per capita or technological sophistication are not the only metrics of a "better" society. Different societies (e.g., India vs. UK) have developed different institutional coping mechanisms for their specific environments, and "better" is subjective.

3. The Political Economy of Data and Privacy

The lecture shifts to applying institutional analysis to the modern digital economy, focusing on how data has become a new form of capital and a source of conflict.

- **Data as a Commodity:** In the digital age, personal data is the primary commodity. Users often believe services like Google or WhatsApp are free, but they pay with their data. This data is harvested, processed, and monetized by large corporations.
- **The Illusion of Privacy:** The concept of privacy is eroding.
 - **The Target Case:** A cited example involves the retailer Target using purchasing data (e.g., unscented lotion) to predict a teenage girl's pregnancy before her father knew. This illustrates that behavioral data often reveals truths about individuals that they hide even from their closest family.
 - **The "Invisible Hand" Failure:** The market mechanism alone fails to protect privacy because companies are incentivized to exploit data for profit. This necessitates the "visible hand" of regulation.

4. Digital Institutions and Governance

Tech giants (Google, Meta, Amazon) are now acting as quasi-institutions, challenging the authority of traditional nation-states.

- **Monopolization:** A significant development is the September ruling by a US court declaring **Google a monopoly** in the search market. This highlights the tension between allowing market dominance for efficiency and breaking up monopolies to ensure competition.
- **The Role of Regulation:**
 - **GDPR (Europe):** The European Union has taken the lead in regulation with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), forcing companies to be transparent about data collection.
 - **KVKK (Türkiye):** Turkey has adopted similar laws (Kiisel Verilerin Korunması Kanunu), though enforcement and cultural adoption vary.
- **Global Divides:** The global landscape is described by the adage: **"America innovates, China imitates, Europe regulates."** While simplistic, it captures the institutional differences: the US prioritizes corporate freedom, China prioritizes state control, and Europe prioritizes citizen rights.

5. The Threat of Manipulation

The ultimate danger of unregulated digital institutions is the manipulation of democratic processes and individual autonomy.

- **Cambridge Analytica:** The 2016 scandal demonstrated how harvested data could be used to build psychological profiles of voters and target them with manipulative content (fake news) to sway elections (e.g., Trump, Brexit). This proves that data is not just an economic asset but a political weapon.
- **The "Filter Bubble":** Algorithms create personalized realities (echo chambers) where users are only exposed to information that confirms their existing biases. This fragmentation makes it difficult for societies to have a shared understanding of reality, undermining democratic consensus.

6. Conclusion: The Necessity of Institutional Design

The lecture concludes that we cannot rely on technology or markets alone to solve these problems. We need robust **institutions**—legal frameworks, ethical standards, and regulatory bodies—to manage the power of Big Data and ensure that digital progress serves human welfare rather than exploiting it. The challenge for the future is to design institutions that are flexible enough to adapt to technological change but strong enough to protect fundamental human rights.