

Please do not modify this template

Self Assessment (please check according to your paper):

In order for an article to be considered for publication, we strongly recommend that prospective authors fulfill all of these items

No	Item of Assessment	Yes	No
1	Minimum length of article: 6000 words (<i>excluding references</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Maximum abstract: 250 words	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Topic of article is related to contemporary legal issue or comparative law	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Citation model used: Chicago Manual Style 17 th edition (full note)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Minimum references: 30 references	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Article used our latest Article Template	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Title of article: maximum 20 words	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	International Collaboration of Authorship (with minimum two different countries) is mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	Female Author(s) (as first or co-authors)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	Paper related to SDGs (UN) (<i>please indicate, you can choose more than one</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	1) No Poverty	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2) Zero Hunger	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3) Good Health and Well-being	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4) Quality Education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	5) Gender Equality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	6) Clean Water and Sanitation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	7) Affordable and Clean Energy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	8) Decent Work and Economic Growth	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	9) Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	10) Reduce Inequality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	11) Sustainable Cities and Communities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

No	Item of Assessment	Yes	No
	12) Responsible Consumption and Production	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13) Climate Action	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	14) Life Below Water	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	15) Life on Land	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	16) Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	17) Partnerships for the Goals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	Similarity no more than 20% (<i>please upload the proof of this as additional document or supplementary file</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	Written in English in good academic writing style (<i>paper should be proofread, we recommend you use Enago, please upload this proof as additional document or supplementary file</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	Author Biography (<i>short biography of each authors, maximum 150 words, upload in separate file as supplementary file</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please indicate and recommend the potential reviewer for your paper (minimum 3 reviewers)

No	Name of Reviewer	Affiliation	Email
1			
2			
3			

In the future, do you like to become reviewer of the Journal?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Full Name :

Email address :

Current Affiliation/Institution :






Country :


Scopus ID/Publication Profile :

Contemporary Issues on Interfaith Law and Society
 ISSN 2829-8373 (Print) 2829-8624 (Online)
 Vol. X Issue Y (YEAR) XXXX-YYY
 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15294/ciils.v3i1.78878>
 Available online since:

**Contemporary Issues on
*Interfaith Law & Society***
<https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/ciils/index>

Title of Article in English (maximum 20 word)


First Author ^a  , Second Author ^b , Third Author ^c , Fourth Author ^d ,
 etc.

^a First Author Affiliation, Country, Email,  ORCID Link

^b Second Author Affiliation, Country, Email,  ORCID Link

^c Third Author Affiliation, Country, Email,  ORCID Link

^d Fourth Author Affiliation, Country, Email,  ORCID Link

^e Fifth Author Affiliation, Country, Email,  ORCID Link

(Maximum five authors, and we highly recommend for international collaboration of authorship)

 Corresponding email: *insert corresponding email only*

Abstract

Abstracts are written in **English and Indonesia** and preferably not more than 250 words. The abstract should be clear, concise, and descriptive. This abstract should provide a brief introduction to the problem, objective of paper, followed by a statement regarding the methodology and a brief summary of results. Abstract should be written in Formal English, consist of: research purposes, main problems, method, findings, and conclusion. Abstract should be standalone means that there is no citation on Abstract. Abstract should directly explain concerning to the topic discussed. Abstract also should reflect the whole content of manuscript/paper.

KEYWORDS 3-5 related keywords, separated with comma (,)

Abstrak

Abstracts are written in **English and Indonesia** and preferably not more than 250 words.

KEYWORDS *3-5 related keywords, separated with comma (,)*

Introduction

The Introduction part should contain at least five previous studies concerning to the topic. At this part, author should emphasize the urgency of the research, as well as the significant of the research. Authors also have to explore and combine some previous studies. It is important for reader to know the uniqueness, novelty, urgency, and significance of research. Most of reader is non-native English speaking, therefore, Author should use a formal simple language, as well as, for international reader, author also have to add and improve some global perspectives.

The introduction should be clear and provide the issue to be discussed in the manuscript. Before the objective, authors should provide an adequate background, and very short literature survey in order to record the existing solutions, to show which is the best of previous studies, to show the main limitation of the previous studies, to show what do you hope to achieve (to solve the limitation), and to show the scientific merit or novelties of the paper.

Citation should be in footnote model. The Authors have to use Mendeley citation software, and the citation model should be **Chicago Manual Style (Fullnote)** automatically by Mendeley.¹ Author should use at least 30 references (the latest in the past five years). The footnotes used in references in this journal are not only used as source credit for the reference, but it is highly recommended

¹ Sahira Jati Pratiwi, Steven Steven, and Adinda Destaloka Putri Permatasari, "The Application of E-Court as an Effort to Modernize the Justice Administration in Indonesia: Challenges & Problems," *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 2, no. 1 (2020): 39–56, <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v2i1.37718>. The footnotes used in references in this journal are not only used as source credit for the reference, but it is highly recommended for the author to write some notes, arguments, or brief reviews in the footnotes. Thus, footnotes are not only limited to a reference source, but also notes from the author. See also Aprila Niravita, "Social Injustice in the Industrial Revolution 4.0," *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 1, no. 2 (2020): 164, <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v1i2.36509>; Aulia Vaya Rahmatika, "Violence on Women and Children: Background, Effects, and Solutions," *Semarang State University Undergraduate Law and Society Review* 1, no. 1 (2021): 69–86, <https://doi.org/10.15294/lsr.v1i1.49840>.

for the author to write some notes, arguments, or brief reviews in the footnotes. Thus, footnotes are not only limited to a reference source, but also notes from the author.² At the end of the paragraph, the author(s) should end with a comment on the significance concerning identification of the issue and objective of the research.³

Minimum length of manuscript is 6000 words including footnote (exclude abstract and references).⁴ All submitted manuscripts are read by the editorial staff. Those manuscripts evaluated by editors to be inappropriate to journal criteria are rejected promptly without external review. Manuscripts evaluated to be of potential interest to our readership are sent to double blind reviewers. The editors then make a decision based on the reviewer's recommendation from among several possibilities: rejected, require major revision, need minor revision, or accepted. The Editor-in-Chief of the *Contemporary Issues on Interfaith Law and Society* has the right to decide which manuscripts submitted to the journal should be published.⁵

Submitted manuscripts will generally be reviewed by two or more experts who will be asked to evaluate whether the manuscript is scientifically sound and coherent, whether it duplicates already published work, and whether or not the manuscript is sufficiently clear for publication. The Editors will reach a decision based on these reports and, where necessary, they will consult with members of the Editorial Board. The author is responsible for the readability of the manuscript and all writing errors. In certain cases, the editor will ask for valid proof from the author that the manuscript has been checked through a professional proof-reader.

Method

The method is written in descriptive and should provide a statement regarding the methodology of the research. This method as much as possible to give an idea to the reader through the methods used. Both Research and Review Article should explain the method. For the research article it is clear the method

² Sanapiah Faisal, *Format Penelitian Sosial* (Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada, 2005).

³ Jody Raphael, *Rape Is Rape: How Denial, Distortion, and Victim Blaming Are Fueling a Hidden Acquaintance Rape Crisis* (Chicago: Lawrence Hill Books, 2013).

⁴ Anggoro Yulianto, "Cybersecurity Policy and Its Implementation in Indonesia," *Law Research Review Quarterly* 7, no. 1 (2021): 69–82, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/lrrq.v7i1.43191> 70.

⁵ Prasasti Dyah Nugraheni, "The New Face of Cyberbullying in Indonesia: How Can We Provide Justice to the Victims?," *The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education* 3, no. 1 (2021): 57–76, <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijicle.v3i1.43153>.

should describe the location of the study, the data collection method, and how the data were analyzed. Meanwhile, in the Review Article, the method is written descriptively regarding the topic being analyzed, what theories and laws are used to analyze the topic and the limitations of the study.

Result & Discussion (title of Result & Discussion should be used)

This section is the most important section of your article. The analysis or results of the research should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Please highlight differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

A. First Sub-Title

Following main headings should be provided in the manuscript while preparing. Tables and Figures are presented center and cited in the manuscript. The figures should be clearly readable and at least have a resolution of 300 DPI (Dots Per Inch) for good printing quality. Table made with the open model (without the vertical lines) as shown below:

TABLE 1. Cross Tabulation

		Category Correctional Officer Performance Level		Total
		Low	High	
Level category leadership style	Low	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%
	High	0 0	18 100%	18 100%
Total		1 5%	19 95%	20 100%

Sources: Authors, 2021 (edited)

Each table should be explained properly with standing argument and legal analysis.

B. Second Sub-Title, etc.

...

Conclusion contains a description that should answer the objectives of research. Provide a clear and concise conclusion. Do not repeat the Abstract or simply describe the results of the research. Give a clear explanation regarding the possible application and/or suggestions related to the research findings.

Please automatically insert bibliography. The direct and valid link for all web pages or online news should be provided. For Laws and Regulation can be written separately and manually (if the author has difficulty writing down the source of the laws and regulations using Mendeley). The source of the laws and regulations must be accompanied by the source link of the laws and the number of the state gazette. For journal articles, it must be accompanied by a valid DOI link, or if there is no DOI, it must include a link to the intended article.

- Faisal, Sanapiah. *Format Penelitian Sosial*. Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada, 2005.
- Niravita, Aprila. "Social Injustice in the Industrial Revolution 4.0." *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 1, no. 2 (2020): 164. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v1i2.36509>.
- Nugraheni, Prasasti Dyah. "The New Face of Cyberbullying in Indonesia: Howe Can We Provide Justice to the Victims?" *The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education* 3, no. 1 (2021): 57–76. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijicle.v3i1.43153>.
- Pratiwi, Sahira Jati, Steven Steven, and Adinda Destaloka Putri Permatasari. "The Application of E-Court as an Effort to Modernize the Justice Administration in Indonesia: Challenges & Problems." *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 2, no. 1 (2020): 39–56. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v2i1.37718>.

- Rahmatika, Aulia Vaya. "Violence on Women and Children: Background, Effects, and Solutions." *Semarang State University Undergraduate Law and Society Review* 1, no. 1 (2021): 69–86. <https://doi.org/10.15294/lsr.v1i1.49840>.
- Raphael, Jody. *Rape Is Rape: How Denial, Distortion, and Victim Blaming Are Fueling a Hidden Acquaintance Rape Crisis*. Chicago: Lawrence Hill Books, 2013.
- Yulianto, Anggoro. "Cybersecurity Policy and Its Implementation in Indonesia." *Law Research Review Quarterly* 7, no. 1 (2021): 69–82. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/lrrq.v7i1.43191> 70.

Acknowledgment

Contains acknowledgments to funding institutions, and/or individuals who have assisted in conducting research and writing manuscripts. Recognize those who helped in the research, especially funding supporter of your research. Include individuals who have assisted you in your study: Advisors, Financial support, or may other parties have involved on the research.

Funding Information

Please provide information for funding assistance. If there is no funding assistance, please type: None

Conflicting Interest Statement

Please state any conflicting interests of this publication and research. If there is no, please type: The authors state that there is no conflict of interest in the publication of this article.

History of Article

Submitted :

Revised :

Accepted :

Published :

About Author(s)

Each submission must be accompanied by a short biography of each author, with a maximum length of 150 words per author. This information **should be uploaded in a separate file as a supplementary document**, not included in the main manuscript.

Example of Author Biography:

Dede Indraswara, S.H., M.H., is a lecturer, researcher, and legal practitioner affiliated with the Department of Criminal Law, Faculty of Law, Nusantara University of Social Justice. He is actively engaged in research with a concentration in criminal law, counter-terrorism, and maritime legal enforcement. Selected publications include: First, *Reconstructing Legal Norms in Maritime Terrorism Prevention*. Second, *State Sovereignty and Cross-Border Crime: A Legal Perspective*. Third, *Comparative Analysis of Terrorism Laws in Southeast Asia*. The author can be contacted via email: dedeindraswara@nusantara.ac.id. Further information and publications by the author can be accessed through Scopus ID: 12345678900, SINTA ID: 1234567, and ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-2345-6789>.

Guidelines for Article Revision

To ensure the quality of your revised submission and to facilitate the review and editorial process, please follow the guidelines below:

1. **Revision Based on Reviewer Comments**
 - o Authors are required to revise their manuscript in accordance with the comments and suggestions provided by the reviewers.
 - o Address all points raised by the reviewers in a clear and comprehensive manner. If you disagree with any comment, please provide a reasonable explanation in the response to the reviewers.
2. **Track Changes Activation**
 - o Authors must enable the **Track Changes** feature in their word processor during the revision process.
 - o This will allow the editor and reviewers to easily identify the changes made to the manuscript.
3. **Similarity Check Requirement**
 - o After revising the manuscript, authors are required to independently check the similarity of the revised paper using plagiarism detection software (e.g., Turnitin, iThenticate).
 - o The similarity score must not exceed **20%**, excluding references and quotes.
4. **Proofreading Requirement**
 - o The revised manuscript must **be proofread** to ensure proper grammar, clarity, and adherence to academic writing standards.
 - o Authors are required to submit proofreading evidence, as specified by the journal, along with the revised manuscript.
5. **Compliance with Journal Indicators and Requirements**
 - o Authors must ensure that their revised manuscript meets all indicators and requirements as outlined by the journal, including formatting, citation style, and adherence to the journal's focus and scope.
 - o Revisions that do not fulfill these requirements may be returned to the authors for further improvement or **even rejection**.

Additional Notes:

- Submit the revised manuscript along with a **revision report** or **response to reviewers document**, detailing how each comment has been addressed.
- Ensure that the revised manuscript is formatted according to the journal's **latest template**.

Failure to comply with these guidelines may result in delays in the editorial process or **even article rejection**. If you have any questions or require clarification, please contact the editorial team.