

TU Delft Learning For Life Centre

COURSE RERUN TA FINAL REPORT

[Course & Date]

Course information

- Course name:
- Course code:
- Rerun duration:
- Name of the moderator:
- Is the moderator part of the Learner Experience Team (LET)?

Choose your course type from the two sections below (MOOC or ProfEd), and provide the information that is requested. Ignore or delete the other course type's section.

MOOC: summary of edX Insights

1. Total enrolment:
2. Number of verified learners:
3. Number of learners that passed the course:
4. Percentage of active learners:
5. Describe the level of participation and engagement in discussions:
6. Describe demographics (gender, location, level of education of participants):

ProfEd: overview of learners

1. Total number of learners:
2. Number of learners that passed the course:
3. Describe the level of participation in discussions:

Executive summary

List the most relevant conclusions and recommendations (between 3 and 5).

Handover notes or advice for the new TA

List relevant recommendations for a fellow TA.

FAQ and your answers

Frequently asked questions	Answers
<i>Paste a frequently asked question here</i>	<i>Your answer</i>
<i>Add as many rows as you need to collect all FAQs and your answers</i>	...

General observations

Compile issues and/or feedback from learners.

*Category code	Module and link	Description	Your actions and or suggestions for improvement

*Category	Code	Examples
Technical	TH	Broken links, video scripts and publishing, accessibility, tools, software
Content	CO	Outdated content, inaccurate or non-relevant content, course difficulty, deadlines, schedule, assessments
Assessment	AS	Grade calculation, grade settings, exam retakes, proctoring rule violation and appeal, contested grading, extensions, instructions, clarifications
Course design	CD	Course structure, assessments design (peer-review, ORA)
Administrative	AD	Payments, certificates, enrollment
Form	FO	Typos, spelling errors, content visualization, text overlapping

Open Response Assessment (ORA) random check

If your course has ORAs that are self-assessed or peer-assessed, a random check on submissions is expected. The objective of the random check is to capture observed or suspected plagiarism or academic dishonesty, for example:

- Copying, paraphrasing without attribution
- Using AI-powered tools to fully complete assignments
- Submitting files that do not represent a genuine assignment

Please, check with your Learning Developers the steps you should follow to carry it out. The table below can be used to summarise your findings.

Indicator	
Assignment	
Learner username	

Observed behaviour	
--------------------	--

Other observations or feedback

Share any other comments or feedback you have here.