



Title Should be Clear, Brief and Informative. No More Than 16 Words. Should Have Roman Script. (Cambria, 14)

First Author^{1*}, Second Author², ..., Last Author^x (Cambria, 11)

¹First Affiliation, Country (Cambria, 10)

²Second Affiliation, Country (Cambria, 10)

^xLast Affiliation, Country (Cambria, 10)

WA. 081232324343 (required fields)

Received: filled out by the editor

Accepted: filled out by the editor

Published: filled out by the editor

Abstract

Abstract is written in two languages, English and Indonesia. An abstract is a brief, comprehensive summary of the contents of the article. It allows readers to survey the contents of an article quickly and, like a title, it enables persons interested in the document to retrieve it from abstracting and indexing databases. The abstract contains the main problem and/or research objectives, and showing the approach or method used to solve it, and presenting important findings, conclusions, and implications of research results. The abstract summarizes the reasons for the research being undertaken, the approach or method chosen, the key results, and the key conclusions. Abstracts are written in both English and Arabic language. Abstracts are presented in a paragraph containing 150-200 words. There should be no spelling, grammar, and expression errors in the language used.

Keywords: Education; Politics; Economics; Finance; Cultural Studies.

Abstrak

Abstrak ditulis dalam dua bahasa, Inggris dan Indonesia. Abstrak adalah ringkasan singkat dan komprehensif dari isi artikel. Hal ini memungkinkan pembaca untuk mensurvei isi artikel dengan cepat dan, seperti judul, memungkinkan orang yang tertarik pada dokumen untuk mengambilnya dari basis data abstrak dan pengindeksan. Abstrak berisi masalah utama dan/atau tujuan penelitian, dan menunjukkan pendekatan atau metode yang digunakan untuk menyelesaikannya, dan menyajikan temuan penting, kesimpulan, dan implikasi dari hasil penelitian. Abstrak merangkum alasan penelitian yang dilakukan, pendekatan atau metode yang dipilih, hasil utama, dan kesimpulan utama. Abstrak ditulis dalam bahasa Inggris dan Arab. Abstrak disajikan dalam paragraf yang berisi 150-200 kata. Tidak boleh ada kesalahan ejaan, tata bahasa, dan ekspresi dalam bahasa yang digunakan.

Kata Kunci: Pendidikan; Politik; Ekonomi; Keuangan; Studi Budaya.

Introduction

The introduction contains the purpose of the study and why the study is conducted. The main section of an article should start with an introductory section which provides more details about the paper's purposes, motivation, research methods and findings. The introduction should be relatively nontechnical, yet clear enough for an informed reader to understand the manuscript's contribution.

In general, the article in Al-Afkar Journal is written in English. The article also consists of 1 column, using "Cambria", font size 12, and 1,15 space. This template is

designed to assist the author in preparing manuscript; it is an exact representation of the format expected by the editor. To use this template, please just *Save As* the MS Word file to the document, then copy and paste the document here. To copy and paste the text to this template document, please use "Special Paste" and choose "Unformatted Text".

All papers submitted to the journal should be written in good English. For the authors that their native language is not English are encouraged to have their paper that is checked grammatically and clarity. English language and copyediting services can be provided by: International Editing and Asia Editing. The work should not have been published or submitted for free publication.

In Introduction, Authors should state the objectives of the work at the end of introduction section. Before the objective, Authors should provide an adequate background, and brief literature survey in order to record the existing solutions/method, to show which is the best of previous researches, to show the main limitation of the previous researches, to show what is the achievement (to solve the limitation), and to show the scientific merit or novelties of the paper. Avoid a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

Method

Materials and methods should make readers be able to reproduce the experiment. It should be provided sufficient detail to allow the work to be reproduced. Methods that already published should be indicated by a reference: only relevant modifications should be described. Do not repeat the details of established methods.

Identify Subsections

It is both conventional and expedient to divide the method section into labeled subsections. These usually include a section with descriptions of the participants or subjects and a section describing the procedures used in the study. The latter section often includes description of (a) any experimental manipulations or inter-ventions used and how they were delivered-for example, any mechanical apparatus used to deliver them; (b) sampling procedures and sample size and precision; (c) measurement approaches (including the psychometric properties of the instruments used); and (d) the research design. If the design of the study is complex or the stimuli require detailed description, additional subsections or subheadings that divide the subsections may be warranted to help readers find specific information.

These subsections include the essential information to comprehend and replicate the study. Insufficient detail will make the reader confused ; too much detail will burden the reader with irrelevant information. It should be considered when using appendices and/or a supplemental website for more detailed information.

Participant (Subject) Characteristics

Appropriate identification of research participants is critical to the science and practice of psychology, particularly for generalizing the findings, making comparisons across replications, and using the evidence in research syntheses and secondary data analyses. If humans participated in the study, report the eligibility and exclusion criteria, including any restrictions based on demographic characteristics.

Research Design

Specify the research design in the method section. Were subjects manipulated, or were they observed naturalistically? If multiple conditions were created, how were participants assigned to conditions, through random assignment or some other selection mechanism? Was the study conducted as a between-subjects or a within-subject design?

Result and Discussion

Results should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Highlight the differences between the results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

The discussion should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined Results and Discussion section is often appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

In the discussion, it is the most significance section of the article. Here you get the chance to make your data. Make the discussion corresponding to the results, but do not reiterate the results. Often should begin with a brief summary of the main scientific findings (not experimental results). The following components should be covered in discussion: How do your results relate to the original question or objectives outlined in the Introduction section (what)? Do you provide interpretation scientifically for each of your results or findings presented (why)? Are your results consistent with what other investigators have reported (what else)? Or are there any differences?

After presenting the results, you are in a position to evaluate and interpret their implications, especially with respect to your original hypotheses. Here you will examine, interpret, and qualify the results and draw inferences and conclusions from them. Emphasize any theoretical or practical consequences of the results. (When the discussion is relatively brief and straightforward, some authors prefer to combine it with the Results section, creating a section called Results and Discussion.)

Open the Discussion section with a clear statement of the support or nonsupport for your original hypotheses, distinguished by primary and secondary hypotheses. If hypotheses were not supported, offer post hoc explanations.

Similarities and differences between your results and the work of others should be used to contextualize, confirm, and clarify your conclusions. Do not simply reformulate and repeat points already made; each new statement should contribute to your interpretation and to the reader's understanding of the problem.


Your interpretation of the results should take into account (a) sources of potential bias and other threats to internal validity, (b) the imprecision of measures, (c) the overall number of tests or overlap among tests, (d) the effect sizes observed, and (e) other limitations or weaknesses of the study. If an intervention is involved, discuss whether it was successful and the mechanism by which it was intended to work (causal pathways) and/or alternative mechanisms. Also, discuss barriers to implementing the intervention or manipulation as well as the fidelity with which the intervention or manipulation was implemented in the study, that is, any differences between the manipulation as planned and as implemented.

Acknowledge the limitations of your research, and address alternative explanations of the results. Discuss the generalizability, or external validity, of the findings. This critical analysis should take into account differences between the target population and the accessed sample. For interventions, discuss characteristics that make them more or less applicable to circumstances not included in the study, how and what outcomes were measured (relative to other measures that might have been used), the length of time to measurement (between the end of the intervention and the measurement of outcomes), incentives, compliance rates, and specific settings involved in the study as well as other contextual issues.

End the Discussion section with a reasoned and justifiable commentary on the importance of your findings. This concluding section may be brief or extensive provided that it is tightly reasoned, self-contained, and not overstated. In this section, you might briefly return to a discussion of why the problem is important (as stated in the introduction); what larger issues, those that transcend the particulars of the subfield, might hinge on the findings; and what propositions are confirmed or disconfirmed by the extrapolation of these findings to such overarching issues.

Result and discussion should be presented in the same part, clearly and briefly. Discussion part should contain the benefit of research result, not repeat result part. Result and discussion part can be written in the same part to avoid extensive quotation.

Table 1. Table Title		
No	Description	Information
1	Description 1	Information 1
2	Description 2	Information 2
3	Description 3	Information 3



Conclusion

Conclusions should answer the objectives of research. Tells how your work advances the field from the present state of knowledge. Without clear Conclusions, reviewers and readers will find it difficult to judge the work, and whether or not it merits publication in the journal. Do not repeat the Abstract, or just list experimental results. Provide a clear scientific justification for your work, and indicate possible applications and extensions. You should also suggest future experiments and/or point out those that are underway.

Acknowledgment

This section allows you to thank all the people who helped you with the project. Write a thank you to the sponsor for research. Also write a thank you to the person who helped intensely in the research and writing of the article.

Author Contribution Statement

The Author Contributions Statement can be up to several sentences long and should briefly describe the tasks of individual authors. Please list only 2 initials for each author, without full stops, but separated by commas (e.g. JC, JS). In the case of two authors with the same initials, please use their middle initial to differentiate between them (e.g. REW, RSW). The Author Contributions Statement should be included at the end of the manuscript before the References.

References

(Examples:)

- Huda, N., Hamid, N., & Misbah, M. K. (2020). Konsep Washatiah M. Quraish Shihab Dalam Tafsir Al-Misbah (Analisis Hermeneutika Hans-Georg Gadamer). *International Journal Ihya' Ulum Al-Din*, 22(2), 198–231. <https://doi.org/10.21580/ihya.22.2.6768>
- Ritonga, A. W., & Desrani, A. (2022). Framework of Smart Learning Technology in Supporting Quality of Higher Education in Indonesia. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 283–289. <https://doi.org/10.54660/anfo.2022.3.6.11>
- Ritonga, A. W., Wargadinata, W., Hasan, N., & Ahmad, B. M. B. (2021). Teacher's Challenges in Implementing HOTS in Learning Arabic During Covid-19

Pandemic. *Izdihar : Journal of Arabic Language Teaching, Linguistics, and Literature*, 4(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jiz.v4i1.15606>

Contoh Penulisan Buku

Nama belakang, nama depan. (tahun terbit). *Judul buku dengan cetak italic*. Kota terbit: Penerbit.

Brannen, J. (2017). *Mixing Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Research*. Routledge Taylor & Francis Group. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315248813>

Rahimi, M., & Pourshahbaz, S. (2019). *English as a Foreign Language Teachers 'TPACK: Emerging Research and Opportunities*. IGI Global.

Shihab, Q. (2002). *Tafsir Al-Misbah, Pesan, Kesan dan Keserasian Al-Qur'an*. Lentera Hati.

Contoh Penulisan dari Luar Jurnal dan Buku

Nama belakang, nama depan. (tahun). Judul. Bulan terbit. Link terbitan

Sunnatullah. (2021). Sejarah Kodifikasi dan Perkembangan Tafsir. 3 Oktober 2021 dari

<https://islam.nu.or.id/ilmu-al-quran/sejarah-kodifikasi-dan-perkembangan-ilmu-tafsir-duPzx>

Darmawan, D. (2019). Perspektif Al-Quran Dalam Menjaga Harmonisasi dan Toleransi Dari Berita Bohong (Hoax) di Media Sosial. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional, "Harmonisasi Keberagaman Dan Kebangsaan Bagi Generasi Milenial, Lembaga Kajian Keagamaan,"* 158–167. <http://openjournal.unpam.ac.id/index.php/psnlkk/article/view/4640>

Note:

1. Reference should be written according to the format of reference. Articles are required to use reference management (Zotero, Mendeley, etc).
2. The article is research-based or thought-based in Arabic linguistics and Arabic teachings which is not published elsewhere either in print or online. The manuscript should be typed in Word document with Cambria sized 12; 1,15 space; on A4 sized paper. Margin right-top-left-bottom: 3-3-3-3 cm.
3. It must be used footnote. Type of citation APA Style 7th edition (full note), example: article journal, book, website.
4. 80% of primary referrals are at least 25 journals which published not more than 5 years. Primary references can be from; journals, proceedings, research reports, patents, standards, historical documents, research books, etc. 20% secondary literature (internet, books: published not more than 10 years).