CAB SIP review & Feedback

Template for CABs to fill out to facilitate their decision to advance or reject a SIP.

Establish parameter/scope

In a few paragraphs at most, summarize what this SIP proposes.
- ➔ List the aspects of the SIP that are **in-scope** for this CAB's review, and
- ➔ if necessary, list things that are **out-of-scope.**

For example,
- ★ In a SIP that changes the way we mint NFTs, the technical CAB might select various technical changes to the NFT-related subsystems of the blockchain for review.
- ★ The economics CAB might examine how changes to NFT creation affect the Stacks economy, including other prominent Stacks projects, the Stacks blockchain's competitiveness with other blockchains, and so on. The same aspect might be under the review of different CABs.

SIP Review & Commentary

For each aspect of the SIP that is in-scope, summarize the CAB members' opinions on the proposal. This includes:
- ➔ What the aspect is, and how it works to solve the overall problem that the SIP is solving. This is a "sanity check" on the CAB to make sure they understood the author.
- ➔ What the CAB members think about this aspect. Is it the best way to solve the problem? Are there alternatives to consider, and if so, why were they not proposed?
- ➔ What interactions the CAB members have had with the SIP authors to address any pre-review feedback.
- ➔ For organizational purposes, each aspect could have its own sub-heading.
- ➔ (Optional) agree a set of review questions to help your review process

Decision

- ★ This summarizes the CAB's overall review of the SIP. Does the SIP advance, or is it withdrawn? What are the next steps for the SIP authors? Are there any learnings from this review that could be used to improve the SIP process itself?
- ★ State clear whether the SIP in the board's view should be Recommended or Rejected

Any feedback to improve the review process is welcomed!