



POSITION PAPER REQUIREMENTS

1. File Title
 - "Committee_Country/character"
 - Ex.: 'UNEP_USA.pdf'
2. Heading:
 - Committee: Specify the full name of your committee.
 - Country/character: State the full name of the country/character you represent.
 - Topic of Committee
3. Country Policy:
 - Detail your country's perspective on the issue, including historical context and any relevant policies or actions previously undertaken.
 - For Specialized, detail your character's perspective on the issue and their past. How could their past be correlated to the issue at hand?
4. Past and Current International Actions:
 - Summarize key international resolutions, treaties, or initiatives related to the topic.
 - Assess the effectiveness of these measures and their impact on your country's stance.
 - For Specialized, detail what actions have been taken by the U.S. Government
5. Policy Recommendations:
 - Propose actionable solutions or strategies that your country advocates for addressing the issue.
 - Ensure these recommendations align with your country's interests and the broader goals of the international community.
6. Answers to Questions to Consider
 - Pick and answer **2** questions listed in 'Questions to Consider' in your background guide
7. Works Cited
 - MLA format
 - <https://www.bibcitation.com/> recommended

Formatting Guidelines:

- Length: 3 pages max, excluding 'Works Cited'
- Font: Use Times New Roman (or Crimson Pro), 12-point size.
- Spacing: Double
- Alignment: Align text to the left.
- Headers are bolded and inserted at beginning of section, on the same line as the text. Each paragraph is indented.

Submission Guidelines:





- Position paper should be in PDF form
- Position paper will be attached to email to the respective committee email with the file title and subject line both being 'Committee_Country'
- Respective committees' emails can be found at <https://www.titanmunc.org/contact>
- **RESEARCH AWARD ELIGIBLE DUE DATE:** Friday April 3rd, 2026
- **NON-RESEARCH AWARDS DUE DATE:** Friday April 10th, 2026

For Crisis 'Skyhigh Airlines'

- There will be no Research Award
- To be eligible for all other awards, **you must fill out a Google Forms questionnaire**
- [LINK TO QUESTIONNAIRE](#)
- **DEADLINE FOR QUESTIONNAIRE:** Friday April 10th, 2026

Resources

- **GOOD FORMATTING EXAMPLE:** [HERE](#)
- **GOOD CONTENT EXAMPLE:** [HERE](#)





RUBRIC

Category	1	2	3	4	5
Formatting & Submission (5%)	Does not follow required format, missing key elements like heading, font, or length. Submitted incorrectly.	Partially follows formatting rules but has multiple errors. Submission may be incomplete.	Mostly follows formatting guidelines with some errors. Submitted on time.	Fully follows formatting guidelines. Submitted on time. One or two minor errors.	Perfectly follows all formatting rules with no minor errors. Submitted properly and on time.
Country's Position (20%)	Does not clearly state the country's stance or lacks any supporting evidence.	Position is unclear, inconsistent, or lacks historical and policy references.	Explains the country's position well but lacks depth or supporting details.	Clearly states position with supporting evidence and some policy references.	Strongly articulates position with well-supported evidence, historical context, and relevant policies.
Past International Actions (15%)	No discussion of international actions or inaccurately presents them.	Lists some international efforts but does not connect them to the country's stance.	Mentions key international actions but lacks detailed analysis	Provides multiple relevant past actions with analysis and reliable sources.	Thoroughly discusses past efforts with clear connections to the topic. Sources are well-cited and analyzed.
Answers to Questions to Consider (20%)	There are no answers to questions, or questions do not address prompts.	Only a portion of questions are answered.	Both questions are addressed completely, but lack substance.	Both questions are addressed well to answer the prompt.	Both questions are addressed eloquently with realistic and detailed answers.
Policy Recommendations (25%)	No policies were recommended or policies are irrelevant.	Policies are too brief or lack depth.	Policies address the topic in full.	Policies are supported well and achievable.	Policies are realistic, detailed, specific, achievable, and well-argued.
Structure & Flow (10%)	No clear solutions proposed or recommendations are unrelated to the topic. Disorganized and difficult to follow.	Writing lacks organization, making it hard to understand key points.	The structure is somewhat logical but could be more organized. Some ideas do not connect smoothly.	Well-organized and easy to follow, with clear transitions between ideas.	Exceptionally well-structured and logical. Ideas are creative, flows, smoothly, and enhances clarity.
Chair Discretion (5%)	Chairs felt paper is lackluster.	Chairs felt paper was mediocre.	Chairs felt paper was solid.	Chairs felt good about paper.	Chairs jumped up and down reading the paper.

