Works	heet: Historical Significance Tool	
PART 1: Complete this chart referring to all the texts you read in this lesson. This chart will help you examine the significance of the <i>Lau v. Nichols</i> (1974) case.		
CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE (In what ways is this case historically significant?)	EVIDENCE (In what ways does this case meet the following criteria?)	EVALUATION: Is it significant? (Yes or No)
Importance (historical empathy): Was the change perceived as important to those who lived during its time (irrespective of whether their judgments were later justified)?		
Profundity: How deeply were people affected by the event, person, or group?		
Quantity: How many people's lives were affected?		
Durability: How long-lasting were the changes?		
Relevance: How does this event or person shed light on enduring or emerging issues/ themes in history? Is it of particular relevance to the narrative provided by the		

Date _____

PART 2: Determine the overall significance.

course you are taking? Is it of particular relevance to your ongoing study of the world?

Name _____

*This tool is not a formula. Not all criteria need to be satisfied for significance to be achieved, nor is there a specific number of criteria that must be met. Assessing historical significance is an argument that you are making.

Was the <i>Lau v. Nichols</i> (1974) case historically significant? Explain using evidence from the chart above.	