

The Feminist Manifest-No foregrounds the impact of technology systems on historically minoritized people through **refusals** of “harmful data regimes” and **commitments** to “new data futures”. For the purposes of this assignment, the Feminist Manifest-No is abbreviated to these ten points:

1. A **refusal** to assume that risk and harm from data practices can mean the same thing for everyone, everywhere, at every time, and a **commitment** to acknowledging how historical and systemic patterns of violence produce differential vulnerabilities
2. A **refusal** to be shaped by technologies that seek to shape and normalize racialized, gendered, and differently-abled bodies, and a **commitment** to taking back control over how we live with and engage technology and data
3. A **refusal** to use data about people in perpetuity, and a **commitment** to preparing data to be retired and disposed of
4. A **refusal** to view data as abstract and thus disconnected from people and context, and a **commitment** to understanding data as always attached to bodies
5. A **refusal** to acknowledge codes of ethics and claims to transparency that enable people who create technology to avoid accountability and responsibility, and a **commitment** to equity and justice by understanding and shifting how power works
6. A **refusal** of the expansion of data science that normalizes data extractivism, defined by the drive to monetize and hyper-individualize the human experience, and a **commitment** to centering creative and collective forms of life that resist the market-driven force to commodify human experience
7. A **refusal** to accept that data and related systems are too technical to be understood by those who are implicated in them, and a **commitment** to make systems and data intelligible, tangible, and controllable
8. A **refusal** of work *about* minoritized people, and a **commitment** to working *with* and *for* minoritized people in ways that are consensual and reciprocal
9. A **refusal** of systems that use ultimatums or coercive permissions and data collection (since not everyone can safely refuse or opt out without consequence or further harm), and a **commitment** to “no” being a real option in all online interactions
10. A **refusal** to “close the door behind” ourselves, and a **commitment** to subverting, undermining, and open hierarchies of power in ethically-compromised spaces like the academy and industry.

Under this framework, a technology, system, or practice can only be considered decent or “right” if it achieves these ten principles. Try to think about whether and how the principles above might be applied to your ethics question(s). These ten points are expanded upon [here](#). The full Feminist Manifest-No (32 points + references) can be found [here](#).

*(This summary is thanks to Megan Finn, Justin Petelka, Katie Shilton.)*