

SUPREME COURT OF ARABIA

Syllabus

Quaerendo Invenietis, et al. v. WesGutt and
Mouseking, et al.

ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

No. 0031. Argued Apr. 26 - 29, 2020—Decided May 5, 2020

Quaerendo Invenietis' filibuster, although considered excessive, is protected under the Arabian Bill of Rights. However, purposely withholding his right to vote in Ministerial affairs, without explicitly notifying him of his right to vote in other manners, and carrying on in votes without including him said votes is considered a violation of the Constitution.

Held: The Supreme Court mandates that a formal apology about the matter be given to Quaerendo Invenietis from the defendant WesGutt in the 72 hours allocated to him after this ruling is posted. The Court also mandates that the votes of all present ministers and/or proxies must be accounted before doing or participating in a certain action under the Ministry's jurisdiction.

Justice Don-San delivered the opinion of the Court, joined by Chief Justice Iple and Justice John the Jellyfish.

Opinion of the Court

SUPREME COURT OF ARABIA

No. 0031

Quaerendo Invenietis, et al. v. WesGutt and
Mouseking, et al.

ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

[May 5, 2020]

JUSTICE DON DELIVERED THE OPINION OF THE COURT

I

Quaerendo Invenietis, or QI as he shall be referred to, has, during the 22nd Game Session of Arabia, demonstrated a filibuster against the then Ministry because of the continuation of the war and his opposition against it. This filibuster is protected under the Arabian Bill of Rights, under Constitution Article 7, 1b and 1c.

The muting of QI's filibuster by various members of the government is also protected by the same freedom of speech that QI's filibuster is protected by. Why? Just as people have the right to say whatever they want to say, people also have a right to ignore what other people say at their own discretion. This, then, is an expression of speech, ergo, I do not want to listen to you, so I ignore you. As such, the muting of QI's filibuster is considered protected.

What is considered in violation of QI's rights is the fact that the Ministry effectively ignored the vote of one present Minister during a game session. This is not only illegal, it is deplorable and frankly an injustice to QI himself. In fact, Section 2.1e states the following, "The Ministry shall, subject to reasonable regulation under the Law,

make all in-game Decisions not covered nor prohibited in this Constitution unless Law is passed to provide for alternatives.” Note that it says “The Ministry”. Not 4 out of 5 ministers. All 5 of them. Even if one of them is doing, although excessive, a filibuster.

It is so ordered.