

MIP Board meeting

16 January 2020

Minutes from the December 2019 meeting were approved.

In attendance: Deborah Dowd, David Doolittle, Jade McGleughlin, Luanne Grossman, Mary McDonald, Ginger Chappell, Anne Waters, Pat Hearn, Joyce Klein, David Kemmerer, Susan Geist, Susan Bokor Nadas, Sherri Ettinger, Jill Engerman, Larry Chud, Michael Reison, Derrick Egbert, Stuart Pizer, Elizabeth Corpt, Sara Emerson, Raquel Limonic, Anna Stothart, Sarah Brady, Ann Bitetti

Announcements: Deborah Dowd, President

ICC event, Greg Jules from the Haitian Community will be speaking about Justice Resources. The event is fully signed up.

Susan Nadas gave an update on the faculty solution for the Gender Course, following up on the board solution from last month. The course is now set for this year and the curriculum committee is working proactively to recruit for future years without the need to pay outside stipends.

The annual MIP Open House is 5 February, 2020 at the Harvard Faculty Club. There was a request to move the event to the UU Church in Brookline, with concerns that the Harvard Faculty Club does not represent the inclusive space that MIP is trying to showcase. The space for the event will continue to be reviewed going forward in a competitive comparison with other available locations.

Deborah Dowd reported on the Coordinating Committee's meeting and discussions about intentional DEI work in our courses as well as making the best use of staff time. The coordinating committee also agreed that a Spring Retreat for the board would be the ideal time for DEI work with Kimberlyn Leary, a leader in racial equity work among psychoanalytic organizations. We hope to secure some advance time from Kim Leary to meet with a small group in February and March and April to help plan the retreat in May.

The board voted unanimously to hold Sunday 31 May 2020 for the retreat, a date that Kim Leary is available. John will work on securing a space.

David Doolittle introduced a discussion from the ethics committee focused on dual relationships. While dual relationships seem inevitable in Training Institutions, the board discussed options to clarify an organizational position on dual relationships. The discussion included: what is MIP's position on dual relationships, ie, supervisor/consultant and candidate and control case. Does the control have access to information as to who is the consultant for the candidate. Informed consent, the control consents to their analysis being supervised, but it doesn't say if the control has the "right to know" who the supervisor is.

By informal vote of the board, majority agreed that "controls" have the right to know who supervises the candidate. David Doolittle will take the board input back to the ethics committee to finalize language.

Next meeting is Wednesday 19 February, 2020

Respectfully submitted,
Sara Emerson