Full Title of Your Manuscript in Title Case: Subtitle Clarifying Scope or Contribution

First Author [a], Second Author [b], Third Author [a]
[a] Department of ..., Faculty of ..., University Name, City, Country
[b] Research Centre for ..., Another Institution, City, Country

Corresponding author: author@institution.ac.id

Abstract

Write your abstract here (200–300 words). *Justice Voice* recommends a structured abstract for most empirical journals: Background, Objective, Methods, Results, Conclusions. For review articles or conceptual papers, an unstructured abstract is acceptable. Avoid citations and undefined abbreviations. The abstract must be self-contained

Keywords: Keyword One; Keyword Two; Keyword Three; Keyword Four; Keyword Five

1. Introduction

The Introduction should include: (1) the context and significance of the research problem; (2) a review of the relevant literature highlighting the existing research gap; (3) a clear statement of the research objectives; (4) an explicit description of the study's scientific contribution (novelty); and (5) an overview of the article's structure. *Justice Voice* generally favors introductions that are concise yet sufficiently substantive to establish the rationale for the study.

The study's novelty should be stated explicitly rather than implied. The introduction should conclude with a brief outline of the organization of the article.

An effective literature review should present a thematic synthesis of previous studies rather than a sequential annotation of individual articles. It should demonstrate comprehensive engagement with the international literature while clearly positioning the study within the existing body of knowledge and identifying its unique contribution to the field.

The literature review should also explain the theoretical or conceptual framework underpinning the study and explicitly connect it to the research questions or hypotheses. Relevant empirical findings should be synthesized to establish the current state of knowledge. The section should conclude with a clear statement of what remains unknown, why the identified gap is important, and how the present study addresses that gap.

2. Methods

The Methodology section should be sufficiently detailed to enable independent researchers to replicate the study. Every methodological choice should be clearly justified and supported by relevant scholarly references.

The study should explicitly state its research design (i.e., quantitative,

qualitative, or mixed methods) and provide the theoretical rationale for selecting the chosen approach.

The methodology should also describe the data sources, sampling technique, sample size, and the demographic or contextual characteristics of the participants or research objects.

All research variables should be clearly operationalized. The study should report the reliability of the research instruments (e.g., Cronbach's alpha) and provide evidence of instrument validity, such as content validity, construct validity, or other appropriate forms of validation.

The data analysis procedures should be described in sufficient detail, including the analytical techniques employed and the statistical or qualitative analysis software used, together with its version number. For qualitative studies, the methodology should explain the coding approach (e.g., open, axial, and selective coding, thematic analysis, or content analysis) and the strategies adopted to ensure the trustworthiness of the findings, such as credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability, or other recognized qualitative validity criteria.

3. Results

The Results section should present the research findings in a clear, logical, and systematic manner. Tables and figures should be used to support the presentation of the findings where appropriate.

Every table and figure must be explicitly cited and discussed in the text before it is presented. Tables and figures should never appear without an introductory explanation that describes their purpose and highlights the key findings they contain. This approach ensures a coherent narrative and enables readers to understand the relevance of each table or figure within the context of the study.

Tabel 1. Descriptive explanation of what is shown in the table

Variable	**Data 1**	**Data 2**
Variable A	.....	.....
Variable B	.....	.....
Variable C	.....	.....

Source: Indicate the source of the data in the table and include the year

In accordance with the *Justice Voice* journal style, figures should be cited in the text using the abbreviated format "Fig. 1" rather than "Figure 1." Each figure must be cited in the text before it appears. The figure caption should be placed below the figure and should provide a concise but sufficiently descriptive explanation of its content.

[Insert Fig. 1 here]

Fig. 1. Descriptive caption explaining what the figure shows

4. Discussion

The Discussion section should interpret the meaning and implications of the research findings rather than merely restating the numerical results presented in the Results section. Each major finding should be critically analyzed and explicitly related to the relevant literature reviewed earlier in the manuscript, highlighting areas of agreement, divergence, or theoretical contribution. The Discussion should be clearly distinguished from the Results by emphasizing interpretation, explanation, and the broader significance of the findings rather than data presentation.

Authors should acknowledge the study's limitations in a specific and transparent manner, explaining how these limitations may affect the interpretation or generalizability of the findings. In addition, recommendations for future research should be concrete, feasible, and directly derived from the identified limitations or unresolved issues. Rather than simply stating that "further research is needed," authors should specify the research questions, contexts, methodologies, or variables that warrant further investigation.

5. Conclusion

The Conclusion should directly address the research objectives and succinctly summarize the principal findings of the study. It should clearly articulate the study's main contributions to the existing body of knowledge and discuss their theoretical, practical, or policy implications, as appropriate. The conclusion should be concise, focused, and free from unnecessary repetition of the results or discussion. In line with the *Justice Voice* journal style, an effective conclusion is brief, substantive, and highlights the significance of the study without introducing new data or arguments.

References

Justice Voice adopts the **APA (American Psychological Association)** citation and referencing style. Authors are encouraged to use reference management software such as *Mendeley* or *Zotero* to ensure consistency and accuracy in both in-text citations and the reference list.

For a full-length research article, the manuscript should include 20–40 references, with priority given to primary sources, particularly articles published in reputable peer-reviewed journals. Authors are encouraged to cite recent and relevant literature that reflects the current state of research in the field while ensuring that all references cited in the text are included in the reference list and vice versa.