

# Title Must be Specific, Informative, and No More than 12 Words

Author's Name

Affiliation

Address

Active Email

**Abstract**– The abstract should be written in Indonesian and English, bold and italicized, between 100 – 150 words. The English abstract proceeds the Indonesian abstract. The abstract must be concise, containing objective, methods, results, and conclusion.

**Kata Kunci**- Keywords are written in accordance with the language used in the body of article, separated by a semicolon (;) and listed alphabetically. Keywords must be carefully selected, precise and able to reflect the concept/variables discussed in the article.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The introduction should consist of the background of the study, research contexts, and research objectives[1]. The introduction should be written in well-composed paragraphs. Avoid using subheadings in this section[2].

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature review is written in paragraphs[3]. It discusses highly relevant literatures from various sources like general scientific books, theoretical books, theses, dissertations and scientific journal articles[4].

## III. RESEARCH METHODS

This method section is written in paragraphs (avoid using subheadings). It consists of description concerning the research design (research site and participants or documents, data collection, data analysis, and measured variables).

## IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION


The results and discussion section is presented in paragraphs, containing the description of the results of the data analysis. The discussion of the results is argumentative regarding the relevance of the results, theories, previous research and empirical findings. It is also mandatory to highlight the novelty of findings.

Tables and figures are used to provide supporting explanation. Avoid using vertical lines in the tables. In the description, avoid using the likes of “the above table” or “figure below,” but “Table 1,” “Figure 2,” so on. Every table and figure must be captioned, numbered, and centered. Include the source of tables or figures when applicable. Take Table 1 and Figure 1 for examples.

Table 1. Bank Ownership

No.	Bank Ownership	Number
1	State-owned banks	9
2	Private banks	50
3	Foreign banks	8
4	Jointly-owned banks	7
	Total	74

Source: Indonesia Stock Exchange[5]



Sumber : American Stock Exchange (AMEX) 2017 [6]

Figure 1 xxxxxx

## V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This section must be written in well-composed paragraphs. The conclusion must be concise, providing a description of the contribution of the findings to existing knowledge. This section should also provide suggestions for further studies. The conclusion should not be a restatement of the findings, but what could be inferred from the findings.

## VI. REFERENCE



Use IEEE style for in-text citation and reference list.

- [1] Antonacopoulou, E. P. (2007). Actionable knowledge. In S. Clegg, & J. Bailey (Eds.), *International encyclopedia of organization studies* (pp. 14–17). London: SAGE.
- [2] Argote, L. (2011). Organizational learning research: Past, present, and future. *Management Learning*, 42(4), 439–446.
- [3] Argote, L. (2012). *Organizational learning: Creating, retaining and transferring knowledge*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [4] Argyris, C., & Schön, D. A. (1978). *Organizational learning: A theory of action perspective*, Vol. 173. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- [5] Argyris, C. (2009). *On organizational learning* (2nd ed.). USA: Blackwell Publishing.
- [6] Baron, R. A. (2006). Opportunity recognition as pattern recognition: How entrepreneurs connect the dots to identify new business opportunities. *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 20(1), 104–119.
- [7] Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2009). *Teaching for quality learning at university society for research into higher education* (5th ed.). England: McGraw Hill.
- [8] Brady, T., & Davies, A. (2004). Building project capabilities: From exploratory to exploitative learning. *Organization Studies*, 25(9), 1601–1621.
- [9] Colquitt, J. A., & Zapata-Phelan, C. P. (2007). Trends in theory building and theory testing: A five-decade study of the Academy of Management Journal. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(6), 1281–1303.
- [10] Corley, K. G., & Gioia, D. A. (2011). Building theory about theory building: What constitutes theoretical contribution? *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1), 12–32.
- [11] Crossan, M. M., & Apaydin, M. (2010). A multi-dimensional framework of organizational innovation: A systematic
- [12] BakerH Kent, E Theodore, G. E. P. (2009). Dividend Policy, Creditor Rights, and the Agency Costs of Debt. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 38, 276–299.
- [13] review of the literature. *Journal of Management Studies*, 47(6), 1154–1191.
- [14] Condie, J. (2012). Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management Article information : *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 9(2), 168–193.
- [15] Baxter, J., Chua, W. F., Elharidy, A. M., Nicholson, B., Scapens, R. W., & Gurd, B. (2008). Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management"Remaining consistent with method? An analysis of grounded theory research in accounting. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 5(7), 101–121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/11766090810888917>