

Example Annotated Bibliography

Muth, R. M., and W. V. Jamison. 2000. "On the Destiny of Deer Camps and Duck Blinds: The Rise of the Animal Rights Movement and the Future of Wildlife Conservation." *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 28(4):841–51.

In this article, Muth and Jamison discuss how the animal rights movement can disrupt and influence traditional wildlife management and what the movement will mean for the future of hunting. Major topics discussed are how the animal rights movement began, the four social precursors to the movement, and how animal rights groups affect wildlife policy and, by extension, hunting. This article is written without jargon or complicated syntax, making it easy to read and understand. It is clear from the article that the authors believe the animal rights movement is hindering wildlife management, but the authors also commend the movement for forcing policymakers to reevaluate their traditional management style. While some bias is evident, the authors address arguments made by the animal rights movement and back up their statements with other sources. Because of the bias, my paper will also discuss articles from an animal rights group about hunting. Addressing many of the arguments made by both conservationist and animal rights groups, this source is helpful for understanding the disagreements that exist between them. It is also helpful because it provides information about how the United States animal rights movement began, which has had a lasting impact on the American people. Although the paper does not directly focus on deer overpopulation, this information will be helpful in explaining deer management controversies.

Citation

Summary

Evaluation

Reflection