

**MAJLIS AKREDITASI DAN PEPERIKSAAN SENIBINA MALAYSIA
COUNCIL OF ARCHITECTURAL ACCREDITATION AND EDUCATION MALAYSIA**

PANEL ASSESSMENT RECORDS
NON-DESIGN COURSES

Name of School : UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA, JOHOR BAHRU
Name of Programme : PROGRAMME OF ARCHITECTURE, FACULTY OF BUILT ENVIRONMENT & SURVEYING, UTM

Subject Area Proforma: Course Code: **SBEA3843 Architecture & Human Behavior**

Semester: **20202021/1** No. of Student: **11** No. of Lecturer: **1** FT **1**

No. of projects in this semester: **3** Staff/student Ratio **1:11** PT **0**

A. DETAILS OF ASSIGNMENTS:

1.0 Description of Assignments:

Duration:
(Day/Week)

Project Brief:

- 1.1 **Assignment 01** – Understanding the Concept of PEC
- 1.2 **Assignment 02** – Eliciting User's Preferences of an Ideal Home
- 1.3 **Project 01** – Designing and Ideal Home Based on User's Preference
- 1.4 _____

3W

4W

4W

Y	/	N	
Y	/	N	
Y	/	N	
Y		N	

2.0 Mode of Learning

/

Group Work
Mix of Group & Individual Works
Individual Works

3.0 Focus of Projects (to relate to 1.0)

- 3.1 _____
- 3.2 **Assignment 01** – Understanding the concept which involves highly on theory understanding, fundamental knowledge and its application
- 3.3 **Assignment 02** – Knowing how to initiate a research, using the data and to apply in the design process.
- 3.4 **Project 01** – Designing an architectural work based on the data elicited and transcribed from assignment 2.

	KW	AW	AP	SY
	/	/		
		/		/
		/	/	/

Key: KW=Knowledge AW=Awareness AP=Application

SY=Synthesis

4.0 Integration with Design:

/	Intense
	Minimal

	Moderate
	None

4.1 Details of Integration (please provide attachments if necessary)

Students are basically required to design a dream home based on their clients (in this case their immediate family members)

A. PANEL ASSESSMENT

5.0 Suitability of project with level of study/complexity/ scale and progression*

Suitable Too Complex Unsuitable

Note: This section is to be cross-referred to the programme's Design Scale and Complexity Matrix

5.1 Remarks

6.0 Quality of Architectural Design Output (layout, context, site planning, internal planning, etc.)

Satisfactory Appropriate Unsatisfactory

6.1 Remarks

7.0 Panel Comments or Recommendations:

Name of Panel Member: _____

Signature: _____

Date:

2	8	0	9	2	1
---	---	---	---	---	---

d d m m y y