



Build & Implementation Meeting Notes February 3, 2025

Statewide Longitudinal Data System (SLDS): Build & Implementation Advisory Group

Meeting ID: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88591585260?pwd=pRgQwVsasNClonpWuA7vVhv8n6O58N.1>

Meeting Video: <insert when posted>

Type of Meeting: SLDS Build & Implementation Advisory Group

Facilitator: Josh Williams

Note Taker: Heather MacGillivray

Timekeeper: Katherine Hochevar

Attendees: Sabina Andersson, Marcia Bohannon, Jud Cary, Naim Razzak, Lydia Rogers, Rebecca Tyus, Michael Vente, Josh Williams

Agenda Items:

- I. Welcome (5 mins)
- II. Review of Group Meetings (5 mins)
- III. Program Feasibility (40 mins)
- IV. Next Build & Implementation Group Activities (5 mins)
- V. Future Meeting Planning (5 mins)

Build & Implementation Advisory Group
Open
Call to Order <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Roll Call was taken • Agenda was reviewed
Announcements & Updates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SLDS Governance Board is working on a research agenda and a legal framework for data sharing. • The SLDS Sustainability Advisory Group discussed the different programs and what data is available for those programs. • OIT released the interim report which included a request for a reporting extension from April 2026 to September 2026. This request was based on feedback from the governance board to take the time to build a solid foundation for the future even if the process takes longer. • The draft SOW went out to all groups. Thank you for your feedback. We are working to translate your ideas into a fuller RFP solicitation. Please don't share that information broadly to adhere to procurement rules. As we finalize the document for the RFP, we are exploring how to balance agency collaboration and agility to ensure forward momentum.
New Business

SLDS Topics Here

- Program Feasibility Discussion:

- **ZIP Codes:** The group discussed the potential of adding ZIP code at the person level into the SLDS build. CDHE shared that address information for students often reflects their parents address as opposed to the students current address. CDE shared that address information tends to be sensitive and is not shared by districts to CDE in any state submission. CDEC concurred that address information is sensitive and the agency tries to limit collecting that information other than to provide programmatic choices to families. CDLE collects addresses for the FAML and UI programs. Governor's Office shared that they use census tract rather than ZIP code as it is more granular. Census tract can be geocoded from a street address. Currently, CDHE, CDLE, and CDEC collect addresses for some programs.

Multiple purposes of collecting ZIP codes were discussed including identity matching across agency data, as a geographic proxy for income, and to understand the availability of programs across the state.

- **Program Identification:** Using a [spreadsheet of identified programs](#), the facilitator asked the group to discuss each program, its availability of data, and whether it should be included in the SLDS. The Accelerating Students Through Concurrent Enrollment (ASCENT) program was discussed. CDHE and CDE both collect these data with numbers being slightly less from CDHE than CDE due to loss of data between school districts and higher education institutions. Funding for ASCENT was identified as coming from the state to districts. There is also funding at CDE to administer the program. These data are used for a concurrent/dual/ASCENT course report produced by CDE and CDHE with some one-off longitudinal studies.

There was a question as to whether we need to collect funding source in Phase I of the SLDS build out since each program has such unique funding sources and combinations. For example, student may receive tuition funding, the district may receive PPOR, and a state agency may receive funding for administration. OSPB staff suggested a categorization schema of general fund, federal fund, cash or grant funds. CDE staff wondered why we would want to know this information. Governor's Office staff suggested this information is needed for determining ROI.

Future Meeting Length and Cadence

- February 17, 2025 at 8:00 am

Closing

- Recap Action Items
 - ACTION: Three action steps were identified
 - 1. OIT (Katherine Hochevar - OIT) will determine the expectations for slicing these data by congressional district, census tract or potentially county.
 - 2. CDLE (Naim Razzak - CDLE) will share additional findings about FAML's access to demographics including ZIP code.
 - 3. OIT (Josh Williams - OIT He Him) will update the funding program / funding source nomenclature on the SLDS Use Case document and program list.
- Next Month's Agenda
 - Continued work on the program identification and feasibility.
- Adjourn Public Meeting