

【研究論文】

The Title Should be 12-point Century, Boldface, Centered: Please Follow the Capitalization Rules of APA 7th Edition

日本語のタイトルを12ポイント, MS明朝, 太字で記載してください

AUTHOR Name (11p, Bold Century)

Affiliation

著者姓 名 (MS明朝 11pt. 太字)

所属 (11pt. 太字)

要旨

英語論文には、MS明朝・10.5ポイント・両端そろえて16行以内の日本語要旨を記載します。要旨では、研究背景や研究目的、研究方法、結果や結論などを簡潔に分かりやすく記述してください。(Write an abstract of no more than 16 lines to provide readers with an overview of the research in Japanese. In this section, the background, methods, results, and conclusion should be described concisely, though not all articles have to follow this particular structure. Use 10.5-point MS明朝font, fully justified.)

Keywords: no, more, than, five words, word or phrase, Chubu (10.5 pt)

キーワード: 日英、ともに5語以内、...、中部支部

(10.5 pt, 点(カンマではない)で区切る)

Do not write INTRODUCTION as a heading.

Automatically indent submissions must conform to the requirements of the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*, 7th edition.

In the introduction section, you need to state the purpose or motivation of the study, often with reference to previous studies.

Make sure you hide ALL your personal information (**) for blind review.**

It is important to describe the research background, review previous studies, define key terms, and state the importance of your research. Font: Century, Size: 10.5, Fully Justified

Literature Review (or an Appropriate Subtitle)

Paraphrased citation of works with the same author and same year

2014; *** & *****, 2015a, 2015b; Griffin, et al., 2014) (****,

Paraphrased citation of multiple works

2016; *** & *****, 2018).

Method

Level 1 heading

In the method section, you need to describe where, when, and how the study was conducted so that potential readers can replicate the study if necessary. Also include a Notes section before the reference section if needed.

Materials and Procedure

Level 2 heading

Materials used in this study included two different kinds of speaking tasks and a questionnaire...

Speaking Tasks

Level 3 heading

Two sets of tasks were prepared.

Questionnaire with Multiple Questions. A questionnaire, which consisted of six multiple-choice questions and . . . , was also used in this study.. . . .

Level 4 heading

Evaluating Scores. In addition to questionnaire results.....

Level 5 heading

Results

In the results section, outcomes of the study must be written clearly. Only the facts should be described here; opinions and induction must be placed in the discussion section, though it is possible to combine these two sections when necessary. Tables and figures should be fully utilized to make the outcomes visually accessible to the readers.

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics for the Speaking Tests


If the p value is very low, write $p < .001$, not $p = .000$.

Group	Pre-test		Post-test	
	n	$M (SD)$	n	$M (SD)$
Group 1	30	50.56 (12.31)	29	60.82 (15.31)
Group 2	28	48.22 (11.62)	28	51.75 (11.89)

Note. Student evaluations of testing were administered in two modalities.

Figure 1

Average Study Time During 2014 Spring Semester



Note. This figure demonstrates Not all figures include figure notes.

Using figures and/or tables, you need to number them consecutively and refer to all of them in the text.² Make tables in the Word document. Do not take screen shots from elsewhere and add them to your paper.

You need to obtain permission to reproduce any material such as figures and tables for which you do not own the copyright, and for ensuring that the appropriate acknowledgements are included in your manuscript as described above.

Discussion

Abbreviated title (running head)

In the discussion section, it is necessary to rationally argue your points based on the obtained results. You can describe limitations of the study if necessary.

Conclusion

In the conclusion section, important findings should be summarized briefly. You can add implications from your study or expectations of further studies.

.....

References

American Psychological Association. (2020). *American Psychological Association strategic plan*.
<https://www.apa.org/about/apa/strategic-plan>

Beach, R. (1986). Showing students how to assess: Demonstrating techniques for response in the writing conference. *College Composition and Communication*, 37(1), 56–65.

Berk, R. R. (2012). Top 20 strategies to increase the online response rates of student rating scales. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning*, 10(3), 88–107.

Clark, K. R., & Buckley, M. B. (2017). Using a synthesis matrix. *Radiologic Technology*, 88(3), 354–357.

Dunn, D. S., & Smith, R. A. (2008). Writing as critical thinking. In D. S. Dunn & R. A. Smith (Eds.), *Teaching critical thinking in psychology: A handbook of best practices* (pp. 163–173). Blackwell Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444305173.ch14>

Ferris, D. R. (2003). *Response to student writing: Implications for second language students*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410607201>

(***) (2014) ——— Please include your own cited paper with personal information hidden.

journal article reference
without DOI

journal article reference with
DOI

journal article reference
without DOI

reference

Abbreviated title (running head)

Appendices

Appendix A: The Title of Appendix A

Add an appendix [appendices] section if necessary.

Appendix B: The Title of Appendix B