

Review - 2D-UI GE OpenSpecification

Deliverable: WP13 Open Specification (D.13.1.2) M30

Document: 2D-UI GE Open Specification (& Architecture)

Reviewer: name (company)

Please fix typos and grammatical errors directly in the document.

Structure

Check that the top level document structure is the same as in the template.

For FI Ware review, the content still needs to be copied from the internal draft template to the final Architecture page template

Relevance

Does the content belong to this GE/Document? Is it relevant?

The chapter about basic concepts rather describe the concept of how the GE is integrated to an web application via Polyfill implementation, and misses the basic concepts of what the enabler is going to provide.

Accuracy

Ist the content correct? Errors? Possible misconceptions?

See above, concerning relevance of basic concepts. In general, I would expect a more detailed description of what 2D UI is going to provide, and how its functionality adds to an application.

Completeness

Are the required topics/component covered? What is missing?

See points above. For a complete description of the GE, I would expect for example a description of possible 2D UI elements, different input devices and how they can be addressed to interact with the UI. Touch, Kinect, and Gamepad are for example mentioned in the Notes/Technology link description section. These information should also move directly to the wiki page.

Comprehensibility

Can a normal user understand the content and follow the instructions?

From my side, the missing contents mentioned in Accuracy and Completeness would be needed to really understand and employ the functions of this GE

Neutrality

Is the OpenSpecification neutral? Is it describing a technology and not a specific product? Open Specification generic / independent of a specific implementation.

The Specification introduces the idea of an API without limiting itself to a certain implementation or product. Moreover, it is mentioned to be extendable by 3rd party developers. So the Specification is perfectly neutral

Other comments

Any other suggestions to improve the document?

As mentioned above, contents from the linked external webpages should be moved into the GE description. This would give a more complete overview of all relevant technologies directly in the GE description page. Moreover, more detailed examples of how to use the GE, and how the results of the usage look like, would improve the understanding of the purpose of the GE as such.