

1

00:00:01.995 --> 00:00:08.995

Hello everyone, it's the touch free group. I'm Aditya. Along with me I have E and I see in the group.

2

00:00:08.995 --> 00:00:15.995

In this presentation, we'll talk about the project, the calls, the research that we have done, status update.

3

00:00:15.995 --> 00:00:32.995

And then we move on to the next steps and Q&A. That's free is a decision tree that museum practitioners can use to evaluate how to create a technical object for a given artifact in the museum and in doing so, practitioners will be able to allocate the right amount of time and resources to create a tactile touch object.

4

00:00:32.995 --> 00:00:39.995

That will allow blind and more vision visitors to understand and feel and notify. The goal of improving their using experience.

5

00:00:39.995 --> 00:00:47.995

On the screen we have 2 images. The first image is, from the current paradigm of the TACK. Which is.

6

00:00:47.995 --> 00:01:03.995

The fourth question where it asks the question the visitor along with 3 options. And on the right, we have the second image, which shows the solution that the prototype presented to the user and talks about, what's the best way to create a, an object for this.

7

00:01:03.995 --> 00:01:13.995

And since there was already a product made in Springfield, 23 bypass students, we set our goal as identifying improvement areas, if any, from that prototype.

8

00:01:13.995 --> 00:01:17.995

And then making the necessary changes to the, We also went through a few, literature to better understand the research that has already been done on the same topic.

9

00:01:17.995 --> 00:01:32.995

Eventually, the understanding accessible interpretation so touch object practices in museums paper by. By Amy and others.

10

00:01:32.995 --> 00:01:38.995

And we also went through Sheryl's block. Sheryl is our mentor for this project.

11

00:01:38.995 --> 00:01:43.995

On the screen we have 2 different images. The first image is.

12

00:01:43.995 --> 00:01:54.995

On the screen we have 2 different images. The first image is an accessibility option we just took it from a commercial website they have this plugin where you have different accessibility options.

13

00:01:54.995 --> 00:02:03.995

And on the next images, a screenshot of the material page of design modeling and fabrication lab of University of Sydney.

14

00:02:03.995 --> 00:02:14.995

So moving on to the status update. So far in our process, we have completed our prototype testing to better understand the existing prototype and its limitations.

15

00:02:14.995 --> 00:02:26.995

Which is a list of the gaps identified and the proposed solutions. A side map which is a diagram explaining our proposed solutions and what we have.

16

00:02:26.995 --> 00:02:33.995

Ideation and finally a prototype made using Twine, which is a drag and drop to.

17

00:02:33.995 --> 00:02:40.995

So for the prototype testing, between the 3 of us, we tested 30 artifacts from the Smithsonian Open Access Repository.

18

00:02:40.995 --> 00:02:52.995

This on the screen is a screenshot of our Excel sheet where we documented Oh, our artifacts and, along with each.

19

00:02:52.995 --> 00:02:59.995

Of our solutions and interpretations of the solution.

20

00:02:59.995 --> 00:03:08.995

Oh, some of our thoughts on the initial prototype were. Firstly, how can we account for different, for artifacts that have multiple materials.

21

00:03:08.995 --> 00:03:14.995

How can we take into consideration safety aspects for instance if there are sharp components of the artifacts?

22

00:03:14.995 --> 00:03:23.995

Third, how can we account for different people integrating artifacts in different ways?

23

00:03:23.995 --> 00:03:42.995

Moving on to a proposal some of the gaps that we identified were firstly need for need help to find information and resources to materialize the tactile object and in regards to this our proposal was a more a dictionary or resources page that directly links to the solution that's been given.

24

00:03:42.995 --> 00:03:51.995

The second challenge is, that questions may come across as very generic, and may not be able to account for materials, textures, and scales.

25

00:03:51.995 --> 00:03:59.995

Oh, our proposal is to create educational content to help users understand more about materials and the outcomes and expectations.

26

00:03:59.995 --> 00:04:07.995

Along with a feature that could, could, that could be on the solution page that's called tell me more to get more information.

27

00:04:07.995 --> 00:04:14.995

The last challenge is lack of consideration of material availability cost time, space in the museum, etc.

28

00:04:14.995 --> 00:04:21.995

And for this proposal is to give previous examples to help curators set up expectations and envision the outcomes.

29

00:04:21.995 --> 00:04:32.995

Next, we have the side map that served 2 purposes. Firstly, it was a means of representation of the current concept for our mentor, Cheryl, who's creating a grand proposal submission.

30

00:04:32.995 --> 00:04:39.995

And secondly, as a current as a representation of the current flow of the prototype and our proposed areas of intervention.

31

00:04:39.995 --> 00:04:50.995

So on the screen here, I have an overview of the side map. So basically, from the landing page, the user could go, either into the

questionnaire.

32

00:04:50.995 --> 00:05:01.995

That has 7 types of questions that could have up to 7 questions and they could also go into the dictionary resources or app out page.

33

00:05:01.995 --> 00:05:16.995

On this page I have a screenshot of the site map diagram one. So this is a screenshot of the menu schemes, with the descriptions provided along with any work in progress proposals that we have.

34

00:05:16.995 --> 00:05:22.995

On this slide, I have the side map diagram 2, which shows. 4 of the questions.

35

00:05:22.995 --> 00:05:32.995

Along with, descriptions and, and any work in progress. Suggestions.

36

00:05:32.995 --> 00:05:35.995

And lastly, the side map diagram 3 has screenshots of, 2 more questions along with the solution page.

37

00:05:35.995 --> 00:05:45.995

And any work in progress, proposals.

38

00:05:45.995 --> 00:05:51.995

So based on our proposal, we move on to creating some wireframe. For our next meeting. So here's the solution page.

39

00:05:51.995 --> 00:06:03.995

The current solution page contains 2 little information for new So Cheryl and us decided to add some related resources to it.

40

00:06:03.995 --> 00:06:16.995

For example, if an user needs to find a threed artist on their solution page and artist article about artists commission will be linked to it.

41

00:06:16.995 --> 00:06:25.995

For resources page, we decided to add a tag to each post so users can find the information they want more efficiently.

42

00:06:25.995 --> 00:06:35.995

We bring stone categories such as tutorials, commission, apps and software, academic researchers, and so on.

43

00:06:35.995 --> 00:06:48.995

Materials is a new idea we had after talking to Cheryl. This will be an educational page for curators or new to tactile graphics to learn about materials and crafting products.

44

00:06:48.995 --> 00:07:01.995

We also categorize materials based on their properties such as hardness, texture, and usage so users can find the perfect material for their project.

45

00:07:01.995 --> 00:07:10.995

Last but not least, a place to showcase real-life tactile projects will help users to understand the workflow and envision the possibilities.

46

00:07:10.995 --> 00:07:21.995

Gallery is a place where we imagine to host tactile projects where museums after using our website.

47

00:07:21.995 --> 00:07:44.995

Aside from IDiation, we also did some tactical, tactical testing. A technical testing. We use Twine to replicate the existing WordPress

prototype and in the coming weeks we will add our proposed change to



the same time prototype to move forward with testing and iterating quickly.

48

00:07:44.995 --> 00:08:14.995

Here's the recording. Here's the website we created.

49

00:08:30.995 --> 00:08:39.995

So, for the rest of this semester we plan on doing the following things. We will continue to build our prototype.

50

00:08:39.995 --> 00:08:56.995

And we will test our prototype with museum creators. After getting feedbacks from them, we will iterate our prototype based on the feedback and eventually we will publish our website on WordPress.

51

00:08:56.995 --> 00:09:03.995

So last but not least, we have some question for you. Do you have any thoughts on our current proposal?

52

00:09:03.995 --> 00:09:13.995

For the prototype. And do you have any suggestion on accessible and quick ways to test the prototype?

53

00:09:13.995 --> 00:09:14.422

Thank you so much.