

## # Node.js Technical Steering Committee (TSC) Meeting 2022-07-27

### ## Links

- \* \*\*Recording\*\*: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pBF8kWqcD-A>
- \* \*\*GitHub Issue\*\*: <https://github.com/nodejs/TSC/issues/1264>

### ## Present

- \* Antoine du Hamel @aduh95 (TSC)
- \* Ruben Bridgewater @BridgeAR (TSC)
- \* Colin Ihrig @cjihrig (TSC)
- \* Geoffrey Booth @GeoffreyBooth (TSC)
- \* Michael Dawson @mhdawson (TSC)
- \* Richard Lau @richardlau (TSC)
- \* Robert Nagy @ronag (TSC)
- \* Tobias Nießen @tniessen (TSC)
- \* Rich Trott @Trott (TSC)

### ## Agenda

#### ### Announcements

- \* 18.x release yesterday
- \* Collaborator summit Oct 1/2 just before NodeConf.eu

#### ### CPC and Board Meeting Updates

\*Extracted from \*\*tsc-agenda\*\* labeled issues and pull requests from the \*\*nodejs org\*\* prior to the meeting.

- \* Working session, this week, retro on event
- \* Election for board seat is active, a Node.js few people running.

#### ### nodejs/node

- \* Ruthlessly mark tests that fail frequently as flaky  
[#43955](<https://github.com/nodejs/node/issues/43955>)
  - \* Rich, nothing stops people being ruthless
  - \* Robert, some concern that would be seen as subverting the process
  - \* Tobias, we don't intend to have a tool to automatically mark as flaky, Antoine is working on tools to better understand if a test is flaky. First pass is to try 10 times to see if its really flaky or not.
  - \* Robert, missing point, hard to land PRs because CI is so flaky

- \* Geoffrey, marked flaky effectively disables test. Today we re-run until it passes  
That is still better than auto-flaky as we know if it will pass sometimes.
- \* Antoine, changes landed, when marked as flaky will keep running until test pass.
- \* Michael, new test could we run it 10 times and required that it passes 10 times.
- \* Tobias, agree with starting statement that anybody can mark as flaky.

\* src,lib: print source map error source on demand  
[#43875](<https://github.com/nodejs/node/pull/43875>)

- \* @nodejs/tsc would you be willing to land this in Node v18 with do-not-backport flags for v16?
- \* Ruben, we should just remove SemVer major label and handle as non SemVer major
- \* Antoine said +1, Error messages are typically not considered part of stable API
- \* Michael +1 as well, anybody have any objections?

### ### nodejs/i18n

- \* I would like to become a member [#612](<https://github.com/nodejs/i18n/issues/612>)
- \* Rich, removed TSC agenda agenda, he is handling it. Need to get access to Crowdin back, valuable functionality there.
- \* Rich has pinged Ben to see if he has the privileges.

### ## Strategic Initiatives

- \* ShadowRealm integration
  - \* Legendecas - with @joyeecheung's helpful reviews (thank you joyee!), finishing up the [design doc](#) and started the works on distinguishing per-isolate / per-environment properties like [nodejs/node#43802](#) and [nodejs/node#43781](#).
- \* Next 10 - session on TypeScript tomorrow - <https://github.com/nodejs/next-10/issues/149>
- \* Core Promise apis
  - \* no update
- \* Single executable apps
  - \* incoming for request in admin repo for team/repo to discuss/investigate some of the key questions/issues that need to be resolved

### ## Upcoming Meetings

\* \*\*Node.js Project Calendar\*\*: <<https://nodejs.org/calendar>>

Click `+GoogleCalendar` at the bottom right to add to your own Google calendar.