

EUS Council Regular Meeting, April 4th 2017

1.0 Opening of the meeting

2.0 Adoption of the agenda

3.0 Announcements

Regarding Jasmin, the chief selections happening right after council meeting. No position is open right now. If cord position is open, jasmin will have the right to ask to join. Hence, it will be postponed, if it happens, during the summer. Currently 12 on the team. Depending what happens during the chief selection, there may or may not be a position open. The position doesn't exist then.

- **Malcolm:** If an existing coord is chosen as chief, then Jasmin can apply to be a cord but it doesn't exist yet
- **Confusion:** It is not coming in as a motion
- **She has already shown interest, would it be a good idea to ratify her as an associate member?**
 - **Selected,** then associate member. Right now, that's the rule but may be changed in the future.
- **With her being an associate member? What rights would it give her and why is that a bad thing?**
 - **Mitch:** we don't think that there should be a barrier to be part of something.
 - **Malcolm:** constitution says privileges: using EUS facilities, becoming member of organizations and committees.
- **Last council, will there be a motion to ratify her?**
 - **Over the summer,** execs have the power of council and they will decide then
 - **What about BoG?** No.

4.0 Approval of the minutes of the last meeting

Approved

5.0 Presentations

5.1 - Presentations re: Departmental Budgets (5 mins per department, 35 total)

No Architecture

Chemical

- **Struggles**
 - Balance last may: -4000\$
 - Over the summer tried to fix this
- **Operations**
 - All green (almost)
 - Course pack selling: massive success
 - Make sure not to lose money for clothing sale and banquet
 - Winter : corporate cocktail(-500\$) + banquet (lost people because of exam)
- **Events**
 - Conference
 - Corporate cocktail
 - Gala
- **Overview**
 - -4000\$ to +1000\$
- **SSMU**
 - Much issues
- **Does others have issues with SSMU?**
 - Some yes some no
- **What is happening with the extra money from conference?**
- **Banquet a bit too lit**

- Probably get back to chem department
 - Applied to department, gave more than you actually needed. Hence, clearly department money it shouldn't go to EUS. but you shouldn't make surpluses when you get money from EUS.
- Spend 5700 for Gala. mech spends. How much other departments spend?
- Only event when we usually have attendance
- Pentcho: how many people attended the Gala. 50. Why did you risk it again considering what happened last gala?
 - We estimated 90 people but the exam got moved and lost a lot of people.
 - Have cost per head instead of fix cost
 - Next year, plan it per person
- Great job cutting expenses on half
- Considering you started with a negative. Great job!
- Let's continue discussion outside of the council

CEUS

- Last year: Civil lost money
- Started with -600\$, but actually started with + 2000\$
- No safe anymore, everything done through EUS
- Big events
 - Colloquium. Got back some tax money. Still waiting some money from MESC. takes forever to get funding
 - Fall banquet: per head. Negative loss but not a big deal.
 - Winter banquet: 130 came. 143 showed up.
 - Goal : break even
 - 2000\$ balance. Still a BBQ to go. Goal for summer is to have a better transition.
- Malcolm: 2000\$ a bit high to have at the end of the year
 - Not a big deal. It's safe enough.
- Dow: good job
- Pentcho: send me the budget excel.

CMEUS

- Summary: extra 1000\$ last semester. This year: -270\$. Which is pretty nice. Started with 5408\$ and ended with 5017\$.
- Fall and winter banquet:
 - 50 people came for fall banquet
- Ice fishing
- Lounge renovation: 2.8k
- Lots of movie nights

ECSESS

- Started with 19k. Ended with about 20k
- Revenues: ticket sales, sponsorship, extra fundings,
- Banquets: fall net: 658\$, winter net: 2.6k\$
- Blues pub and apartment crawls.
- Apparel
- Trips: one in Toronto (46 people), one in Ottawa
- Fall summary : net: +3.7 k
- ECSESS is committed to using its surplus but wants to exhaust other resources first.
- Concerns that sponsors might stop sponsoring?
 - It is stated in the package that the money is used for further networking

- Banquet a bit too lit

- Money is going to be used for equipment
- We don't consider net balances. We tried to spend as much money as possible on the students.

Materials

- TMS conference
- 2 banquets
- 2 blues pub
- Mat-talk
 - Every winter
- BBQ
- New: case competition, prof lunch talks, alumni mixer
- U1 orientation
- IMPC conference
- Fall banquet: small profit. Not many people showed up.
- Launch talk: funded by the department
- Winter banquet: huge profit, will go to next banquet or go back to the department.
- Clothing sale, samosa sales, 2 academic forums
- Conclusion: started with 2k. Ended with 4k
- How do you get funding from department?
 - Apply on event basis

Mame

- Started 4k\$, actually 6k\$
- FYC to get first years involved
- Small social events: candy for halloween, exam snacks, winter: beer olympics, 3 man, bluesy brunch, sushi lunch, gift cards to fill survey
- Academic events: forums, speed networking, tutorial, hd to pay for one of the TAs, mech talks
- Big events: mech madness, (got SSMU funding).
- Holiday dinner, accidentally made profit. Ade a 100\$
- CADMadness. Decided to put more money. Gertz sponsored last year but this time nothing. Spended 700\$. Got sponsorship from MESC
- Industry dinner. Subsidized a lot per person. Lost 40\$
- Banquet: got funding
- Started with 6.4k, end balance: 6.7k

6.0 New business

6.1 - Notice re: CEUS Capital Fund Allocation

- Civil needs security system for lounge. Want to improve security.. Amount requested is 4k for card reader.
- Who would have access? Everyone in Civil. Does that increase the security? Right now there is no security and we need it for the future. Step into the right direction. Open after hours as well.
- Pentcho: does it really takes 6 months? Dow: yeah. McGill is really slow. Motion to move this to the council during summer.
- Voting procedure: council recommends that the committee should meet in the next 60 days to approve this funding.
- Approved

6.2 - Motion re: Creation of IT Committee Policy

- No such thing in the past. Everything is all over the place. Harmonize everything in one single bylaw. 5 pages. Now, it's under on committee.
- Banquet a bit too lit

- Went over basic structure of the bylaw
- Lot's of grammar mistakes. Willing to postpone? No.
- Dow: amendment to the motion so that PBRC or BoG should go over it. Friendly amendment.
- Why not pushing it to BoG instead?
- Voting for the 5 minutes caucus. Motion is carried.
- JL: agree that this motion should pass. But seems a bit rushed to pass it today. Propose to attach the grammar checking. Motion to split the motion and is friendly. Postpone part 6, 7, and 8 to be resolved at BoG
- The board will oversee everything. But BoG will focus on bylaws.
- No longer considering 6, 7, 8,. Only 1 through 5, 9 and 10. Plus a new part saying that BoG should overview this.
- Vote. motion is carried

6.3 - Motion re: Ratification of Associate Member

- Why did these people get chosen? These are the only people who have applied
- More info on this person? She is great
- Voting : motion is carried

6.4 - Motion re: Mental Health Committee Policy

- JL: created a mental health committee. Increase mental health awareness with collaboration with faculty members. Host at least 1 campaign in each academic year. Quickly went through main sections
- Kerry: when will people apply for these posts? In fall
- 5.2 Add "and workshops". Friendly.
- Voting: carried

6.5 - Motion re: O-Week Committee Bylaws

- New bylaws that cover important things. Going to help people out that don't know how it works.
- Seconded. No questions. Went over main points.
- 5.1.1 participants only. And 5.1.2. Participants and staff
- Mitch wrote this but got feedback from PBRC, JL and other people.
- This one is set in stone
- Voting: motion carried

6.6 - Motion re: E-Week Bylaws

- Almost exactly the same as O-Week. This one is more vague but covers a lot of bases, more than the old one, and give guidelines to the staff. Also passed by E-Week chief of this year and JL.
- Voting: motion is carried

6.7 - Motion re: Inclusion of BUSS in EUS Bylaws

- Split the motion into 3. Voting on them separately.
- EUSF: motion carried unanimously
- PBRC: motion carried unanimously
- EFC: motion carried unanimously

6.8 - Motion re: Space Director Bylaws

- New initiative. Fairly vague.
- Went through main points. Made some minor changes.
- Is this covered by the recruitment policy?
- Propose this to one next motion. Motion carried
- Coming back to this motion, article 5 just got added.
- Voting: motion is carried

6.9 - Motion re: EUS Endorsement of the SSMU open letter for MCMHS

- Banquet a bit too lit

- Dow: on a senate level, the committee has not been meeting this semester, the questions have not been answered. This open letter is because of our frustration. The 2 mental health committee were merged together. We're hoping to have EUS endorse this to give it more power.
- Mitch: only the letter? The letter + the appendices.
- Pentcho: what is the actual impact? McGill used to pay for rent, but in 2014 this was removed and we are paying with student service budget. We are calling to McGill to fix this.
- What's the difference between signing and endorsing? Signing: you send an email. Endorsing: backing us up, giving more power. All other associations in mcgill will do the same this week.
- Malcolm: Should we be aware of anything in this letter? Sometimes it's written like we are telling them how to do their job.
- Can we get the opinion of the person with the using e-mail on this matter?
- Is it EUS council or EUS as a whole? Don't we need a GA? EUS council does have the authority to make that decision and it does not need a GA.
- Voting: motion is carried

6.10 - Motion re: Capital Allocation MEDN Computers

- Right now still in negotiation. But everything in PDF is still valid.
- Final value is sure but the processor is still unsure.
- Voting: motion carried

6.11 - Motion re: Ratification of the BoG members at large

- The 4 members at large are selected.
- Voting: motion is carried

6.12 - Motion re: Finance Director Bylaws

- Changes the name makes it more broad.
- There is still a fund director? Yes.
- Finance will be budget director
- There are just a few minor changes
- Voting: motion is carried

7.0 General reports

7.1 - Report from Engineering Senator

- Currently fighting over the number of cancelled meetings. Total of 16.
- Reading week
- Had fun holding admins accountable

7.2 - Report from SSMU Reps

- Approved equity policy
- Take a stance against the fact that if not canadian citizen, they can be fired.

7.3 - UO Rep

- MEC Lite, co-hosted junior design, 5 teams, elected 2 new co-prez

7.4 - Clubs Rep

- Renovations on the way

7.5 - Design Team Rep

-

8.0 Reports from departmental societies

8.1 - Architecture (1 min)

8.2 - Chemical (1 min)

- Vote on the poll

8.3 - Civil (1 min)

- Work on mental health committee

8.4 - ECSESS (1 min)

- Banquet a bit too lit

- 8.5 - Materials (1 min)
 - Banquet was good. Half the department and profs.
- 8.6 - Mechanical (1 min)
 - Banquet
- 8.7 - Mining (1 min)
 - Banquet was fun + awards

9.0 Reports from Executives

- 9.1 - VP Academic (2 min)
 - EFC happened
 - In ECSESS there will be a co-op option.
- 9.2 - VP Clubs and Administration (2 min)
 - pass
- 9.3 - VP Communications (2 min)
 - Wiki launch happened
 - Every portfolio have been selected except 2 (0 application)
 - E-mail regarding transition
- 9.4 - VP External (2 min)
 - Database of job internships (will post a link)
- 9.5 - VP Finance (2 min)
 - Meeting with RBC
- 9.6 - VP Internal (2 min)
 - Volunteer banquet was lit
 - Dimitri is awesome
 - VP internals contact Mitch if any questions
- 9.7 - VP Services (2 min)
 - Bagels and sandwiches t G-store next year
 - All incoming members have been selected
- 9.8 - President (2 min)
 - Quick word on closing

10.0 Next meeting

11.0 Adjournment

- Banquet a bit too lit