

Student Feedback Form for new Professors

Transparent Representation

Explanation of the Application Procedure

Occasionally, the university searches for a new professor. Professors have several privileges and hold a lot of power. To ensure that only suitable candidates are awarded the professor title, a committee is formed and a formal process has to be followed.

As Student Union, we have a vote in the committee: The committee consists of two students, two mid-level faculty members (Assoz. Profs, PhDs etc.) and five professors. While the other members might focus more on the scientific qualities of the applicants, our main interest lies in their teaching abilities.

We have already written a statement about the applicants based on existing evidence (i.e. application documents, course surveys, interviews with students...). This presentation is now the final step in the application procedure - a decision will be made shortly afterwards.

The Presentation and Your Feedback

All applicants will give a presentation to show their teaching skills. They have been provided with the same topic and target group (e.g. BSc CS students in the 3rd semester) ahead of time. The committee will then judge how suitable the presentation was for that group.

We want to invite you to chip in as well by filling out the feedback form on the other side of this page. Your student representatives will use the survey results as evidence for their decision in the committee.

What happens next?

Once all applicants have been heard, the committee will create a ranked list of up to three suitable applicants. The rectorate will then engage in contract negotiations based on this list. If no agreement can be found, the committee issues a new call for applicants and starts the whole process anew.

Student Feedback Form for new Professors

Questionnaire

Applicant	
Position	Formal Methods
Topic	Bounded Model Checking
Target Group	4th semester

Your thoughts

The presentation was suitable for the target group.

Disagree Agree

The topic was presented in an interesting way.

Disagree Agree

The language skills of the presenter were sufficient.

Disagree Agree

Overall, I think the presenter fits the position.

Disagree Agree

Additional Remarks