

An Analysis of Male Mate Preferences for Virginity: Evolutionary, Sociocultural, and Relational Intersections

The Evolutionary Psychology of Mate Preference: Paternity, Fidelity, and the "Virginity Hypothesis"

The study of human mating is a foundational pillar of psychological science, revealing the intricate interplay between our deep evolutionary past and our complex present. Evolved mate preferences are a central causal process in Darwin's theory of sexual selection, and their powerful influences have been documented in all sexually reproducing species, including humans. These preferences are not arbitrary; they are psychological adaptations that evolved to solve recurrent adaptive challenges faced by our ancestors. For men, one of the most fundamental and persistent of these challenges has been the problem of paternity uncertainty. This section will explore the evolutionary framework for understanding male mating psychology, analyzing the initial hypothesis that a preference for virginity evolved as a direct solution to this problem, and then presenting the more nuanced, contemporary view that the preference is more accurately for cues to future sexual fidelity, for which a partner's past sexual conduct serves as a key, albeit imperfect, proxy.

Foundations of Sexual Selection and Parental Investment Theory

Charles Darwin's theory of sexual selection, a crucial component of his broader theory of evolution, posits two primary mechanisms through which traits related to mating are selected: intrasexual competition and intersexual selection. Intrasexual competition involves members of one sex competing with each other for access to mates, such as stags battling with antlers. The victors gain greater reproductive access, passing on the traits that led to their success.

Intersexual selection, or preferential mate choice, occurs when members of one sex develop preferences for certain qualities in the opposite sex. Individuals possessing these desired qualities—be it brilliant plumage, signs of good health, or intelligence—mate more often, causing those traits to become more prevalent in subsequent generations.

These two processes are deeply intertwined. The mate preferences of one sex directly shape the domains of competition in the other. For instance, if women historically prioritized a man's ability to provide resources, this would have created selection pressure for men to compete with each other in acquiring and displaying those resources. Conversely, the nature of intrasexual competition can influence the evolution of preferences. If men compete in ways that produce clear winners and losers, such as in physical contests, this can create or amplify a female preference for traits like physical formidability or athletic prowess.

Central to understanding these sex-differentiated dynamics is Robert Trivers's theory of parental investment. This theory proposes that the sex that invests more in offspring will be more selective or "choosier" in its mate selection, while the sex that invests less will be more competitive for mating opportunities. In humans, as in most mammals, the minimum obligatory

parental investment is vastly different between the sexes. For a woman, the minimum investment is a nine-month pregnancy, childbirth, and lactation, representing a substantial commitment of time, energy, and metabolic resources. For a man, the minimum investment can be as little as a single act of copulation. This fundamental asymmetry has fashioned sex-differentiated mating strategies, particularly in the context of short-term mating, where men, on average, express a greater desire for sexual variety and are less selective about partners. However, human mating is uniquely complex because of our capacity for long-term, committed pair-bonding, which often involves substantial male parental investment in the form of resources, protection, and social support. In these long-term contexts, men's investment can be immense, rivaling that of women. This leads to a dynamic of "mutual mate choice," where both sexes are highly discriminating and possess a sophisticated suite of preferences for qualities that signal a good long-term partner and co-parent, such as kindness, intelligence, and dependability. It is within this context of high-investment, long-term mating that the question of a partner's sexual history becomes most salient.

The Core Adaptive Problem: Paternity Uncertainty

While a female is always 100% certain that she is the mother of her offspring, a male can never have such certainty. Internal female fertilization means that males throughout human evolutionary history have faced the adaptive problem of paternity uncertainty. The reproductive cost of cuckoldry—a male unknowingly investing his time, energy, and resources in the offspring of a rival male—is profound from an evolutionary perspective. Such an individual would fail to pass on his own genes while simultaneously contributing to the reproductive success of a competitor.

Given these severe fitness costs, evolutionary psychology posits that natural selection would have strongly favored the evolution of male psychological mechanisms designed to increase the probability of paternity. These are not necessarily conscious calculations but rather evolved emotional and cognitive systems that motivate behaviors that, on average, would have solved this adaptive problem in ancestral environments. These mechanisms manifest in mate preferences, emotional responses like sexual jealousy, and behavioral tactics of mate retention. The central question, then, is what cues in a potential long-term partner would have reliably signaled a reduced risk of paternity uncertainty.

The "Virginity Preference" Hypothesis and its Critique

The most direct and seemingly obvious solution to the problem of paternity uncertainty, at least for a man's first child with a partner, would be to select a mate who has never had previous sexual intercourse. This led to the initial evolutionary hypothesis that men evolved a specific, universal psychological preference for virginity in long-term partners. A virgin partner would, by definition, not be pregnant with another man's child and would offer a "clean slate," maximizing the man's confidence in his paternity.

However, upon closer scientific scrutiny, this straightforward hypothesis has been found to be "poorly formulated" and "conceptually problematic". A key critique is that virginity is not a quality that could have been reliably assessed by ancestral humans. Unlike age or health, which have observable physical cues, virginity has no definitive external signals. The hymen, often culturally invoked as a sign of virginity, is an extremely unreliable indicator. It can be ruptured through non-sexual activities, some women are born without one, and its presence or absence cannot be determined by simple observation. Furthermore, the very definition of "losing virginity" is a

social construct, varying across cultures and individuals, often narrowly defined as penile-vaginal intercourse while excluding other sexual acts.

The empirical evidence also casts significant doubt on the idea of a universal, species-typical preference for virginity. While some studies show men value chastity more than women do, large-scale cross-cultural research has revealed that the importance placed on a partner's virginity is one of the most variable of all mate preferences. In some cultures, it is deemed indispensable, while in others, it is considered irrelevant by both sexes. This wide variance is inconsistent with a simple, hardwired universal preference. This discrepancy between a plausible initial hypothesis and the complex reality of the data illustrates a core strength of the scientific process in evolutionary psychology: hypotheses are falsifiable and must be refined or discarded in the face of contradictory evidence.

The Refined Hypothesis: A Preference for Cues to Sexual Fidelity

The weaknesses of the simple virginity hypothesis have led to a more sophisticated and better-supported model. The contemporary evolutionary perspective argues that the core evolved mechanism in men is not a "virginity detector" but a "fidelity assessor". The crucial adaptive problem for a man in a long-term pair-bond is not just ensuring his partner isn't pregnant by another man at the outset, but ensuring her continued sexual fidelity throughout their relationship.

From this perspective, a potential partner's past sexual conduct becomes a powerful, albeit imperfect, source of information. In the small, close-knit social groups of our ancestral past, a person's relationship history and sexual reputation would have been common knowledge. Past behavior is one of the best predictors of future behavior. Therefore, a woman known for sexual restraint and a history of few partners would have been a more reliable bet for future faithfulness than a woman known for promiscuity.

This refined hypothesis elegantly accounts for the observed data. Men across all cultures consistently rate traits like "faithful" and "sexually loyal" as highly desirable in a long-term mate, while "unfaithful" or "sleeps around a lot" are rated as highly undesirable. The intense and often violent emotion of male sexual jealousy is also hypothesized to be a key adaptation that evolved to guard against infidelity and protect paternity certainty, a hypothesis that has received robust empirical support. Mate guarding behaviors, from vigilance to threats, are the behavioral output of this underlying psychological mechanism.

Thus, the focus on virginity in some cultures can be understood as one specific, culturally amplified expression of this deeper, universal male concern with a partner's sexual fidelity. The underlying psychological mechanism—a sensitivity to cues of future faithfulness—is universal, but the specific cues that are deemed most important are shaped by the local cultural context.

Supporting Evidence from Comparative and Meta-Analytic Studies

Evidence from the broader animal kingdom lends credence to the idea that males are not indiscriminate in their mating choices, particularly when sperm competition is a factor. A systematic meta-analysis of 138 studies, conducted primarily on invertebrates, confirmed a general male preference for virgin over non-virgin females. The primary evolutionary driver for this is thought to be the avoidance of sperm competition, where a male's sperm must compete with that of previous mates to fertilize a female's eggs. By choosing a virgin, a male ensures his sperm has no rivals.

However, the same meta-analysis revealed a more complex picture. Males in many species

preferred virgin females even in contexts where last-male sperm precedence is the norm (meaning the last male to mate is the most likely to sire offspring), suggesting that avoiding sperm competition is not the only factor at play. Some males were even found to reject mated females when no alternative virgin female was available, indicating a strong aversion that goes beyond simple preference. This suggests that other factors beneficial to male fitness, which may be correlated with a female's mating status, are also being assessed. While these findings from invertebrates cannot be directly extrapolated to the complexities of human psychology, they establish a deep evolutionary precedent for male choosiness regarding a female's mating history. They add to the growing evidence that males are not indiscriminate, but they also highlight significant unexplained heterogeneity, leading the researchers to urge caution in assuming a universal preference for virgins and to recommend considering the specific natural context of mating decisions.

This evolutionary framework establishes a foundational tension. On one hand, the logic of paternity uncertainty provides a powerful reason for men to be concerned with a long-term partner's sexual history as a proxy for her future fidelity. On the other hand, the unreliability of virginity as a signal and the immense cross-cultural variation in its importance demonstrate that this concern is not expressed as a simple, universal preference for virgins. Instead, it manifests as a more complex psychological system attuned to culturally specific cues of faithfulness, a system that is further modulated by the dynamics of the local mating market. A man's own perceived "mate value"—his overall desirability as a partner—plays a crucial role in this calculation. The principles of assortative mating suggest that individuals tend to pair with those of similar overall value. This can involve trade-offs, where a man might "exchange" his high status for a woman's high physical attractiveness, for example. A man's willingness to accept a partner with a sexual history (a potential cost in terms of fidelity risk) may therefore be calibrated against the other valuable traits she possesses. A man with exceptionally high mate value may have more leverage to insist on traits he highly desires, such as sexual exclusivity, while a man with lower mate value may need to be more willing to compromise. This means a man's preference regarding a partner's sexual history is not an absolute, fixed switch, but a dynamic variable in the complex equation of human mate choice.

The Social and Cultural Architecture of Virginity

While evolutionary psychology provides a framework for understanding the deep-seated male concern with partner fidelity, it cannot fully explain the intense, often obsessive, focus on female virginity that has characterized many human societies. To understand this, one must turn from deep history to the more recent history of human social organization. The powerful role of social, cultural, and religious forces has transformed a potential cue for fidelity into a paramount symbol of female worth, honor, and purity. This section will argue that while the underlying psychological concern for fidelity may be innate, the premium placed on female virginity is a social construct with a specific historical, economic, and ideological architecture.

The Historical and Economic Origins of the "Virginity Premium"

The high value placed on female virginity is not a biological imperative and grants no demonstrable evolutionary advantage in and of itself. Its emergence as a prized social attribute appears to be closely linked to a monumental shift in human social structure: the agricultural revolution. The concept of virginity likely gained its social and economic importance during the

Neolithic Era, coinciding with the rise of agriculture, settled societies, and the concept of private property.

In hunter-gatherer societies, where property was largely communal, paternity was of less economic consequence. However, with the advent of farming, land, livestock, and stored resources became heritable assets. For this new system of inheritance to function, men needed to be certain that the children who would inherit their property were their own biological offspring. In this socio-economic context, a bride's virginity served as a crucial, practical guarantee. It was the most reliable way for a man to ensure that his wife was not carrying another man's child at the time of marriage, thus securing his lineage and the legitimate transfer of his property. This development effectively transformed female sexuality from a personal matter into a public concern, deeply enmeshed with family honor, social status, and economic stability. Virginity became a form of "social technology," a rule-based system designed to solve the problem of legitimate inheritance in a patriarchal society.

Virginity as Patriarchal Property

As societies became more stratified, this economic imperative was codified into legal and social systems that treated women as property. In many ancient and medieval societies, including Greco-Roman and European cultures, a woman was legally under the control of a male guardian throughout her life—first her father, then her husband. A woman's virginity was a central component of her value within this system. She was an asset to be transferred from one man (her father) to another (her husband), and her "intactness" was a measure of her worth. The loss of virginity before marriage was therefore seen as "damaging the goods". It diminished her value in the marital marketplace, brought shame upon her family, and could have severe legal and social repercussions. The medieval European "Law of the False Virgin" provides a stark illustration of this principle. If a groom discovered on his wedding night that his bride was not a virgin, he was legally entitled to call in the wedding guests, publicly expose and shame her, and demand financial compensation from her family. This practice underscores that a woman's virginity was not her own to give, but a valuable commodity belonging to her family and her future husband. The intense anxiety surrounding the "virginity test" led to the creation of gruesome recipes and methods for women to feign virginity, often involving astringents or even the use of leeches to induce bleeding, highlighting the high stakes involved.

Religious Codification and the Sanctification of Purity

The practical and economic value of virginity was further entrenched and elevated by its incorporation into the moral frameworks of major world religions. Religious systems repurposed the social technology of virginity, transforming it from a marker of paternity assurance into a paramount symbol of moral character, spiritual purity, and a woman's essential worth.

In Christianity, the veneration of the Virgin Mary as the mother of Jesus established an exceptionally powerful ideal of female sanctity inextricably linked to her physical virginity. Early Church Fathers such as St. Paul, Augustine, and Jerome, while affirming the goodness of marriage for procreation, consistently taught that a life of consecrated virginity was a spiritually superior state, a higher calling that allowed for greater devotion to God. Virginity became associated with holiness, integrity, and a resemblance to God, a way to participate in a nobler, more angelic life.

Similarly, Islam places a strong emphasis on chastity and sexual purity for both sexes, as outlined in the Quran. In many Muslim-majority cultures, this translates into a strict social

expectation of female virginity before marriage, the violation of which can bring great shame upon a woman and her family.

This religious framing had a profound effect. It shifted the locus of concern from the pragmatic (property and lineage) to the metaphysical (a woman's soul and her standing before God). This ideological scaffolding helps explain the concept's enduring power and emotional weight long after the original socio-economic conditions that birthed it have changed.

The Feminist Critique of "Purity Culture"

In the late 20th and early 21st centuries, these long-standing religious and cultural values have manifested in what is often termed "purity culture," an evangelical Christian movement that strongly promotes sexual abstinence until marriage. This movement has been the subject of a robust feminist critique, which argues that it perpetuates harmful and sexist ideologies.

The core of the critique is that purity culture places a disproportionate burden on girls and women. Through practices like purity balls and virginity pledges, young women are taught that their virginity is their most valuable asset, equating it with their self-worth and moral standing. This effectively turns female virginity into a commodity to be guarded and "gifted" to a future husband, reinforcing the idea that a woman's value is located "between her legs".

This ideology promotes unhealthy and unequal gender roles. Men are often portrayed as aggressive sexual beings with little self-control, while women are cast as the passive "gatekeepers" of sexuality, responsible for taming male desire and preventing men from sinning. This not only absolves men of responsibility for their own behavior but also blames women for any sexual transgression.

From a psychological perspective, critics argue that purity culture is damaging. By relying on fear and shame as its primary motivators, it can foster a disintegrated and anxious view of sexuality, leading to neuroses and sexual dysfunction in adulthood. Furthermore, some theologians, particularly from a Catholic feminist perspective, argue that purity culture is a harmful distortion of true Christian doctrine. They contend that genuine chastity is about the successful integration of sexuality into the whole person—a lifelong journey *toward* holiness made possible by grace—not a static state of physical purity that can be irrevocably "lost." By equating purity with virginity, the movement creates a subclass of "damaged goods" and inverts the Christian narrative of redemption and sanctification.

The double standard inherent in this culture is not an arbitrary inconsistency but a logical consequence of its underlying framework. Within this patriarchal system, female virginity is constructed as a valuable asset to be exchanged for male commitment and security. Its loss diminishes a woman's value in the marital marketplace. Conversely, male masculinity is defined by sexual experience and prowess. Therefore, male virginity is framed as a failure—a failure to perform the expected masculine role of sexual conquest. This creates the paradox where female virginity is a virtue and male virginity is a stigma. These are not contradictory ideas but two sides of the same ideological coin, designed to uphold a system where men are the active subjects and women are the passive objects of sexual exchange.

Cross-Cultural Evidence: The Decisive Role of Culture

The most powerful evidence for the argument that the valuation of virginity is primarily a social construct lies in its immense cross-cultural variability. If it were a simple, universal, evolved preference, one would expect to see it expressed consistently across human societies. The data show the opposite.

David Buss's landmark 1989 study of mate preferences in 37 cultures remains a cornerstone of this evidence. He found that the value placed on "chastity (no previous sexual intercourse)" showed more cross-cultural variation than any other characteristic studied. As shown in Table 1, the preference ranged from being considered indispensable in cultures like China, India, and Iran, to being viewed as irrelevant or even undesirable in Scandinavian countries like Sweden and Finland.

Country/Culture	Male Rating of Chastity Importance (0-3 scale)	Female Rating of Chastity Importance (0-3 scale)	Cultural Context Note
High Importance			
China	2.54	2.61	Traditional, Collectivist
India	2.44	1.95	Traditional, Patriarchal
Iran	2.29	1.83	Religious (Islamic), Traditional
Palestinian Arabs	2.27	1.97	Religious (Islamic), Traditional
Moderate Importance			
Japan	1.51	1.25	Modern, Traditional Roots
Nigeria	1.48	1.55	Diverse Religious/Ethnic Groups
Brazil	1.40	0.96	Catholic Roots, Modernizing
United States (Mainland)	1.02	0.79	Secular, Individualistic
Low/No Importance			
France	0.44	0.38	Secular, Individualistic
Norway	0.43	0.40	High Gender Equality, Secular
Sweden	0.25	0.28	High Gender Equality, Secular
Finland	0.24	0.32	High Gender Equality, Secular
<i>Table 1: Cross-Cultural Variation in the Stated Importance of Chastity/Virginity. This table synthesizes data from Buss's 1989 study of 37 cultures to illustrate the vast range in how much men and women in different societies value chastity in a long-term mate.</i>			

Country/Culture	Male Rating of Chastity Importance (0-3 scale)	Female Rating of Chastity Importance (0-3 scale)	Cultural Context Note
<i>Ratings are on a scale from 0 (unimportant) to 3 (indispensable). The stark contrast between cultures demonstrates that social and cultural factors are primary drivers of this preference.</i>			

More recent research reinforces this pattern. Global surveys on attitudes toward premarital sex reveal a stark divide between regions. There is overwhelming disapproval in many Muslim-majority nations like Indonesia, Jordan, and Pakistan, whereas there is high acceptance in Western European nations, including those with strong Catholic traditions like Spain, France, and Italy. This indicates that modern secular values and gender equality can override traditional religious doctrines in shaping attitudes. Qualitative studies further illuminate these cultural nuances. An analysis of Arab-American, Latinx-American, and Malawian cultures found that while all tended to view female virginity as a "gift," the specific pressures and meanings varied significantly. A study of young, educated adults in Hanoi, Vietnam, found that marrying a virgin was still considered critically important for enhancing a man's social reputation and confirming his masculinity, with non-virgin women being seen as unacceptable for marriage unless their virginity was lost through coercion or accident.

This body of evidence strongly supports the conclusion that the intense focus on female virginity is not a biological universal but a culturally constructed phenomenon. It is a powerful ideology with deep historical and economic roots, later sanctified by religion and maintained by patriarchal social structures. While an evolutionary concern for fidelity may provide the psychological soil, it is culture that determines whether the "virginity premium" will grow.

The Counter-Current: Preferences for Sexually Experienced Partners

While historical, religious, and some evolutionary perspectives point toward a male preference for sexual inexperience, the modern, secular dating landscape presents a powerful counter-current. In many contemporary societies, particularly in the West, the "virginity premium" has not only vanished but has been inverted. Virginity in an adult partner is no longer seen as an asset but can be a significant social liability. This section will explore the evidence for the modern stigma of inexperience and examine the compelling sexual and relational reasons why many men actively prefer, or are at least indifferent to, a partner with a sexual history.

The Modern Stigma of Inexperience

In cultures where premarital sex has become a normative developmental milestone, abstaining from sex into adulthood can lead to negative social perceptions. This is a direct reversal of the

historical valuation of purity. Research shows that sexually inexperienced adults are often stigmatized and viewed as less desirable partners. They are perceived by others as being less well-adjusted, lonelier, and less happy than their sexually experienced peers. This stigma can create a self-perpetuating cycle of anxiety and avoidance for the inexperienced individual. This social penalty can be particularly acute for men. While female virginity was historically prized, male virginity has often been associated with a failure to achieve a key masculine milestone. In the 21st century, this pressure remains potent. Men who are virgins past a certain age (e.g., post-college) often report feeling ashamed, broken, or defective, as if they have failed to adhere to a "standard narrative" of male sexual development. They may be mocked or seen as "losers," leading to significant anxiety that can, paradoxically, make it even harder for them to initiate romantic and sexual relationships.

Perhaps the most surprising finding is that this stigma is not just imposed from the outside; it is also internalized. Studies have found that sexually inexperienced adults themselves do not rate other inexperienced adults as highly desirable relationship partners. This suggests a powerful internalization of the societal norm that sexual experience is a marker of social competence and desirability. When even virgins don't want to date other virgins, it signals a profound cultural shift away from valuing sexual purity.

The "Goldilocks Effect": A Preference for Moderate Experience

The modern preference is not a simple binary of experience versus inexperience. While a very high number of past sexual partners is often viewed negatively by both men and women when considering a long-term mate, complete inexperience is not necessarily the most desired attribute either. Instead, research points to a curvilinear relationship, a "Goldilocks effect," where desirability peaks for a partner with a *moderate* amount of sexual experience.

One study found that both men and women were most willing to be in a relationship with individuals who had one to six prior partners. Willingness to date a person began to drop off sharply as their "number" rose to around nine to eleven partners. Another study found that while chastity was rated as more desirable than *extensive* sexual experience, *moderate* sexual experience was often perceived as more desirable than chastity. This suggests a nuanced calculation is taking place. A partner with zero experience might raise questions about their social skills, desirability, or potential underlying issues ("Why has no one else wanted them?"). Conversely, a partner with a very high number of past partners might raise concerns about their ability to commit, their attitude toward monogamy, or their risk of carrying sexually transmitted infections.

The "Goldilocks" zone of moderate experience appears to represent a psychological sweet spot. A small number of past partners can act as a positive signal—a form of "mate choice copying"—indicating that the person is desirable, socially competent, and has been "vetted" by others. At the same time, the number is not so high as to trigger significant concerns about promiscuity or future infidelity. This preference for moderation can be seen as a modern risk-management strategy, an attempt to balance the perceived risks of total inexperience against the perceived risks of extensive experience in a dating market where premarital sex is the norm.

The Sexual and Relational Benefits of an Experienced Partner

Beyond simply avoiding the stigma of inexperience, there are affirmative reasons why a man might prefer a sexually experienced partner, rooted in both sexual and relational dynamics.

First, an experienced partner is often perceived as more sexually competent. This can lead to a more fulfilling sexual relationship, which is a key component of overall relationship satisfaction. For a man who is himself inexperienced, an experienced female partner can reduce his performance anxiety and may even take on a teaching role, a dynamic some men find appealing. Anecdotal evidence suggests that a compliment on sexual skill from an experienced partner carries more weight and provides a greater ego boost than the same compliment from a virgin, for whom there is no basis for comparison.

Second, and perhaps more importantly, the benefits of experience extend beyond mere physical technique. A satisfying sex life is deeply intertwined with broader psychological and relational well-being. Regular, fulfilling sex is linked to the release of neurochemicals like oxytocin and endorphins, which foster emotional bonding, trust, and stress reduction. An experienced partner may be better equipped to navigate the communication required for this kind of intimacy. Having had prior relationships provides opportunities to learn how to articulate one's own desires and boundaries, as well as how to listen to and respect a partner's needs. Therefore, a preference for experience can be understood as a preference for a partner who is more likely to be a good *sexual communicator*. It is this communication, rather than just technical skill, that forms the true foundation of long-term sexual satisfaction and emotional intimacy.

Finally, for some individuals, a history of varied sexual experiences is a part of self-discovery. It helps them understand their own sexuality, preferences, and what they value in a partner, knowledge that can ultimately be beneficial in a future committed relationship.

Context-Dependent Preferences: The Role of Short-Term Mating

Any discussion of "male preference" is incomplete without specifying the relationship context. Evolutionary Sexual Strategies Theory (SST) posits that human mating psychology is multifaceted, with different strategies and preferences activated for short-term versus long-term relationships. The qualities that make for a good one-night stand are not the same as those that make for a good spouse.

When men are pursuing short-term mating opportunities (e.g., casual sex, hookups), their preferences shift dramatically. In this context, they place a much higher value on cues of sexual accessibility and experience than they do when seeking a long-term partner. A woman's promiscuity, which is a strong deterrent in a long-term context, can become a signal of interest in a short-term one. Some research has even found that men pursuing short-term relationships show a preference for women who also express same-sex attraction, possibly as a manifestation of a broader desire for sexual variety.

In the context of casual sex, a woman's virginity would be a significant barrier, not an attraction. This preference for experience in short-term partners is consistent with the robust, cross-cultural finding that men have more permissive attitudes toward casual sex and desire a greater number of sexual partners than women do. This fundamental context-dependency is crucial: the same man may simultaneously hold a preference for sexual inexperience in a potential wife and a preference for sexual experience in a potential casual partner. To ask whether men prefer virgins without specifying the relational context is to ask an incomplete question.

The Intersection of Sexual and Relational Preferences in the 21st Century

The modern dating landscape is a complex arena where deep-seated evolutionary

predispositions, powerful cultural narratives, and the statistical realities of relationship science collide. To fully address whether men prefer virgins, one must synthesize these competing forces and examine how they intersect in the lives of individuals today. This section will analyze the statistical norms of modern sexuality, explore the critical and often counter-intuitive link between sexual history and long-term relationship outcomes, and dissect the crucial gap between what people say they want in a partner and what their behavior actually reveals.

The Statistical Landscape of Modern Sexuality

In the 21st-century Western world, premarital sex is not an exception but a statistical norm. Data from the U.S. National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) shows that by the ages of 25-44, approximately 97% of men and 98% of women have had vaginal intercourse. Public opinion reflects this reality; a majority of American adults view sex between unmarried adults in a committed relationship (65%) and even casual sex between consenting adults (62%) as acceptable at least sometimes. This acceptance has grown substantially over generations, with Millennials being more tolerant of premarital sex than any previous generation.

However, this broad trend toward sexual permissiveness is complicated by a significant counter-trend: a notable rise in sexlessness and virginity among young adults. Between 2013-15 and 2022-23, the proportion of American male virgins aged 22-34 more than doubled, from 4% to 10%. The share of young men in this age group who have not had sex in the past year has also skyrocketed. This increase in sexlessness is not primarily driven by a rise in religious abstention but is strongly linked to the increasing delay of marriage, as unmarried individuals, on average, have significantly less sex than their married peers. This creates a bifurcated landscape where many are sexually active before marriage, but a growing minority are not sexually active at all.

Further complicating the picture is the fluid and socially constructed nature of "virginity" itself. The traditional definition—the absence of penile-vaginal intercourse—is no longer universally accepted. Many adolescents and young adults engage in a wide range of sexual activities, such as oral or anal sex, while still identifying as "technical virgins". This practice is often a deliberate strategy to preserve the social status of virginity and avoid pregnancy or STIs while still exploring physical intimacy and pleasure. This ambiguity means that a person's self-identified status as a "virgin" may not accurately reflect their level of sexual experience.

The Critical Link: Sexual History and Long-Term Relational Outcomes

Perhaps the most compelling and paradoxical finding in the entire body of research is the strong, negative correlation between a higher number of premarital sexual partners and the success of a subsequent marriage. Despite the cultural normalization of premarital sex and the social stigma often attached to inexperience, a wealth of sociological and psychological data suggests that sexual experience before marriage—particularly with multiple partners—is a risk factor for poorer long-term relational outcomes.

This finding is consistent across several key metrics of relationship quality, as summarized in Table 2.

Number of Premarital Partners (for Women)	% Reporting "Very Happy" Marriage	5-Year Divorce Rate	Sexual Satisfaction
0-1 Partner	~65%	~15%	Highest
2 Partners	~55%	~30%	Lower

Number of Premarital Partners (for Women)	% Reporting "Very Happy" Marriage	5-Year Divorce Rate	Sexual Satisfaction
3-9 Partners	~54%	~20-25%	Lower
10+ Partners	~52-57%	~33%	Lowest
<p><i>Table 2: Reported Marital Outcomes by Premarital Sexual History. This table synthesizes findings from multiple large-scale studies to illustrate the correlation between a woman's number of premarital sexual partners and subsequent marital outcomes. Data show a consistent trend where women with zero or one premarital partner (i.e., their spouse) report the highest levels of marital happiness and sexual satisfaction, and experience the lowest rates of divorce. The risk of negative outcomes generally increases with the number of partners.</i></p>			

- **Marital Happiness:** Multiple studies using large, nationally representative datasets have found that men and women who marry as virgins or who have only had one lifetime sexual partner (their spouse) are the most likely to report being "very happy" in their marriages. The probability of reporting high marital satisfaction generally declines as the number of premarital partners increases.
- **Marital Stability and Divorce Risk:** The link is even starker when examining marital stability. Having multiple sexual partners before marriage is one of the strongest predictors of divorce. One analysis found that women who married as virgins had a five-year divorce rate of only 6%, which rose to 33% for women who had 10 or more premarital partners. This association holds even after controlling for other factors like religiosity, education, and family background, suggesting that the link is not merely an artifact of other lifestyle choices.
- **Sexual Satisfaction and Emotional Closeness:** Counterintuitively, more premarital experience does not translate to better sexual satisfaction within marriage. In fact, the opposite appears to be true. Individuals who were sexually inexperienced before marriage report the highest levels of sexual satisfaction and emotional closeness with their spouse.

In one study, nearly 80% of those who only had sex with their spouse reported the highest level of emotional connection, compared to less than 60% of those with multiple prior partners.

Explaining the Negative Correlation: Potential Mechanisms

The consistent negative correlation between premarital sexual experience and marital success demands explanation. Researchers have proposed several potential mechanisms, which are likely not mutually exclusive:

- **The Comparison Effect:** Having a history of multiple sexual partners provides a larger pool of "comparison alternatives". An individual with more past experiences may find it more difficult to be fully satisfied with their current partner, as they have a wider range of past encounters (real or idealized) against which to measure their present reality. This can erode commitment and satisfaction over time, as the person may be more aware of what they perceive as "missing" and remain more attuned to alternative partners in their environment.
- **Selection vs. Causal Effects:** A key debate centers on whether having many partners *causes* poorer relationship outcomes or if individuals with certain personality traits that are less conducive to long-term monogamy simply *select into* having more partners. The selection argument posits that individuals who are more impulsive, less agreeable, or have a more "unrestricted" sociosexual orientation are both more likely to accumulate a high number of partners and less likely to succeed in a stable marriage. The causal argument suggests that the act of engaging in multiple, often casual, sexual relationships can itself shape a person's attitudes and behaviors in ways that are detrimental to long-term bonding, for instance, by normalizing relational turnover or weakening the perceived value of sexual exclusivity. The evidence suggests that both processes are likely at play.
- **Emotional Baggage and Pair-Bonding:** Some psychological and religious perspectives suggest that sexual intimacy creates powerful emotional bonds. Engaging in multiple such relationships may lead to unresolved emotional attachments, "baggage," or a generalized fear of commitment that can complicate the formation of a deep, lasting bond with a marital partner. The idea that the capacity to "pair bond" can be weakened by numerous past relationships is a recurring theme in some discourse, though it remains a difficult concept to measure and prove empirically.

The Great Divide: Stated vs. Revealed Preferences

A final layer of complexity arises from the well-documented gap between what people say they want in a partner (stated preferences) and what their actual choices reveal (revealed preferences). This discrepancy is a major challenge in interpreting any survey data on mate choice and is particularly relevant to the question of virginity.

Partner Trait	Stated Preference (Self-Report/Survey Data)	Revealed Preference (Behavioral/Experimental Data)
Physical Attractiveness	Men value this significantly more than women.	Both men and women are strongly and about equally influenced by it in real-life choices.

Partner Trait	Stated Preference (Self-Report/Survey Data)	Revealed Preference (Behavioral/Experimental Data)
Earning Potential	Women value this significantly more than men.	Less influential for women's actual romantic evaluations than their stated preferences suggest.
Chastity/Virginity	Highly valued by men in some cultures and surveys.	Often overridden by other factors like attractiveness or responsiveness in real-world interactions. Moderate experience is often preferred over chastity.
Kindness/Intelligence	Rated as highly important by both sexes.	Consistently strong predictors of positive partner evaluations for both sexes.
<i>Table 3: Stated vs. Revealed Preferences for Partner Traits. This conceptual table summarizes a key finding in mating research: the frequent disconnect between what people claim to want and what actually drives their choices. This gap suggests that individuals may lack full introspective awareness of their own preferences, and that behavioral data is crucial for a complete understanding.</i>		

Numerous studies relying on self-report questionnaires consistently replicate classic evolutionary predictions: men state a stronger preference for physical attractiveness, while women state a stronger preference for earning potential. However, when researchers use behavioral measures, such as tracking choices in speed-dating events, a different picture emerges. In these real-life contexts, a potential partner's physical attractiveness is often an equally powerful predictor of initial romantic interest for *both* men and women. Women, it appears, significantly underestimate the degree to which physical attractiveness influences their actual choices.

This has profound implications for the question of virginity. A man might consciously believe and state that he prefers a virgin partner, perhaps due to his religious beliefs, cultural background, or an awareness of the data on marital stability. However, in a real-life encounter, his actual romantic and sexual attraction may be overwhelmingly driven by other, more immediate factors like a woman's physical beauty, her charm, or her perceived responsiveness to him. His stated preference for chastity may be a weak predictor of his actual mating behavior when faced with a desirable, albeit experienced, potential partner.

This disconnect forces a critical evaluation of all survey data on the topic. It suggests that modern individuals, and men in particular, are navigating a "Relational Paradox." They are caught between two conflicting sets of information and social scripts. On one hand, modern

secular culture normalizes premarital sex and often stigmatizes adult virginity, making an experienced partner seem more socially desirable and potentially more sexually competent. On the other hand, a large body of scientific data indicates that the path of sexual experience is statistically associated with a lower likelihood of achieving a stable, happy, and satisfying long-term marriage. A man's choice of partner is therefore an implicit negotiation of this paradox. Opting for an experienced partner may align with social norms and offer perceived short-term benefits but carries a higher statistical risk for the long-term relationship. Opting for an inexperienced partner may align with the data on marital stability and deep-seated evolutionary concerns about fidelity but invites social stigma and potential challenges related to inexperience. This fundamental conflict is the central tension at the heart of the modern debate over partner sexual history.

Synthesis and Conclusive Insights

The question of whether men prefer virgins, sexually and relationally, does not yield a simple answer. The preference is not a monolithic trait but a complex, context-dependent calculation emerging from the intersection of deep evolutionary pressures, powerful cultural scripts, immediate relational goals, and individual differences. The apparent contradictions in the research—the simultaneous preference for chastity and the preference for experience—can be reconciled through a multi-factorial model that acknowledges the different forces at play. The modern man, in navigating this landscape, faces a profound dilemma, caught between social norms that encourage sexual experience and scientific data that links sexual exclusivity to greater marital success.

Reconciling the Contradictions: A Multi-Factorial Model of Preference

A comprehensive understanding of male preferences regarding a partner's sexual history requires moving beyond single-cause explanations. The final preference expressed by an individual is an emergent property of at least four interacting factors:

1. **Factor 1: Evolved Psychology (The Foundation):** At the most fundamental level is the universal male psychological architecture shaped by the adaptive problem of paternity uncertainty. This creates a baseline aversion to cues of female promiscuity and a powerful, evolved preference for cues of long-term sexual fidelity in a committed partner. This is the bedrock upon which all other factors build.
2. **Factor 2: Cultural and Religious Norms (The Software):** Culture acts as the "software" that runs on this evolutionary "hardware." It dictates which specific cues are interpreted as signals of fidelity and female worth. In some highly traditional or religious societies, "virginity" is programmed as the primary and most valuable symbol. In secular, gender-egalitarian societies, this script is weakened or replaced, and other traits like loyalty, honesty, or even moderate experience become more salient cues. This factor explains the immense cross-cultural variation in the stated importance of chastity.
3. **Factor 3: Relationship Context (The Operating Mode):** An individual's mating psychology operates in different modes depending on the goal. When the goal is a short-term sexual encounter, the system prioritizes cues of fertility and sexual accessibility, making experience a desirable trait. When the goal is a long-term, high-investment relationship like marriage, the system shifts to prioritize cues of fidelity and good parenting potential, making a history of promiscuity a significant liability.

4. **Factor 4: Individual Differences (The User Settings):** Finally, a man's own personality, values, religiosity, mate value, and sexual history act as personal "settings" that calibrate his preferences. The principle of assortative mating ("like seeks like") is a powerful force. Men who are highly religious, inexperienced, or hold traditional values are more likely to strongly prefer an inexperienced partner. Conversely, men who are more permissive and experienced themselves are likely to be more open to a partner with a similar history.

The Modern Man's Dilemma: Navigating the Relational Paradox

The interaction of these factors creates what can be termed the "Relational Paradox" for the modern individual, particularly in Western societies. This paradox is the central conflict that makes the user's query so complex and contentious. The behavior that is socially encouraged and often preferred in the short-term dating market—gaining sexual experience—is the very behavior that is statistically correlated with a lower likelihood of achieving the ultimate goal for many: a stable, happy, long-term partnership.

This creates a state of cognitive dissonance. Men are pulled in opposing directions. The desire for social acceptance, the avoidance of the stigma of inexperience, and the pursuit of short-term sexual gratification may pull them toward experienced partners. Yet, the deep-seated psychological concern with fidelity, coupled with the robust data on marital success, may pull them toward partners with sexual restraint. The highly polarized and often emotional nature of public discourse on this topic is a direct reflection of individuals attempting to resolve this paradox. Different men, with different values and in different life stages, will resolve it in different ways, leading to the wide spectrum of preferences observed in the population.

Final Analysis: Answering the Core Query

Synthesizing the entire body of evidence allows for a direct, nuanced answer to the user's three-part question.

- **Do men prefer virgins sexually?** Generally, no. In a purely sexual, short-term context, the male mating psychology shifts to prioritize cues of sexual experience, skill, and accessibility. A partner's virginity in this context is more likely to be a deterrent than an attraction, as it may signal a lack of sexual willingness or competence.
- **Do men prefer virgins relationally?** This is the core of the complexity. The most accurate answer is that men have an evolved preference for what virginity *historically signaled*: a high probability of future sexual fidelity and, therefore, paternity certainty. In modern contexts where virginity is no longer a reliable signal, the underlying preference for *fidelity* remains powerful. The data on marital outcomes provide compelling, albeit correlational, evidence for this: men who partner with women with little to no premarital sexual history experience, on average, higher rates of marital stability, happiness, and sexual satisfaction. Therefore, while a man may not have a conscious fetish for "virginity" itself, a rational assessment of the available data would lead to a preference for a partner whose sexual history minimizes the statistical risks to long-term relational success.
- **How do the sexual and relational preferences intersect?** They intersect in a state of conflict and compromise. A man's ultimate choice of a long-term partner represents a negotiation between his short-term sexual desires, his long-term relational goals, his own value in the mating market, and the prevailing cultural norms.
 - In highly traditional or religious cultures, the sexual and relational preferences are more likely to align in favor of virginity, as it is valued both socially and as a signal of

- purity.
- In secular Western cultures, the preferences are often in direct opposition. The "Goldilocks" preference for a partner with moderate experience represents the most common psychological compromise in this environment—an attempt to secure a partner who is socially and sexually competent without taking on the perceived relational risks of extensive promiscuity.

Concluding Thoughts and Future Directions

The concept of virginity is undeniably a social construct, yet it is a construct with tangible, real-world consequences for relationship dynamics and outcomes. The value placed upon it is not fixed but is shaped by a confluence of evolutionary, historical, sociological, and individual factors.

The rise in sexlessness among young adults, particularly men, introduces a critical confounding variable that complicates our understanding of these preferences. Future research must disentangle whether stated preferences for virginity are a genuine desire held by men who are actively choosing partners, or if they are, in part, a post-hoc rationalization by a growing demographic that is itself inexperienced or excluded from the mating market. Longitudinal studies that track individuals from their stated preferences through their actual dating choices and into their long-term marital outcomes are essential for better understanding the causal pathways between sexual history and relationship success.

Ultimately, the debate over virginity is a proxy for a deeper conversation about commitment, fidelity, and the nature of intimacy in the modern world. Moving beyond simplistic moral judgments requires an appreciation for the complex web of factors that shape human desire. An understanding of the deep evolutionary roots of our mating psychology, the historical architecture of our social values, and the empirical realities of what fosters lasting relationships can equip individuals to navigate their own choices with greater awareness, empathy, and more realistic expectations.

Works cited

1. Mate Preferences and Their Behavioral Manifestations - UT Psychology Labs, <https://labs.la.utexas.edu/buss/files/2019/03/mate-preferences-and-their-behavioral-manifestations-FINAL-PUBLISHED-2019.pdf>
2. Evolutionary Theories in Psychology | Noba - NobaProject, <https://nobaproject.com/modules/evolutionary-theories-in-psychology>
3. Sex differences in human mate preferences: Evolutionary hypotheses tested in 37 cultures - UT Psychology Labs, <https://labs.la.utexas.edu/buss/files/2015/10/buss-1989-sex-differences-in-human-mate-preferences.pdf>
4. Evolutionary gender differences in sexual preferences - Kodi Arfer, <https://arfer.net/projects/galaxy/paper-gd>
5. Why the concept of 'virginity' is a damaging social construct - School of Sexuality Education, <https://schoolofsexed.org/blog-articles/2020/04/2/virginity>
6. The Importance of Virginity in the Middle Ages - The History Corner, <https://www.thehistorycorner.org/articles-by-the-team/the-importance-of-virginity-in-the-middle-ages>
7. Virginity - Wikipedia, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Virginity>
8. Adolescent sexuality in the United States - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adolescent_sexuality_in_the_United_States
9. Do sexual history and permissive sexual values predict negative relationship outcomes like infidelity, dissatisfaction, and divorce? An Exhaustive Review of the Literature : r/psychologyofsex - <https://www.reddit.com/r/psychologyofsex>

Reddit,

https://www.reddit.com/r/psychologyofsex/comments/1l8a0gy/do_sexual_history_and_permissive_sexual_values/ 10. (PDF) Meta-analytical evidence that males prefer virgin females - ResearchGate,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375795808_Meta-analytical_evidence_that_males_prefer_virgin_females 11. Meta-analytical evidence that males prefer virgin females - PubMed, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37988323/> 12. Males prefer virgin females, even if parasitized, in the terrestrial isopod *Armadillidium vulgare* - PMC,

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5869267/> 13. It's a scientific fact that hypergamy does not exist - Reddit,

https://www.reddit.com/r/exredpill/comments/kyrqm0/its_a_scientific_fact_that_hypergamy_does_not/ 14. publicseminar.org,

<https://publicseminar.org/2016/07/the-importance-of-losing-virginity/#:~:text=The%20concept%20of%20virginity%20was,that%20a%20woman%20was%20responsible>. 15. When & why did virginity & "purity" become an important value for women in human history? - Reddit,

https://www.reddit.com/r/history/comments/5f7q5s/when_why_did_virginity_purity_become_an_important/ 16. Virginity Revisited: Navigating Ancient Values in Contemporary Times - Life360,

<https://cardiffjournalism.co.uk/life360/virginity-revisited-navigating-ancient-values-in-contemporary-times/> 17. Virginity: Not All Rose Petals and Candles - Western CEDAR,

https://cedar.wwu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1701&context=wwu_honors 18. Concept of virginity creates unfair standard - The Echo,

<https://slpecho.com/opinion/columns/womens-history-month/2016/03/09/concept-of-virginity-creates-unfair-standard/> 19. Virginity in the Christian Tradition - St Andrews Encyclopaedia of Theology, <https://www.saet.ac.uk/Christianity/VirginityintheChristianTradition> 20. Patristic Christian Views of Virginity, Marriage, and Sex | Dr. Philip ...,

<https://www.dbu.edu/mitchell/ancient-christian-resources/patristicmarriage.html> 21. Is Virginity Better Than Marriage? - Catholic Stand,

<https://catholicstand.com/is-virginity-better-than-marriage/> 22. Marriage, Celibacy, and the Hierarchy of Merit in the Jovinian Controversy - Southern Equip,

[https://equip.sbts.edu/article/marriage-celibacy-and-the-hierarchy-of-merit-in-the-jovinian-controversy/](https://equip.sbts.edu/article/marriage-celibacy-and-the-hierarchy-of-merit-in-the-jovinian-controversy) 23. The Complexities of Virginity and Modern Relationships | by Simon Peter Chibole | Medium,

<https://medium.com/@chibole/the-complexities-of-virginity-and-modern-relationships-373fc9c6c926> 24. Detailed median percentages of 40 countries' acceptability of premarital sex.,

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Detailed-median-percentages-of-40-countries-acceptability-of-premarital-sex_fig1_318225428 25. Sexuality and Religious Ethics: Analysis in a Multicultural University Context - PMC, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9859336/> 26. Framed by Sexuality: An Examination of Identity-Messages in "Purity Culture" Reflections,

<https://openprairie.sdbstate.edu/etd/2662/> 27. Feminist Chastity: Problems with "Purity Culture" (Part 1) - FemCatholic,

<https://www.femcatholic.com/post/feminist-chastity-problems-with-purity-culture-part-1> 28.

Video: A Catholic Feminist Perspective on Purity Culture,

<https://thecatholicwoman.co/essays-for-the-new-feminism/video-a-catholic-feminist-perspective-on-purity-culture> 29. Feminist Chastity: Problems with Purity Culture (part 2) - FemCatholic,

<https://www.femcatholic.com/post/feminist-chastity-problems-with-purity-culture-part-2> 30. Has anyone else who's left a conservative purity culture upbringing made to feel like by society that hypersexuality is the only alternative to that? : r/WitchesVsPatriarchy - Reddit,

https://www.reddit.com/r/WitchesVsPatriarchy/comments/110tb9/has_anyone_else_whos_left_

a_conservative_purity/ 31. Stop Worshiping Your Virginity - Boundless.org, <https://www.boundless.org/blog/stop-worshiping-your-virginity/> 32. Like a Virgin: Comparing Cross-Cultural Virginity - Digital Commons @ Cal Poly Humboldt, https://digitalcommons.humboldt.edu/senior_comm/16/ 33. Virginity: Perspectives and Experiences | by Marie - Medium, <https://medium.com/@mgb49/virginity-perspectives-and-experiences-300bba8a3400> 34. The 'Problem' With Male Virginity - Everyday Feminism, <https://everydayfeminism.com/2014/10/problem-male-virginity/> 35. Preferred level of sexual experience in a date or mate: The merger of two methodologies. - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Pamela-Regan/publication/247525180_Preferred_level_of_sexual_experience_in_a_date_or_mate_The_merger_of_two_methodologies/links/0c96052e43a27d64a6000000/Preferred-level-of-sexual-experience-in-a-date-or-mate-The-merger-of-two-methodologies.pdf?origin=scientificContributions 36. Like a Virgin: Comparing Cross-Cultural Virginity - Digital Commons ..., https://digitalcommons.humboldt.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1008&context=senior_comm 37. HOW VIRGINITY ENHANCES MASCULINITY: AN ... - CiteSeerX, <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=5e32462d1bb2e7998ce6e36b9cdc342cfbe6122e> 38. Has Virginity Lost Its Virtue? Relationship Stigma Associated With Being a Sexually Inexperienced Adult - PubMed, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26983793/> 39. Has Virginity Lost Its Virtue? Relationship Stigma Associated With Being a Sexually Inexperienced Adult | Request PDF - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/298726101_Has_Virginity_Lost_Its_Virtue_Relationship_Stigma_Associated_With_Being_a_Sexually_Inexperienced_Adult 40. Has Virginity Lost Its Virtue? Kinsey Study Finds Adults Who Wait to Have Sex Are Stigmatized | Newswise, <https://www.newswise.com/articles/has-virginity-lost-its-virtue-kinsey-study-finds-adults-who-wait-to-have-sex-are-stigmatized> 41. The Truth About "Relationship Virgins" - Psychology Today, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/living-single/202107/the-truth-about-relationship-virgins> 42. Losing it: understanding virginity in the 21st century - The Charlton, Carleton's independent newspaper, <https://charlton.ca/losing-it-understanding-virginity-in-the-21st-century/> 43. The value of virginity - The Quinnipiac Chronicle, <https://quuchronicle.com/73808/arts-and-life/the-value-of-virginity/> 44. Virginity was once a coveted trait in many Western cultures. Now, it's often seen as a social liability by men and women alike. Research finds that most people say they'd be unlikely to date a virgin, and even virgins don't want to date other virgins. : r/psychologyofsex - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/psychologyofsex/comments/1ck10do/virginity_was_once_a_coveted_trait_in_many/ 45. Preferred level of sexual experience in a date or mate: The merger of two methodologies, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/247525180_Preferred_level_of_sexual_experience_in_a_date_or_mate_The_merger_of_two_methodologies 46. Perceptions of sexual experience and preferences for dating and marriage - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/288270217_Perceptions_of_sexual_experience_and_preferences_for_dating_and_marriage 47. What Your Sexual History Could Tell a New Partner | Psychology Today, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/meet-catch-and-keep/202303/what-your-sexual-history-could-tell-a-new-partner> 48. Perception of Partner Sexual History: Effects on Safe-Sex Intentions - PMC, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5476493/> 49. How does having many sexual partners affect your life? - Quora,

<https://www.quora.com/How-does-having-many-sexual-partners-affect-your-life> 50. Why does virginity have such a huge impact on our partners and ...,
https://www.reddit.com/r/TrueAskReddit/comments/3o17g8/why_does_virginity_have_such_a_huge_impact_on_our/ 51. Is it true men prefer women with less experience? : r/questions - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/questions/comments/1d64lf2/is_it_true_men_prefer_women_with_less_experience/ 52. 5 Reasons Why Sex Strengthens a Relationship - Self Space Seattle, <https://www.selfspaceseattle.com/blog/2024/1/29/5-reasons-why-sex-strengthens-a-relationship> 53. Understanding the Role of Sex in Maintaining a Healthy Relationship, <https://renewhopeandhealing.com/understanding-the-role-of-sex-in-maintaining-a-healthy-relationship/> 54. The Benefits of a Healthy Sex Life | Center for Women's Health - OHSU, <https://www.ohsu.edu/womens-health/benefits-healthy-sex-life> 55. 7 Compelling Reasons to Have More Sex | Psychology Today, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-mating-game/201602/7-compelling-reasons-have-more-sex> 56. Why Sex Is So Good for Your Relationship - Greater Good Science Center, https://greatergood.berkeley.edu/article/item/why_sex_is_so_good_for_your_relationship 57. Men's Sexual Preferences (Chapter 1) - The Cambridge Handbook of Evolutionary Perspectives on Sexual Psychology, <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-handbook-of-evolutionary-perspectives-on-sexual-psychology/mens-sexual-preferences/E815C53DD549FCD676C7635AA850BE55> 58. Men's Preference for Women Who Like Women: The Effects of Desire for Sexual Variety and Willingness to Have Sex Without Commitment - PMC, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10480925/> 59. Sexual Behavior and Selected Health Measures: Men and Women 15-44 Years of Age, United States, 2002 - CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/ad/ad362.pdf> 60. Dating and relationships: Key findings on views and experiences in ..., <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2020/08/20/key-takeaways-on-americans-views-of-and-experiences-with-dating-and-relationships/> 61. Changing Attitudes About Sex | News - San Diego State University, <https://www.sdsu.edu/news/2015/05/changing-attitudes-about-sex> 62. Changing attitudes about premarital sex, homosexuality - CBS News, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/changing-attitudes-about-premarital-sex-homosexuality/> 63. Number of Virgins in America Hits Record High - Newsweek, <https://www.newsweek.com/number-virgins-america-hits-record-high-2022266> 64. Young adult sexlessness skyrocketed in the last decade while male virginity doubled: study, <https://ifstudies.org/in-the-news/young-adult-sexlessness-skyrocketed-in-the-last-decade-while-male-virginity-doubled-study> 65. Men Are Staying Virgins For A Longer Time - 89.7 Bay, <https://bay.com.mt/men-are-staying-virgins-for-a-longer-time/> 66. Male Sexlessness is Rising But Not for the Reasons Incels Claim, <https://ifstudies.org/blog/male-sexlessness-is-rising-but-not-for-the-reasons-incels-claim> 67. Do Women's Past Sexual Partners Affect Stability and Satisfaction in New Relationships?, <https://soulmatcher.app/blog/does-past-sexual-partners-on-womens-impact-relationship-stability-and-satisfaction-in-new-ones/> 68. Does Sexual History Affect Marital Happiness? | Institute for Family Studies, <https://ifstudies.org/blog/does-sexual-history-affect-marital-happiness> 69. The Myth of Sexual Experience | Institute for Family Studies, <https://ifstudies.org/blog/the-myth-of-sexual-experience-> 70. How Virginity Affects Your Marriage - Phylicia Masonheimer, <https://phyliciamasonheimer.com/virginity-affects-marriage/> 71. Is marriage better if both spouses were virgins prior to the marriage? : r/Catholicism - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/Catholicism/comments/cri87c/is_marriage_better_if_both_spouses_wer

e_virgins/ 72. Romantic attraction and evolution: New study pinpoints key traits in mate selection - PsyPost,
<https://www.psypost.org/romantic-attraction-and-evolution-new-study-pinpoints-key-traits-in-mate-selection/> 73. Partner Preferences and Selection at Pepperdine University,
<https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1087&context=globaltides>
74. The reality of romantic preferences: Large-scale study reveals surprising truths - PsyPost,
<https://www.psypost.org/the-reality-of-romantic-preferences-large-scale-study-reveals-surprising-truths/> 75. Sex Differences in Mate Preferences Revisited - Northwestern University,
https://faculty.wcas.northwestern.edu/eli-finkel/documents/MatePrefs_FINAL6-5-07_000.pdf 76. Sex Differences in the Implications of Partner Physical Attractiveness for the Trajectory of Marital Satisfaction - PMC - PubMed Central,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4011637/> 77. Why Do Men Prefer Nice Women?: Responsiveness and Desire | SPSP,
<https://spsp.org/news-center/press-release/why-do-men-prefer-nice-women-responsiveness-and-desire> 78. Do guys prefer women who have had sexual experience? : r/Arrangedmarriage - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Arrangedmarriage/comments/1e20zci/do_guys_prefer_women_who_have_had_sexual/ 79. Do Men ACTUALLY Want MORE Experienced Women?! - YouTube,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w3LnEGy1FBU>