

Conspiracy Against Rights

IN THE NAME AND BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS

As a direct descendant of the founders of the Constitution for the United States of America and as one of "the Posterity" found in the preamble, by right of blood, I hereby declare;

Christina Melton Crain is on the University of Texas Board of Regents, and a BAR member, and an officer of the Court and deemed to know the law.

"Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law." Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)

I have reason to believe and do believe that Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick is conspiring with Dr. Peter Pisters and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and others known and unknown, to threaten, coerce, intimidate, and injure Diane XXXXXX in violation of Title 18 United States Code § 241 Conspiracy Against Rights

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or

If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured—

They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death. 18 USC 241 Conspiracy Against Rights

in support of their Criminal Street Gang

Sec. 71.01. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter,

(a) "Combination" means three or more persons who collaborate in carrying on criminal activities, although:

- (1) participants may not know each other's identity;
- (2) membership in the combination may change from time to time; and
- (3) participants may stand in a wholesaler-retailer or other arm's-length relationship in illicit distribution operations.

(b) "Conspires to commit" means that a person agrees with one or more persons that they or one or more of them engage in conduct that would constitute the offense and that person and one or more of them perform an overt act in pursuance of the agreement. An agreement constituting conspiring to commit may be inferred from the acts of the parties.

(c) "Profits" means property constituting or derived from any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, from an offense listed in Section [71.02](#).

(d) "Criminal street gang" means three or more persons having a common identifying sign or symbol or an identifiable leadership who continuously or regularly associate in the commission of criminal activities.

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pisters are required to know that they are violating Article 1 of the Nuremburg Code in conspiracy with the United States Secretary of Health and Human Services

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.

This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit,

duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject, there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person, which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.

The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.

["Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10", Vol. 2, pp. 181-182. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1949.]

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pisters are required to know that they have issued his mandate under instructions from the United States Secretary of Health and Human Services to participate in his biological medical experiment and a mandate is a contract

"4. Roman & civil law. A written command given by a principal to an agent; specif., a commission or contract by which one person (the mandator) requests someone (the mandatary) to perform some service gratuitously, the commission becoming effective when the mandatary agrees. La. Civ. Code art. 2989. • In this type of contract, no liability is created until the service requested has begun. The mandatary is bound to use reasonable care in performance, while the mandator is bound to indemnify against loss incurred in performing the service. Also termed mandatum. 5. Louisiana law. A contract by which one person, the principal, confers authority on another person, the mandatary, to transact one or more affairs for the principal. La. Civ. Code arts. 2989 et seq. • The contract of mandate may be either onerous or gratuitous. It is gratuitous if the parties do not state otherwise." Black's Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, Page 3049

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pisters are required to know that they and the United States Secretary of Health and Human Services is claiming there is some sort of an emergency, and emergency is justification for nothing

"Emergency does not create power. Emergency does not increase granted power or remove or diminish the restrictions imposed upon power granted or reserved. The Constitution was adopted in a period of grave emergency. Its grants of power to the Federal Government and its limitations of the power of the States were determined in the light of emergency, and they are not altered by emergency." Home Building and Loan Association v Blaisdel, 290 US 398 (1934)

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pisters are required to know Diane XXXXXX CANNOT renounce any of the protections guaranteed under the Geneva Conventions

"Protected persons may in no circumstances renounce in part or in entirety the rights secured to them by the present Convention, and by the special agreements referred to in the foregoing Article, if such there be." Article 8, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pisters are required to know that the recent Supreme Court ruling authorized a Stay of the Preliminary Injunctions issued by District Courts against an interim rule (Regulation) issued by the Secretary of Health and Human Services requiring healthcare workers to be vaccinated. The Supreme Courts ruling was NOT unanimous but was divided almost 50-50 and it is far from over with 4 Justices dissenting and the ruling says;

"...order granting a preliminary injunction is stayed pending disposition of the Government's appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and the disposition of the Government's petition for a writ of certiorari, if such writ is timely sought. Should the petition for a writ of certiorari be denied, this

order shall terminate automatically. In the event the petition for a writ of certiorari is granted, the order shall terminate upon the sending down of the judgment of this Court.” Biden v Missouri 595 US XXX (2022)

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pistors are required to know that neither the Supreme Court, not the HHS interim rule authorizes termination of employees

“The rule requires providers to offer medical and religious exemptions, and does not cover staff who telework full-time” Biden v Missouri 595 US XXXX (2022)

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pistors are required to know that they are under no obligation to obey these unconstitutional and illegal mandates

“ . . .the acceptance of a license, in whatever form, will not impose upon the licensee an obligation to respect or to comply with any provisions of the statute . . . that are repugnant to the Constitution of the United States.” Power Mfg. Co. v. Saunders, 274 U.S. 490

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pistors are required to know that by terminating Diane XXXXXX, they are impairing the right of Diane XXXXXX to apply to representatives of the Protecting Power for their intervention, and they are restricting opportunities available to Diane XXXXXX, two more War Crimes
No contract, agreement or regulation shall impair the right of any worker, whether voluntary or not and wherever he may be, to apply to the representatives of the Protecting Power in order to request the said Power’s intervention.

All measures aiming at creating unemployment or at restricting the opportunities offered to workers in an occupied territory, in order to induce them to work for the Occupying Power, are prohibited. Article 8, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pistors are required to know that the HHS interim rule says that a facility’s failure to comply may (NOT WILL – NOT WOULD) lead to monetary penalties, denial of payment for new admissions, and ultimately termination of participation in the program

“A facility’s failure to comply may lead to monetary penalties, denial of payment for new admissions, and ultimately termination of participation in the programs. “ Biden v Missouri, 595 US XXXX (2022)

and Christina Melton Crain and Francie A Frederick and Dr. Peter Pistors are knowingly, intentionally conspiring together to threaten, coerce, intimidate, and injure Diane XXXXXX and other MD Anderson Cancer Center employees that are protected by the laws of the United States with his forced biological medical experiments by threatening her and them with termination for failure to participate in their forced biological medical experiments, in spite of her religious beliefs, as evidenced by the email from Dr. Peter Pistors, a true copy of which is attached hereto, all of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety, all of which is completely without any authorization and for corporate greed, for something that may not even happen.

AGAINST THE PEACE AND DIGNITY OF THE STATE

VERIFICATION

I, Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, do affirm that all statements made herein are true and accurate, in all respects, to the best of my knowledge.

Date

L.S.
glenn winningham; house of fearn
with a Proper Mailing address (18 USC § 1342) of;
General Post Office, ZIP CODE EXEMPT
C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437
Fort Worth, Texas [RR 76135]
Non-Domestic Mail, Without the United States, Inc.

As a Notary Public, I hereby certify that glenn winningham; house of fearn, who is known to me, appeared before me and after affirming, he executed the foregoing document on this the _____ day of January, in the year two thousand and twenty-two.

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
THE STATE OF TEXAS

Notary Seal