**Your name: Nicolas Herard**
Hyperlink to the draft under review: Joshua Smith

Audience

How effectively do you feel this draft is constructed and composed to be not just informative but FUN TO READ, LISTEN TO OR WATCH, on a scale of 1 to 10? Try to keep in mind the kind of reader the subject matter would attract.

1--------2-------------3-----------4-----------5---------6------------7-----------8---------9----------10
Totally   Moderately   Extremely
ineffective   effective   effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for audience: 7
Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

I felt you did a good job on making the video audience friendly. I liked your use of images and especially that rocket launch video. I also liked the small video segment that supported the volcano theory. I also liked how you had vocal inflections instead of a monotone. This made it much easier to watch.

Purpose

How effectively do you feel this draft achieves the purpose of the assignment, on a scale of 1 to 10?

1--------2-------------3------------4-----------5---------6------------7-----------8---------9----------10
Totally   Moderately   Extremely
ineffective   effective   effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for purpose: 8
Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

I felt you did a great job discussing assessing the purpose of the situation. You addressed all of the three stake holders very well. You also provided a lot of quotes supporting each theory and appeared unbiased. I also like how you clearly stated that there are still a lot of research to be done before there is a clear winner.

Author

How effectively do you feel this draft establishes the author's credibility and unique voice?

1--------2-------------3------------4-----------5---------6------------7-----------8---------9----------10

Totally   Moderately   Extremely

ineffective   effective   effective

> If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for author: 7

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

The reason that I gave you a 7 was because you appeared unbiased and had a unique voice to the controversy. You appeared very interested in the subject and that made it much easier for me to watch. You also cited each of the three stakeholders evenly and left it to the audience to make their opinions. I also liked how you used video segments to emphasize one of the stakeholders points.

Context

How effectively do you feel this draft uses the genre conventions, research materials and background information to fulfill the assignment?

1--------2-------------3------------4-----------5---------6------------7-----------8---------9----------10

Totally   Moderately   Extremely

ineffective   effective   effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for genre: 7
Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

I gave you a 7 because you followed the conventions well. You had great relevant images, as well as video segments, and plenty of quotes to back everything up. However, there was one audio part that it sounded like it was recorded in a car. That threw me off a bit and I would suggest just rerecodring that audio part.

Other comments?

Overall I think you did a great job and enjoyed watching the video. I would suggest that you speed up the credits section a bit because you cited the images as they appeared in the video.