2026 Winchell Undergraduate Research Symposium: Oral Presentation Judge Feedback

JUDGE NAME: _____ PRESENTER NAME: _____ SESSION: _____

	1 Developing/Needs improvement	2 Meets Requirements	3 Exemplary
SCIENTIFIC CONTENT			
Significance/relevance/rationale of project clearly stated	1	2	3
Hypothesis/objective/questions clearly stated	1	2	3
Experimental Design/methodology clearly stated, logical, related to hypothesis/objective	1	2	3
Data analysis appropriate and results clearly stated	1	2	3
Conclusions clearly stated and well-supported and tie back in to original research question	1	2	3
Next steps, future work discussed/logical	1	2	3
Comprehends material and coherently answers audience questions	1	2	3
Presentation content aligned with abstract submitted	1	2	3
DELIVERY OF INFORMATION			
ORGANIZATION/PREPARATION			
Ideas presented in logical order with appropriate transitions	1	2	3
All slides were relevant/essential	1	2	3
Well-rehearsed delivery that includes interaction with slides (not "reading" or overly improvising presentation)	1	2	3
Correct grammar and spelling, use of terminology appropriate for audience	1	2	3
ENGAGEMENT WITH AUDIENCE			
Displayed enthusiasm and appropriate eye contact, volume & pace.	1	2	3
SCHOLAR ENGAGEMENT/DEVELOPMENT			
Scholar clearly described their role (and/or that of a research team) in identification of the research question, design of the experiment, collection and interpretation of data, and future directions	1	2	3
Scholar articulated their growth as a researcher, describing their ability to work independently, manage obstacles, and discuss skills they developed.	1	2	3

→ ***your COMMENTS on p. 2*** **TOTAL POINTS_____**

2026 Winchell Undergraduate Research Symposium: Oral Presentation Judge Feedback

JUDGE COMMENTS: What were the ***strengths*** of this presentation? How could this presentation be ***improved***?