

Minutes of March 5 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees (to be confirmed):

Fujitsu: Mike DeNicola
IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter
Oracle: Will Lyons
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: Mark Little
Tomitribe: not present
Martijn Verburg - not present
Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes of the Feb 26th meeting will be reviewed next time.

Trademark Licensing Agreement and Other Agreements

An updated Trademark License Agreement, reflecting the Jan 8 Steering Committee discussion, has been delivered to the Eclipse Foundation. Oracle and the Eclipse Foundation have met on this multiple times since Feb 8, but there are still unresolved issues. These issues continue to affect Oracle's ability to execute the Jakarta EE Participation Agreement, for which Oracle had an extension to execute by March 1. Oracle would like to request an additional 30 day extension to enable resolution of the current set of open issues, in the interest of maximizing the probability of successful resolution of these issues.

In last week's meeting the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, that the Steering Committee approves Oracle's participation in the Working Group at least through the next meeting of the Jakarta EE Steering Committee meeting on March 5, with the intent of informing all members and discussing this in the meeting on March 5.

Oracle proposes the following resolution for discussion at this week's meeting:

RESOLVED, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee approves granting Oracle an extension to April 1, 2019 to execute the Jakarta EE Working Group Participation Agreement, with

the understanding the effective date of the Oracle agreement will remain as January 1, 2019.

Red Hat is willing to agree to the extension, but the agreement is provided with the understanding that a further extension will not be granted. Red Hat has also expressed concern with the extent of the legal fees incurred by Eclipse.

The Eclipse Foundation noted the following:

- Budget issues were raised last time
- There is an incremental cost associated with obtaining signoff for copyright from other vendors
- The hiring of a developer advocate has also been impacted
- Tomitribe's participation agreement is also outstanding, due to slow progress with the Apache Software Foundation (will discuss at next week's meeting).
- The Fujitsu Participation Agreement is due April 1

The vote on the resolution was as follows:

Fujitsu: Mike DeNicola - Yes

IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter - Yes

Oracle: Will Lyons - Yes

Payara: Steve Millidge - yes

Red Hat: Mark Little - Yes

Tomitribe: not present - (David had indicated last time he would vote yes)

Martijn Verburg - not present

Ivar Grimstad - Yes

Resolution is adopted.

IBM and Payara requested a standing update on progress towards resolving this issue for all vendors.

Eclipse GlassFish release and TCK testing

Any update on the following:

https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=543917

Progress has been made on this bug, but this continues to impact work Oracle is doing and is not fully resolved. If this continues, this will become a blocking issue.

Oracle will re-run the TCKs with the revised infrastructure.

Marketing Committee Update

Brand and Marketing Committee will make a decision on compatibility logos, using the vote results as input. 11 proposed logos down to about 4-5, with requested modifications. Decision expected next meeting on March 14.

The Working Group monthly call on Feb 27 was not well attended. Need a better promotional approach.

The developer survey has been launched. Please encourage participation.

<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/JakartaEEWG>

Stephanie Swart has created a promotional kit with some social media content and a few graphics to use. Please see the Google Doc:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Vbzsq2PZuTyVC0okdSYUf9vAVoKSmJWh7J_7dOSRyQ/edit?usp=sharing

Jakarta EE Web site has also been updated recently.

Jakarta EE 8 Release

The scope of the release was agreed to as described in the following document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdlvRuHXQXpwQus/edit>

The “Next Steps” document provides an overview of the current plan:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0

Tanja has requested that we review her google doc:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmPvVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349>

....to review sign-up status. From last week's meeting:

Progress:

- 1) Spec Doc process
 - a) Paul Buck signed up as Steering Committee lead for the Jakarta EE Spec Doc process
 - b) Wayne Beaton is Jakarta EE Spec Process Lead
 - c) Tanja Obradovic is Specifications Projects Project Lead
 - d) Fleshed out some of the Schedule for the Spec Projects work
- 2) TCK Document
 - a) David Blevins leading TCK process
 - b) Oracle willing to lead and contribute to TCK work

- 3) Distribution of Specs
 - a) Currently open
- 4) Compatible Implementations
 - a) Kevin is the Steering Committee Lead
 - b) Open Liberty, Payara Server, Wildfly, GlassFish plan implementations
- 5) Marketing
 - a) Mark Little is the Steering Committee lead
 - b) Cesar Saavedra is working on a marketing plan

To do:

- Work to be done
- Interdependencies
- Communicate, build community
- Add work items/content to the existing tabs

Open issue - putting a stake in the ground for Jakarta EE 8 delivery date

Budget Issue

No updates from prior meeting.

Proposed Specification Names

This agenda item is a placeholder for now. The Spec Names list is here:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1_f-Vsl8pjCBSc0gFrltz-Axdw8oK5dfcM2H9mFrPxxE/e/dit#gid=157814126

I assume we will defer the topic of acronym selection.

Clarification is required from Oracle:

- Would project URLs need to change: e.g.
<https://projects.eclipse.org/projects/ee4j.jms>
- Would javax package names need to change e.g. javax.jms - no, there is not a requirement to change

Jakarta Summit

Consensus has been to work on defining an agenda when there is more clarity on the resolution of legal issues.